Session: Quantitative Biomarkers of Diffuse Liver Disease Course Lecture: Fat and Iron Date: Monday May 12, 2014 Speaker: Claude B. Sirlin, MD Speaker institution: Liver Imaging Group, Dept. of Radiology, UC–San Diego, USA

Highlights (liver fat):

- In- and out-of-phase gradient echo MR imaging permits estimation of the *signal* fat fraction.
- The signal fat fraction may be confounded by T1 bias, T2* signal decay, multiinterference effects caused by protons in fat, and other factors. As a result, the signal fat fraction may be inaccurate and non-reproducible.
- Advanced MR techniques address these confounders and permit estimation of the proton density fat fraction¹.
- The proton density fat fraction is an unconfounded, accurate, and reproducible (across field strength and scanner manufacturer) measure of fat content.
- Commercial techniques to estimate the proton density fat fraction are becoming available.

Highlights (liver iron):

- Current MR imaging approaches for quantification of liver iron are based on the reduction in T2 and T2* (increase in R2 and R2*) caused by iron. These approaches include signal intensity ratio, R2 (=1/T2) mapping, R2* (=1/R2*) mapping.
- The leading signal intensity ratio approach is that proposed by Gandon et al^{*}. This method is simple to implement but requires several acquisitions, has limited dynamic range, and even with use of standardized parameters may have limited reproducibility across scanner types.
- The leading R2 (=1/T2) mapping technique is that proposed by St Pierre et al . This method is commercially available and FDA approved, but data analysis must be done by the company and cannot be completed directly by the radiologist, which introduces costs and logistical difficulties. Moreover, total acquisition time is long (about 20 minutes) due to the use of multiple free-breathing sequences.
- Numerous R2* (=1/T2*) mapping techniques have been proposed. These methods are rapid, permitting estimation of R2* in a single breathhold, but the R2* value is technique dependent and a standardized technique has not yet emerged.

Target audience:

Radiologists in academic and private practice, radiology residents and fellows, and MR technologists

Objectives:

As a result of the information presented in this talk, learners will be able to:

• Understand the need for accurate, repeatable, reproducible, and robust quantification of liver fat and liver iron

• Become familiar with and understand the advantages and limitations of current leading methods for quantification of liver fat and iron

The problem: there is a need for non-invasive quantitative biomarkers for assessment of liver fat and liver iron.

Liver fat.

A tiny amount of fat in the liver is considered normal. The presence of excess fat in the liver, however, is pathologic. The condition of having excess fat in the liver is known as steatosis. In this condition, fat accumulates within hepatocytes, mainly as triglyceride. Liver steatosis is the histologic hallmark of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and also may occur in association with other chronic liver diseases. Steatosis is important because it may contribute to the development of hepatic morbidities (cirrhosis, liver failure, hepatocellular carcinoma) and extrahepatic morbidities (cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and

colorectal cancer) and also predisposes to postoperative liver failure after liver surgery. The current diagnostic gold standard for assessing liver fat content is liver biopsy with histology analysis and determination of steatosis grade. Due to invasiveness, sampling variability, and cost, biopsy is suboptimal for initial diagnostic evaluation of suspected steatosis, monitoring

steatosis severity, and clinical trials. Non-invasive methods to quantify liver fat across the entire liver are needed.

Liver iron.

Liver iron overload refers to the accumulation of excess iron, mainly as ferritin or hemosiderin, in hepatocytes or Kupffer cells or both. The two most common causes are hereditary hemochromatosis (intestinal overabsorption) and transfusional hemosiderosis (repeated transfusions for chronic anemias). Liver iron overload is important because it can lead to liver damage (fibrosis, cirrhosis, liver failure, hepatocellular carcinoma); also, the liver iron concentration is a marker of total body iron stores. The current diagnostic gold standard for assessing liver iron overload is liver biopsy with either histologic analysis (and determination of iron grade) or biochemical analysis (and measurement of liver iron concentration). The same specimen cannot be analyzed both histologically and biochemically. Due to invasiveness, sampling variability, and cost, biopsy is suboptimal for initial diagnostic evaluation of suspected

liver iron overload, monitoring iron overload severity, and clinical trials . Non-invasive methods to quantify liver iron across the entire liver are needed.

