

Title	Ultra High Field MRI
Speaker	Guillaume Madelin, PhD New York University Langone Medical Center Department of Radiology - Center for Biomedical Imaging guillaume.madelin@nyumc.org
Session	Clinical Interpretation & Advanced Imaging

Target Audience

Clinicians, scientists and students interested in MRI research in general, and in the development of ultra high field MRI with medical applications in particular.

Outcome/Objectives

The students will be able to understand the challenges of ultra high field MRI.

Purpose

To review the recent developments in ultra high field (UHF) MRI, with its advantages and disadvantages, and its potential unique medical applications. To give an overview of the challenges to overcome—from the hardware, software and medical point-of-view—in order to make UHF MRI clinically relevant.

Highlights

- **Why UHF MRI?** Increase the SNR for increasing image resolution and time of acquisition.
- **Advantages:** High SNR, high spatial resolution, fast imaging, increased spectral resolution, multinuclear MRI, new contrast (SWI, CEST).
- **Disadvantages:** B_0 inhomogeneity, B_1^+ inhomogeneity, high SAR, longer T1, shorter T2 and T2*, susceptibility, system complexity and cost.
- **Applications:** Brain (MS, Alzheimer, TBI, tumors, epilepsy, stroke), MSK (cartilage and osteoarthritis), breast, cardiac.

Introduction to Ultra High Field (UHF) MRI

- **Definition:**
 - Ultra low field (ULF): $B_0 < 1 \text{ T}$ (Ex: 0.2 T, 0.5 T)
 - Low field (LF): $1 \text{ T} \leq B_0 < 3 \text{ T}$ (Ex: 1 T, 1.5 T, 2 T)
 - High field (HF): $3 \text{ T} \leq B_0 < 7 \text{ T}$ (Ex: 3 T, 4.7 T)
 - Ultra high field (UHF): $B_0 \geq 7 \text{ T}$ (Ex: 7 T, 8 T, 9.4 T, 11.7 T)
- **Why UHF?**
 - Increase signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of MRI, in order to improve spatial, temporal and spectral resolution of the images.
 - New contrasts: SWI, multinuclear MRI (^{23}Na , ^{31}P , ^{25}Cl , ^{17}O), CEST.
- **Hardware:**
 - Magnet: Superconducting NbTi magnet, shielding, shimming, long bore with small diameter, liquid helium and refrigeration, mechanical forces.
 - RF coils: Multichannel transmit/receive RF coils for parallel transmit/receive MRI, double-tuned RF coils ($^1\text{H}/^{23}\text{Na}$, $^1\text{H}/^{31}\text{P}$, ...).
- **Software:**
 - Pulse sequences: Ultrashort TE (UTE), non-Cartesian acquisitions
 - RF pulses: Customized RF pulses for better homogeneity (adiabatic, 2D tailored pulses).
- **Potential medical applications:**
 - Brain: MS, Alzheimer, TBI, tumors, epilepsy, stroke.
 - MSK: Cartilage (knee, hip, ankle) and osteoarthritis, muscle (diabetes, muscular dystrophy).
 - Breast.
 - Cardiac.

Advantages

- **Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR):** SNR increase with B_0 (approximately)
 - Increase spatial resolution
 - Increase temporal resolution: (ultra)fast imaging
- **Spectral resolution:** Better separation of different metabolites (chemical shift)
 - Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI
 - ^1H MR Spectroscopy (^1H MRS)
 - ^{31}P MRI/MRS
- **Susceptibility:** Increase of magnetic susceptibility between tissues
 - Susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI): higher contrast with higher resolution
 - Blood oxygen level dependant (BOLD) contrast: higher BOLD contrast allows higher spatial resolution and higher contrast-to-noise ratio in fMRI applications.
- **Diffusion weighted/tensor imaging (DWI/DTI):**
 - Higher SNR: More diffusion sensitive gradient directions for DTI, higher resolution.

- Dynamic DTI/DWI: Temporal variation of the diffusion coefficient.
- **Arterial spin labeling (ASL):**
 - Longer T1 of blood: longer acquisition times after tagging pulses can be utilized, increase of ASL SNR.
- **Multinuclear MRI**
 - Sodium (^{23}Na) MRI.
 - Phosphorus (^{31}P) MRS/MRI.
 - Chlorine (^{35}Cl) MRI.
 - Oxygen (^{17}O) MRI.
 - Potassium (^{39}K) MRI.

