Quantification of Aortic Stiffness across the Cardiac Cycle Using Magnetic Resonance Elastography: Reproducibility Study
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Purpose: The measurement of arterial stiffness has long been an important parameter for determining the severity and risk involved with many cardiovascular diseases1. It has been shown that arterial stiffness varies across the cardiac cycle and has different effects in disease progression during systole when compared to diastole2. Previous studies have also shown that pressure-loading conditions are different for different disease states, which affects arterial stiffness across the cardiac cycle3. It is therefore important to have an accurate measurement of stiffness across the entire cardiac cycle in order to account for these variations and develop an efficient method of arterial stiffness quantification. Magnetic resonance elastography (MRE), a non-invasive MRI-based technique, has recently been applied to measure aortic stiffness4. The aim of the study is to determine MRE-derived shear stiffness (μMRE) of the abdominal aorta throughout the cardiac cycle.

Methods: An In-vivo abdominal aortic MRE was performed on 4 healthy volunteers of ages ranging from 20-33 years. All imaging was performed on a 3T-MRI Scanner (Tim-Trio, Siemens Healthcare, Germany). All the volunteers have been scanned twice in order to test the reproducibility of the MRE-derived stiffness measurements. The volunteers were positioned in the supine position and placed head first in the scanner. 70Hz mechanical waves were introduced into the aorta using a pneumatic driver system as shown in figure 12. A 2D multi-slice segmented, retrospective cardiac-gated, gradient recalled echo-MRE cine sequence was performed to acquire wave data in the sagittal slices of the abdominal aorta. The imaging parameters included: TE/TR = 9.52/14.3 ms, # of segments = 8 (+/- motion encoding) matrix = 128x64, FOV = 40 cm², α = 25°, 8 cardiac phases, slice thickness = 6 mm and a motion encoding gradient of 120Hz was applied separately in the x, y, and z direction to encode the motion. Sagittal images were masked to delineate abdominal aorta (Figure 2) and MRE wave images were analyzed with MRE-Lab (Mayo Clinic Rochester, MN) to obtain 3D stiffness (μMRE) values of the abdominal aorta5. Standard bSSFP short-axis cine imaging of the left ventricle was performed to determine trigger times for end-diastolic and end-systolic phases, which were then matched with data from the multi-slice aortic MRE scan to determine stiffness at the aforementioned points in the cardiac cycle.

Results: Figure 2 (a) shows the sagittal magnitude image with the red contour used for segmenting the abdominal aorta; (b-e) corresponding snap shots of wave propagation in one of the volunteers; f & g are the MRE-weighted stiffness maps from three encoding directions for both (f) end-diastolic phase (2.5±0.9 kPa) and (g) end-systolic phase (3.1±0.7 kPa) using a local frequency estimation inversion algorithm. Figure 3 shows a plot of μMRE stiffness values at various points in the cardiac cycle for the same volunteer with higher stiffness values at end-systole and lower values at end-diastole. Furthermore, figure 3 also shows the plot of μMRE stiffness value acquired from reproducibility scan for the same volunteer; where the dashed line indicates first scan and bold line indicates the second scan. The variation in stiffness values between the reproducibility scans in a volunteer was within 1 standard deviation at all cardiac phases. Figure 3 shows a bar graph of mean μMRE stiffness values from four volunteers at end-systole and end-diastole.

Conclusions: The initial results of this study demonstrate reproducibility and the feasibility of determining μMRE stiffness values during the cardiac cycle. The results also show a variation in μMRE stiffness values throughout cardiac cycle where stiffness values were observed to be lower in diastole when compared to systole. However, additional data is warranted to establish the stiffness values of the aorta during the cardiac cycle.
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