MRI methods for quantification of liver fat and liver iron.

Liver fat.

The most common MRI method for quantifying liver fat is dual-echo (in- and out-of-phase) gradient echo imaging. This method permits calculation of the *signal* fat fraction using the following simple formula: Signal fat fraction = $(S_{IP} - S_{OP})/(2*S_{IP})$, where S_{IP} is the signal intensity

on the in-phase image and S_{OP} is the signal intensity on the out-of-phase image . This formula can be applied in user-defined regions of interest or pixel by pixel to generate signal fat fraction maps that depict the signal fat fraction in each pixel of the image. The main advantage of the signal fat fraction method is that it is easy to implement, as it makes use of commercially available sequences utilized in routine clinical practice and the mathematical model is simple. However, the signal fat fraction is not an accurate or reproducible estimate of liver fat content, as it may be confounded by T1 bias, T2* signal decay, the spectral complexity of fat, as well as other factors ^{6,9}. Consequently, the signal fat fraction depends on field strength, scanner

platform, acquisition parameters, and presence of concomitant liver abnormalities such as iron overload.

Advanced MR techniques address the confounders that corrupt the fat fraction estimation using dual-echo imaging and permit estimation of the *proton density* fat fraction¹. Two approaches for estimating the proton density fat fraction have been developed: one uses magnitude data^{10,11} and one uses complex data^{12,13}. The magnitude data approach estimates the proton density fat fraction from 0 to about 50% while the complex data approach estimates the proton density fat fraction from 0 to 100%. Since the proton density fat fraction of the human liver rarely exceeds 50%, even in patients with extreme steatosis, the magnitude data approach suffice, however, for fat quantification in tissues such as adipose and bone marrow, where the proton density fat fraction may exceed 50%. For this purpose, the complex data approach is necessary.

The magnitude data and the complex data approaches both use low flip angle to minimize T1 bias acquire multiple (\geq 3 echoes) echoes at echo times appropriate for fat-water separation and for correction of T2* signal decay , and incorporate into their mathematical model the multi-interference effects of protons in fat . The complex data approach also corrects for noise bias and eddy current effects ; these factors are negligible and do not require correction with the magnitude data approach.

<u>Liver iron.</u>

Numerous clinical studies have shown that both approaches estimate the proton density fat fraction with high accuracy, using spectroscopy or liver biopsy with histology as reference, as well as high repeatability¹¹, reproducibility (across field strength and scanner manufacturer)^{22,23}, and robustness²⁴.

The proton density fat fraction is emerging as a standardized non-invasive quantitative biomarker of liver fat content¹, and commercial techniques to estimate the proton density fat fraction are becoming available.

<u>Liver iron.</u>

Current MR imaging approaches for quantification of liver iron are based on the reduction in T2 and T2* (increase in R2 and R2*) caused by iron. These approaches include signal intensity ratio, R2 (=1/T2) mapping, R2* (=1/R2*) mapping.

Signal intensity ratio. The leading signal intensity ratio approach is that proposed by Gandon et al². This method is simple to implement. Five breath-hold gradient echo sequences are obtained in separate breath-holds, keeping the TR constant but using different flip angles (20° or 90°) to alter T1-weighting and different TEs (between 4-21 ms) to alter T2*-weighting. Liver and muscle signal intensity measurements are performed on three ROIs within the right lobe of the liver, and in two ROIs in the right and left paraspinal muscles, respectively. Thus, each of the five sequences results in a different liver/muscle signal intensity ratio. These five values are then combined to provide an LIC estimate by plugging in the values into a publicly available web-based tool (http://www.radio.univ-rennes1.fr/Sources/EN/HemoCalc15.html) using a proprietary algorithm. Although this method is widely used, it has limitations. It requires several acquisitions with total examination time in the range of several minutes, has limited dynamic range (unable to reliably estimate liver iron concentration > 300 umol/g), and even

with use of standardized parameters may have limited reproducibility across scanner types R2 (=1/T2 mapping). The leading R2 (=1/T2) mapping technique is that proposed by St

