## Sunrise Session CV-4D-flow: Ready for Primetime?

## **4D-flow: How we Process It?**

Michael Markl, Ph.D.

Dept. of Radiology, Feinberg School of Medicine & Dept. of Biomedical Engineering, McCormick School of Engineering, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

**Background:** 4D flow MRI provides a non-invasive method for the qualitative and quantitative characterization of blood flow in heart and great vessels in 3D <sup>1-6</sup>. Currently, ECG synchronized 4D flow MRI (also termed 'flow sensitive 4D MRI', 'time-resolved 3D velocity mapping', or '4D velocity mapping') can be employed to detect and visualize global and local blood flow characteristics in entire targeted vascular regions. As a result, 4D flow MRI permits the assessment of three-directional blood flow with full volumetric coverage of cardiac chambers or cardio- or neurovascular regions of interest such as the thoracic aorta or the large cerebral arterial and venous system.

**4D** Flow MRI: In 4D flow MRI, velocity is encoded along all three spatial dimensions throughout the cardiac cycle, thus providing a time-resolved 3D velocity field<sup>7-9</sup>. Data acquisition is synchronized with the cardiac cycle and data collection is distributed over multiple cardiac cycles using so called 'k-space segmentation' techniques (only a fraction of the entire 4D flow data is measured during each cardiac cycle, the data is successively collected over multiple RR-intervals). After completion of the 4D flow acquisition, four time-resolved (CINE) 3D datasets are generated ('magnitude' data depicting anatomy and three flow datasets representing velocities 'Vx, Vy, and Vz', see figure 1A). For typical cardiovascular applications, scan times between 5 and 15 minutes can be achieved depending on heart rate, spatio-temporal resolution and anatomic coverage. For thoracic and abdominal applications, respiration control is thus needed to minimize breathing artifacts. The 4D flow raw data can include up to 5000-10000 individual images. As a result, efficient pre-and post-processing strategies are needed to translate the acquired information on cardiovascular anatomy and blood flow into clinically useful information.

**Preprocessing and Corrections:** There are multiple sources of phase offset errors in PC and 4D flow MRI that can degrade image quality and impair measurements by introducing inaccuracies in flow quantification. The most commonly encountered inaccuracies include phase offset errors due to eddy currents<sup>10</sup>, Maxwell terms<sup>11</sup>, and gradient field nonlinearity<sup>12</sup>. It is thus important to apply appropriate correction strategies to compensate for these potential sources of error before further processing of the data for 3D visualization or flow quantification. While correction for Maxwell terms and gradient field non-linearity are typically performed during image reconstruction (without the need for user interaction), eddy current correction cannot easily be automated and has to be integrated into the data analysis workflow.

The most commonly employed strategy for eddy current correction is based on the methodology presented by Walker et al. in 1993<sup>10</sup>. The approach is based on thresh-holding to identify regions with static tissue. These regions are then used to estimate eddy current induced linearly varying phase offset errors which are subsequently subtracted from the entire image. An alternative strategy requires the scanning of a large spherical (static) phantom directly after the 4D flow

scan with identical imaging parameters followed by the subtraction of the resulting phase difference images from the in-vivo 4D flow data. However, the long scan time and logistics needed to perform the additional 4D flow phantom scan make this option less desirable and image based correction is most often used. Unfortunately, no unified strategies, algorithms or software across different MR system vendors and 4D flow MRI applications exist. Nevertheless, studies have shown that 4D flow MRI can be reliably used for 3D visualization and flow quantification if appropriate correction strategies such as proposed by Walker et al<sup>10</sup> are employed.



**Figure 1:** Acquisition of 4D flow MRI data (A) and visualization and quantification of 3D hemodynamics (B) in the aorta of a healthy subject. The 4D flow data comprises information along all 3 spatial dimension, 3 velocity directions and time in the cardiac cycle. A 3D phase contrast angiogram (B, iso-surface rendering of the aorta) can be calculated from 4D flow MRI data to aid visualization and placement of analysis planes for retrospective flow quantification. Calculation of a systolic maximum intensity projection (MIP, right) provides a quick and easily analyzable overview over systolic velocity distribution and location of peak systolic velocity and Bernoulli pressure gradient.