Disadvantages

- **B_1^+ (transmit) inhomogeneity:**
 - Wavelength: The RF wavelength at 7 T is of the order of 0.1 m in biological tissues (for ^1H nuclei), which is the order of the size of the body. This will create standing waves which, associated with constructive interference, will result in the generation of a bright spot in the center of brain for example (dielectric artifact).
 - Parallel imaging.
 - RF shimming: Increase B_1^+ homogeneity during RF pulses.
 - Parallel transmit.
- **Specific absorption rate (SAR):**
 - RF heating: A higher RF power is required to produce a 90° pulse compared to low field MRI.
- **Effects on relaxation:**
 - T1: Longer T1 and convergence for most tissues inducing the need of longer TRs for full recovery of the longitudinal magnetization, and lower T1 contrast between tissues.
 - T2: T2 should not be affected by B_0 in theory, but the apparent T2 is shorten for spin-echo sequences due to diffusion effects through microgradients surrounding capillaries. This effect depends on tissue type.
 - T2*: Decrease of T2* due to magnetic susceptibility effect which will affect contrast in GE sequences and need of shorter TEs.
- **Susceptibility:**
 - Magnetic susceptibility effect: effect of T2* and GE contrast.
 - Metal implants: higher distortion of the images next to metal implants due to increase magnetic susceptibility difference.
 - Image blurring and geometric distortion artifacts in rapid imaging.
- **System complexity:**
 - B_0 inhomogeneity.
 - B_1 inhomogeneity.
 - Shimming: passive and active.

- Shielding.
- Mechanical forces.
- Liquid helium.
- Gradient coils.
- Cost.

Applications

- **Brain**
 - High resolution structural imaging.
 - High resolution functional MRI (fMRI).
 - MRS: High spectral resolution.
 - DTI.
 - Multinuclear MRI: ^{23}Na MRI (intracellular sodium), ^{31}P MRI/MRS.
 - SWI.
 - Vascular imaging.
 - Medical applications:
 - Epilepsy.
 - Tumors.
 - Multiple sclerosis (MS).
 - Alzheimer's disease (AD).
 - Traumatic brain injury (TBI).
 - Stroke.
- **Musculoskeletal (MSK)**
 - Cartilage and osteoarthritis (OA):
 - High resolution cartilage morphometry.
 - Delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC).
 - T2 mapping.
 - T1 ρ mapping.
 - DTI/DWI in cartilage.
 - gagCEST.
 - ^{23}Na MRI with fluid suppression.
 - Muscle:
 - Diabetes: ^{31}P MRS and MRS.
 - Muscular dystrophy: ^{23}Na MRI.
- **Breast**
 - High resolution structural MRI.
 - ^{23}Na MRI.
- **Cardiac**
 - High spatial and temporal resolution.

Discussion/Conclusion

- **Research interest?**
 - Methodological developments: RF coil design, RF shimming, parallel transmit.
 - New metabolic information in vivo with multinuclear MRI.
 - High resolution structural and functional MRI.
- **Clinical usefulness?**
 - Killer applications compared to 1.5 and 3 T for clinical practice? Still work in progress...
 - Metabolic imaging (in vivo, quantitative, non-invasive)?

Some references

1. Ugurbil K. *Magnetic resonance imaging at ultrahigh fields*. IEEE Trans Biomed Engineering 61(5), 1364-1378, 2014.
2. Duyn JH. *The future of ultra-high field MRI and fMRI for study of the human brain*. NeuroImage 62, 1241-1248, 2012.
3. Trattnig S, Zbyn S, Schmitt B, Friedrich K, Juras V, Szomolanyi P, Bogner W. *Advanced MR methods at ultra-high field (7 Tesla) for clinical musculoskeletal applications*. Eur Radiol, DOI 10.1007/s00330-012-2508-0, 2012.
4. Kraff O, Fisher A, Nagel AM, Monninghoff C, Ladd ME. *MRI at 7 tesla and above: demonstrated and potential capabilities*. J Magn Reson Imaging 41, 13-33, 2015.
5. Mirkes CC, Hoffman J, Shajan G, Pohmann R, Scheffler K. *High-resolution quantitative sodium imaging at 9.4 Tesla*. Magn Reson Med 73, 342-351, 2015.
6. Madelin G, Lee J-S, Regatte RR, Jerschow A. *Sodium MRI: methods and applications*. Prog NMR Spect 79, 14-47, 2014.
7. Madelin G, Regatte RR. *Biomedical applications of sodium MRI in vivo*. J Magn Reson Imaging 38, 511-529, 2013.
8. Regatte RR, Schweitzer ME. *Novel contrast mechanisms at 3 Tesla and 7 Tesla*. Semin MSK Radiol 12(3), 266-280, 2008.
9. *Ultra high field magnetic resonance imaging*. Vol 26. Editors: Robitaille P-M, Berliner L. Springer 2006.
10. *High-field MR imaging*. Medical Radiology. Diagnostic Imaging. Editors: Hennig J, Speck O. Springer 2001.
11. Wolf S, Diehl D, Gebhardt M, mallow J, Speck O. *SAR simulations for high-field MRI: how much detail, effort, and accuracy is needed?* Magn Reson Med 69, 1157-1168, 2013.
12. Wiesinger F, Van de Moortele P-F, Adriany G, De Zaanche N, Ugurbil K, Pruessmann KP. *Potential and feasibility of parallel MRI at high field*. NMR Biomed 19, 368-378, 2006.
13. Bandettini PA, Bowtell R, Jezzard P, Turner R. *Ultrahigh field systems and applications at 7 T and beyond: progress, pitfalls and potential*. Magn Reson Med 67, 317-321, 2012.