Pierre et al³. This method uses five T2-weighted single-spin-echo free-breathing sequences with constant TR and increasing TE spaced at 3-ms intervals (TEs=6,9,12,15,18ms). An external calibration phantom with very long T2 is placed within the field of view to permit correction for scanner drift between sequences acquired at different TEs. Numerous post-processing steps are required; these include gain drift correction, respiratory motion correction, background noise subtraction, estimation of effective initial signal intensity at TE = 0, and bi-exponential modeling pixel by pixel (after smoothing voxel intensities over a 5 x 5 window kernel to reduce image noise)^{26,27}. The bi-exponential model estimates, for each pixel, two R2 values, one corresponding to a short-T2 (iron-dense) tissue component and one to a long-T2 (iron-sparse) tissue component. A composite R2 value at each voxel is then calculated as the average of the two R2 values, weighted by their respective population densities. The mean composite R2 value in the liver then is measured by placing a large ROI, excluding vessels and artifacts, in a slice with large liver cross-section and without major motion artifacts. In a validation study of this technique in more than 100 patients with LIC values ranging from 0.3 to 42.7 mg Fe/g dry weight, liver R2 demonstrated a curvilinear relationship with LIC, with a correlation coefficient of 0.98 and limits

of agreement between -56% and 50%, over the entire LIC range. St Pierre et al derived an empirical formula that expressed R2 as function of LIC, reflecting this curvilinear relationship.

Wood et al inverted the formula to express iron concentration as a function of R2:



An important advantage of the St. Pierre method is that is has received FDA approval. The method is commercially available. The company provides liver iron concentration estimation by analyzing images acquired using its protocol.

Although FDA approved, the method has limitations. The data analysis must be done by the company and cannot be completed directly by the radiologist, which introduces costs and logistical difficulties. Moreover, total acquisition time is long (about 20 minutes) due to the use of multiple free-breathing sequences.

 $R2^*$ (=1/T2*) mapping. Numerous R2* (=1/T2*) mapping methods have been proposed and no one method has yet emerged as the accepted or standardized technique. The various methods typically acquire multiple echoes, over a range of echo times appropriate for

estimating R2* over the clinically relevant values of R2* values (e.g., 33-2000 s-1 at 1.5T), within a single breathhold utilizing 2D or 3D spoiled gradient echo multi-echo sequences. Optimally, the first echo should be as short as possible (1 ms or less) and the echo spacing should be short enough to capture the signal decay in cases of severe iron overload (eg: 1 ms). The total number of echoes and choice of last echo time depends on the precision requirements

for low iron values, but typically 10-15 ms is sufficient .

The R2* is computed from the observed rate of exponential decay of the gradient echo signal, either pixel by pixel to generate an R2* map or after averaging the measured signal within a user-defined region of interest. Two general approaches have been advocated: one uses the magnitude signal and one uses complex signal. Using both approaches, the R2* value may be confounded by concomitant liver fat, field strength, and background B0 field variations such as those caused by tissue-gas interfaces. Although numerous methods can be used to address the confounding effects of liver fat , the most robust solution is probably to utilize a proton density fat fraction estimation technique , as these techniques permit estimation of R2* unconfounded by fat as well as estimation of the fat content. Correction for field strength is theoretically straightforward since it has been shown that the R2* is proportional to the field strength ³⁴; thus, the R2* at 3T and 1.5T can be converted using the formula: R2*_{3T} = 2R2*_{1.5T}

To minimize the effect of background field variation, acquisitions should be performed with high enough spatial resolution to reduce intra-voxel field inhomogeneity or R2* measurements should be obtained from locations within the liver physically remote from and hence unaffected by tissue-gas interfaces³⁵.

The magnitude signal approach is also confounded by noise floor bias. This occurs because magnitude data contains a "noise floor" with nonzero mean at low SNR due to the non-Gaussian noise distribution of magnitude MR signals. This noise floor can introduce systematic underestimation in magnitude-based R2* estimation. To reduce the bias caused by the noise floor, three approaches have been advocated: 1) baseline fitting, based on approximately modeling the noise floor as a constant offset ³⁶; 2) signal truncation ^{37,38}, where echoes considered to be below the noise floor (at the end of the echo train) are discarded, and 3) filtering the images to reduce noise prior to signal fitting ³⁹.