**3D** Phase Contrast MR Angiography (**3D** PC-MRA): A 3D anatomic representation of the underlying cardiovascular geometry can provide the anatomic orientation needed for 3D flow visualization and retrospective flow quantification. The 4D flow data itself can be used to approximate the vascular geometry by generating a 3D PC-MRA dataset without the need for an additional MRA acquisition. Based on PC-MRA applications in the early days of MRI<sup>13</sup>, several strategies for the 4D flow based calculation of 3D PC-MRA data have been presented. In general, all techniques are based on identifying regions with high blood flow velocities in the phase difference images and suppression of background signal by signal intensities in the anatomical magnitude images.<sup>13, 14</sup>. Although being a 'side product' of 4D flow MRI limited by spatial resolution, a 3D PC-MRA outline or transparent surface rendering of the vascular structures of interest (as shown in figure 1B) is greatly helpful for volumetric analysis and visualization.

**3D Blood Flow Visualization:** For the qualitative evaluation of 4D flow MRI data, various options are available for 3D blood flow visualization<sup>15-22</sup>. Most approaches use 2D analysis planes which are positioned in the vessel of interest. These analysis planes are used to emit 3D streamlines or time-resolved 3D pathlines for flow pattern visualization. 3D streamlines

represent traces along the instantaneous 3D blood flow velocity vector field for an individual cardiac time-frame. For example, figure 1B illustrates the use of peak systolic 3D streamlines and systolic velocity maximum intensity projection (MIP) to visualize the spatial distribution of aortic blood flow velocities. Color-coding by velocity magnitude facilitates the visual identification of regions with high systolic flow velocities.

For visualization of the temporal evolution of 3D blood flow over one or more heartbeats, timeresolved pathlines are the visualization method of choice. Color-coding of these traces allows for the visualization of velocity changes or to trace the flow pattern to its origin. Time-resolved pathlines are best viewed and displayed dynamically (movie mode) to fully appreciate the dynamic information and changes in blood flow over the cardiac cycle.

**Retrospective Flow Quantification:** Comprehensive visualization of blood flow in a 3D volume of interest enables a better understanding of the underlying pathologies, e.g. after a complex heart or aorta reconstruction surgery. The ability to perform additional quantitative analysis based on 4D flow MRI data has the potential to greatly impact diagnosis and patient management. In contrast to traditional 2D CINE PC-MRI, 4D flow MRI enables the retrospective quantification of hemodynamic parameters at any location within the 3D data volume at an offline workstation following acquisition<sup>23-25</sup>. For the quantification of standard flow parameters, 2D analysis planes can be flexibly positioned in any artery or vein. The 3D PC-MRA data can be used to define the outline of the vessel lumen and subsequently calculate peak and mean velocities, total flow, net flow, or retrograde flow. An example for the use of 4D flow MRI and retrospective quantification of flow parameters in the ascending and descending aorta is shown in figure 1B.

A number of studies comparing 2D CINE PC-MRI and 4D flow MRI have shown excellent agreement for flow quantification<sup>26, 27</sup>. Furthermore, good scan-rescan reproducibility and low inter- and intra-observer variability of 4D flow MRI based flow quantification has been demonstrated for intracranial, cervical, thoracic and abdominal applications<sup>26-29</sup>. It should be noted that a number of groups have presented strategies to assess more advanced hemodynamic parameters such as wall shear stress, pressure difference, pulse wave velocity, turbulent kinetic energy and others <sup>23, 30-38</sup>.

