

## How to improve the accuracy of total water content measured using T<sub>2</sub> relaxation

Sandra M. Meyers<sup>1</sup>, Shannon H. Kolind<sup>2</sup>, and Alex L. MacKay<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Radiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

**INTRODUCTION:** Multi-component T<sub>2</sub> relaxation is widely used to measure the myelin water fraction (MWF)<sup>1</sup>, a quantity that relates to myelin<sup>2</sup>. This technique has also been applied to measure total water content (TWC)<sup>3</sup>, which has recently been validated in phantom measurements<sup>4</sup>. It is important to report TWC alongside MWF because MWF is affected by changes in TWC. TWC can be measured by taking the integral under the T<sub>2</sub> distribution, which gives the intercept at time 0, correcting for T<sub>1</sub> relaxation and B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneity, and normalizing to a water reference (external water container or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF))<sup>4</sup>. This work is the first to estimate theoretical errors in T<sub>2</sub> based TWC measurement with simulations, and determine the impact of factors including the signal to noise ratio (SNR), flip angle inaccuracies (B<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup> inhomogeneity), and Rician noise, on the accuracy of TWC estimation.

**METHODS:** Brain voxels were simulated as a sum of up to 3 different pools of water protons (myelin, intra/extracellular (IE), and CSF). Synthetic signals were created for white matter (WM), grey matter (GM) and water reference voxels (an external standard and CSF). M<sub>0</sub> values were multiplied by (1-exp(-TR/T<sub>1</sub>)) to reproduce T<sub>1</sub> weighting. T<sub>2</sub> relaxation data was simulated using a modified extended phase graph algorithm, which models stimulated echoes that result from imperfect refocusing pulses<sup>5</sup>, matching sequence parameters to a GRASE sequence used previously for TWC mapping<sup>4,6</sup>. Rician or Gaussian noise was added to the decay curves, and T<sub>2</sub> distributions were calculated using NNLS fitting with concurrent correction for B<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup> inhomogeneity<sup>5</sup>. Simulation parameters were selected based on values observed *in vivo* (see Table 1), and 1000 noise realizations were performed for each set of parameters. Proton density (PD) was calculated by integrating signal in the T<sub>2</sub> distribution and dividing by (1-exp(-TR/T<sub>1</sub>)); myelin+IE water and CSF pool peaks in the brain voxel were T<sub>1</sub> corrected separately. TWC was calculated as PD<sub>myelin+IEwater</sub> / (PD<sub>water\_reference</sub> - PD<sub>CSF</sub>). TWC accuracy was measured by subtracting actual TWC from simulated TWC (indicating systematic error), and TWC variability was measured by taking the absolute standard deviation (SD) over each set of 1000 noise realizations (indicating random error). A linear model was used to determine the effect of SNR, flip angle and pool fractions on TWC, and a two-tailed Student's t-test was used for all other comparisons.

**RESULTS:** Unless specified, results are described for Rician noise. TWC was underestimated by 0.8% on average (-3.2%-0.5% error range), which was generally caused by a slight overestimation of signal in myelin+IE peaks and the water standard, and a greater underestimation of the CSF pool signal. The average SD of TWC estimates was 1.6% (0.3-4.6%). Table 2 shows effects of several factors on TWC estimation. Due to increased CSF fraction and T<sub>1</sub> weighting in GM, GM TWC was 0.6% less accurate and 0.3% more variable than that of WM. As SNR increased, the difference between Rician and Gaussian noise TWC values decreased.

Table 1. Simulation parameters			Table 2. Effect of various factors on accuracy and variability of TWC measurement				
Parameter	Value		Variable	Mean Error TWC (%)		Mean SD TWC (%)	
SNR	100, 200, 300		Noise type	Rician	Gaussian	Rician	Gaussian
Refocus flip angle (°)	150, 160, 170, 180			-0.8**	-0.3**	1.6**	0.5**
T <sub>2</sub> analysis	Regularized, non-regularized		Standard	External	CSF	External	CSF
Brain voxel:	WM	GM		-0.6*	-1.0*	1.2**	1.9**
M <sub>0</sub>	700	800	SNR	↓ as SNR ↑**		↓ as SNR ↑**	
Myelin pool fraction	0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15	0, 0.05	Regularization	Yes	No	Yes	No
CSF pool fraction (CSFfr)	0	0, 0.05, 0.1		-0.8*	-0.6*	1.6*	1.8*
T <sub>1</sub> of myelin and IE (s)	1	1.5	CSF fraction	↑ as CSFfr ↑**		↑ as CSFfr ↑*	
T <sub>1</sub> , T <sub>2</sub> of CSF (s)	4.3, 2	4.3, 2	Myelin fraction	No effect		No effect	
T <sub>2S</sub> of myelin, IE, CSF (s)	0.02, 0.08, 2	0.02, 0.08, 2	Refocus flip angle	No effect		↓ as flip angle ↑**	
Water reference voxel:	External	CSF	*p<0.05, **p<1E-09				
M <sub>0</sub>	1000	1000					
T <sub>1</sub> , T <sub>2</sub> (s)	0.65, 0.05	4.3, 2					

**DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION:** This work demonstrates that TWC can be measured to a high degree of accuracy (within 3%) using T<sub>2</sub> relaxation, even in the presence of B<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup> inhomogeneity and Rician noise. Simulations indicate that best results are obtained in voxels with low CSF content and T<sub>1</sub> values, using an external water standard with reduced T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> values, and either regularized or non-regularized T<sub>2</sub> analysis. To improve TWC accuracy further, a correction for Rician noise and the use of T<sub>2</sub> relaxation sequences with SNR>=200 is recommended.

**References:** 1. MacKay et al. MRM 1994;31:673-7. 2. Laule et al. Mult Scler 2006;12(6):747-53. 3. Whittall et al. MRM 1997;37:34-43. 4. Meyers et al. ISMRM 2014, p. 4242. 5. Prasloski et al. MRM 2012;67(6):1803-14. 6. Praskloski et al. NeuroImage 2012;63(1):533-9.