## References

- 1. Ebbers T. Flow imaging: Cardiac applications of 3d cine phase-contrast mri. *Current Cardiovascular Imaging Reports*. 2011;4:127-133
- 2. Markl M, Kilner PJ, Ebbers T. Comprehensive 4d velocity mapping of the heart and great vessels by cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2011;13:7
- 3. Frydrychowicz A, Francois CJ, Turski PA. Four-dimensional phase contrast magnetic resonance angiography: Potential clinical applications. *Eur J Radiol*. 2011
- 4. Markl M, Frydrychowicz A, Kozerke S, Hope M, Wieben O. 4d flow mri. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2012;36:1015-1036
- 5. Hope MD, Sedlic T, Dyverfeldt P. Cardiothoracic magnetic resonance flow imaging. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2013;28:217-230
- 6. Stankovic Z, Allen BD, Garcia J, Jarvis KB, Markl M. 4D flow imaging with mri. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther*. 2014;4:173-192
- 7. Pelc NJ, Herfkens RJ, Shimakawa A, Enzmann DR. Phase contrast cine magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Q*. 1991;7:229-254
- 8. Atkinson DJ, Edelman RR. Cineangiography of the heart in a single breath hold with a segmented turboflash sequence. *Radiology*. 1991;178:357-360

- 9. Thomsen C, Cortsen M, Sondergaard L, Henriksen O, Stahlberg F. A segmented k-space velocity mapping protocol for quantification of renal artery blood flow during breath-holding. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1995;5:393-401
- 10. Walker PG, Cranney GB, Scheidegger MB, Waseleski G, Pohost GM, Yoganathan AP. Semiautomated method for noise reduction and background phase error correction in mr phase velocity data. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1993;3:521-530
- 11. Bernstein MA, Zhou XJ, Polzin JA, King KF, Ganin A, Pelc NJ, Glover GH. Concomitant gradient terms in phase contrast mr: Analysis and correction. *Magn Reson Med.* 1998;39:300-308
- 12. Markl M, Bammer R, Alley MT, Elkins CJ, Draney MT, Barnett A, Moseley ME, Glover GH, Pelc NJ. Generalized reconstruction of phase contrast mri: Analysis and correction of the effect of gradient field distortions. *Magn Reson Med*. 2003;50:791-801
- 13. Dumoulin CL, Souza SP, Walker MF, Wagle W. Three-dimensional phase contrast angiography. *Magn Reson Med.* 1989;9:139-149
- 14. Bernstein MA, Ikezaki Y. Comparison of phase-difference and complex-difference processing in phase-contrast mr angiography. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1991;1:725-729
- 15. Napel S, Lee DH, Frayne R, Rutt BK. Visualizing three-dimensional flow with simulated streamlines and three- dimensional phase-contrast mr imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1992;2:143-153.
- 16. Kilner PJ, Yang GZ, Mohiaddin RH, Firmin DN, Longmore DB. Helical and retrograde secondary flow patterns in the aortic arch studied by three-directional magnetic resonance velocity mapping. *Circulation*. 1993;88:2235-2247
- 17. Bogren HG, Mohiaddin RH, Kilner PJ, Jimenez-Borreguero LJ, Yang GZ, Firmin DN. Blood flow patterns in the thoracic aorta studied with three-directional mr velocity mapping: The effects of age and coronary artery disease. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1997;7:784-793
- 18. Buonocore MH. Visualizing blood flow patterns using streamlines, arrows, and particle paths. *Magn Reson Med.* 1998;40:210-226
- 19. Wigstrom L, Ebbers T, Fyrenius A, Karlsson M, Engvall J, Wranne B, Bolger AF. Particle trace visualization of intracardiac flow using time-resolved 3d phase contrast mri. *Magn Reson Med.* 1999;41:793-799.
- 20. Kilner PJ, Yang GZ, Wilkes AJ, Mohiaddin RH, Firmin DN, Yacoub MH. Asymmetric redirection of flow through the heart. *Nature*. 2000;404:759-761.
- 21. Kozerke S, Hasenkam JM, Pedersen EM, Boesiger P. Visualization of flow patterns distal to aortic valve prostheses in humans using a fast approach for cine 3d velocity mapping. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2001;13:690-698.
- 22. Markl M, Draney MT, Hope MD, Levin JM, Chan FP, Alley MT, Pelc NJ, Herfkens RJ. Timeresolved 3-dimensional velocity mapping in the thoracic aorta: Visualization of 3-directional blood flow patterns in healthy volunteers and patients. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2004;28:459-468
- 23. Stalder AF, Russe MF, Frydrychowicz A, Bock J, Hennig J, Markl M. Quantitative 2d and 3d phase contrast mri: Optimized analysis of blood flow and vessel wall parameters. *Magn Reson Med.* 2008;60:1218-1231
- 24. Valverde I, Simpson J, Schaeffter T, Beerbaum P. 4d phase-contrast flow cardiovascular magnetic resonance: Comprehensive quantification and visualization of flow dynamics in atrial septal defect and partial anomalous pulmonary venous return. *Pediatr Cardiol.* 2010;31:1244-1248
- 25. Stankovic Z, Csatari Z, Deibert P, Euringer W, Blanke P, Kreisel W, Abdullah Zadeh Z, Kallfass F, Langer M, Markl M. Normal and altered three-dimensional portal venous hemodynamics in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Radiology*. 2012;262:862-873
- 26. Wentland AL, Grist TM, Wieben O. Repeatability and internal consistency of abdominal 2d and 4d phase contrast mr flow measurements. *Acad Radiol*. 2013;20:699-704

- 27. Meckel S, Leitner L, Bonati LH, Santini F, Schubert T, Stalder AF, Lyrer P, Markl M, Wetzel SG. Intracranial artery velocity measurement using 4d pc mri at 3 t: Comparison with transcranial ultrasound techniques and 2d pc mri. *Neuroradiology*. 2013;55:389-398
- 28. Markl M, Wallis W, Harloff A. Reproducibility of flow and wall shear stress analysis using flowsensitive four-dimensional mri. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2011;33:988-994
- 29. Nordmeyer S, Riesenkampff E, Crelier G, Khasheei A, Schnackenburg B, Berger F, Kuehne T. Flow-sensitive four-dimensional cine magnetic resonance imaging for offline blood flow quantification in multiple vessels: A validation study. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010;32:677-683
- 30. Oshinski JN, Curtin JL, Loth F. Mean-average wall shear stress measurements in the common carotid artery. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson.* 2006;8:717-722
- 31. Tyszka JM, Laidlaw DH, Asa JW, Silverman JM. Three-dimensional, time-resolved (4d) relative pressure mapping using magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2000;12:321-329.
- 32. Ebbers T, Wigstrom L, Bolger AF, Engvall J, Karlsson M. Estimation of relative cardiovascular pressures using time-resolved three-dimensional phase contrast mri. *Magn Reson Med.* 2001;45:872-879.
- 33. Lum DP, Johnson KM, Paul RK, Turk AS, Consigny DW, Grinde JR, Mistretta CA, Grist TM. Transstenotic pressure gradients: Measurement in swine--retrospectively ecg-gated 3d phasecontrast mr angiography versus endovascular pressure-sensing guidewires. *Radiology*. 2007;245:751-760
- 34. Bock J, Frydrychowicz A, Lorenz R, Hirtler D, Barker AJ, Johnson KM, Arnold R, Burkhardt H, Hennig J, Markl M. In vivo noninvasive 4d pressure difference mapping in the human aorta: Phantom comparison and application in healthy volunteers and patients. *Magn Reson Med.* 2011;66:1079-1088
- 35. Bolster BD, Jr., Atalar E, Hardy CJ, McVeigh ER. Accuracy of arterial pulse-wave velocity measurement using mr. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1998;8:878-888
- 36. Markl M, Wallis W, Brendecke S, Simon J, Frydrychowicz A, Harloff A. Estimation of global aortic pulse wave velocity by flow-sensitive 4d mri. *Magn Reson Med.* 2010;63:1575-1582
- 37. Dyverfeldt P, Kvitting JP, Sigfridsson A, Engvall J, Bolger AF, Ebbers T. Assessment of fluctuating velocities in disturbed cardiovascular blood flow: In vivo feasibility of generalized phase-contrast mri. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2008;28:655-663
- 38. Dyverfeldt P, Gardhagen R, Sigfridsson A, Karlsson M, Ebbers T. On mri turbulence quantification. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2009;27:913-922