By comparison, complex signal techniques are unaffected by noise floor effects because ⁴⁰ the complex signal noise distribution is Gaussian with zero mean⁴⁰. For this reason, complex R2* fitting theoretically is a more robust approach. An additional benefit is that the complex data ^{31,35,41-43} can be used to estimate the field inhomogeneity and remove its effects⁴⁰, thereby permitting generation of R2* maps relatively unaffected by background field variation.

Numerous studies have shown that liver R2* at 1.5T is linearly related to biopsy-measured liver iron concentration. For example, Wood et al calibrated R2* to liver iron concentration in 20 patients with transfusion-dependent thalassemia or sickle cell disease. For the 19/20 patients with LIC values ranging from 1.3 to 32.9 mg iron/g dry weight, Wood derived a linear relationship between R2* and LIC, with a correlation coefficient of 0.97 and limits of agreement of -46% to 44%. This relationship is described by the empirical equation

$$[Fe] = 0.202 + 0.0254 R2^*$$

Importantly, this equation is valid only for the particular field strength, imaging

parameters, and reconstruction technique used by Wood; it cannot be generalized to other methods of R2* measurement. Other authors also have observed linear relationships between R2* and liver iron concentration, but have derived different calibration curves ⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷, likely due to differences in fat content, susceptibility, fitting methods, and other factors. Thus, a major limitation of R2* as a biomarker of liver iron concentration is that the value is technique dependent and a standardized technique has not yet emerged. An additional limitation of current R2* mapping techniques is that they have limited accuracy in the setting of extreme iron overload because substantial signal loss has already occurred by the first acquired echo. Ultrashort echo time techniques based on radial acquisitions have been proposed to permit R2*

estimation in extreme iron overload

Conclusion and future directions.

Advanced MRI techniques have been developed that estimate the *proton density* fat fraction, a standardized non-invasive quantitative biomarker of liver fat content, and these techniques are becoming commercially available. Numerous single-center studies have shown these techniques to be accurate, repeatable, reproducible, and robust. Multi-center validation of these techniques is needed and is under way.

Numerous MRI approaches for quantification of liver iron have been developed. Each approach has advantages and disadvantages. R2* (=1/T2*) mapping techniques are especially attractive because they permit liver iron assessment in a single breathhold. A major limitation of R2* as a biomarker of liver iron concentration is that the value is technique dependent and a standardized technique has not yet emerged. An additional limitation of current R2* mapping techniques is that they have limited accuracy in the setting of extreme iron overload. Future directions include standardization of R2* estimation methodology and development of R2* estimation techniques that remain accurate in extreme iron overload.

References

1. Reeder SB, Hu HH, Sirlin CB. Proton density fat-fraction: a standardized MR-based biomarker of tissue fat concentration. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI.* Nov 2012;36(5):1011-1014.

2. Gandon Y, Olivie D, Guyader D, et al. Non-invasive assessment of hepatic iron stores by MRI. *Lancet.* Jan 31 2004;363(9406):357-362.

3. St Pierre TG, Clark PR, Chua-anusorn W, et al. Noninvasive measurement and imaging of liver iron concentrations using proton magnetic resonance. *Blood.* Jan 15 2005;105(2):855-861.

4. Armstrong MJ, Adams LA, Canbay A, Syn WK. Extra-hepatic complications of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* Sep 3 2013.

5. McCormack L, Capitanich P, Quinonez E. Liver surgery in the presence of cirrhosis or steatosis: Is morbidity increased? *Patient safety in surgery*. 2008;2:8.

6. Reeder SB, Cruite I, Hamilton G, Sirlin CB. Quantitative Assessment of Liver Fat with Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Spectroscopy. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI*. Oct 2011;34(4):spcone.

7. Sirlin CB, Reeder SB. Magnetic resonance imaging quantification of liver iron. *Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America*. Aug 2010;18(3):359-381, ix.

8. Cassidy FH, Yokoo T, Aganovic L, et al. Fatty liver disease: MR imaging techniques for the detection and quantification of liver steatosis. *Radiographics : a review publication of the*

Radiological Society of North America, Inc. Jan-Feb 2009;29(1):231-260.

9. Reeder SB, Sirlin CB. Quantification of liver fat with magnetic resonance imaging. *Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America*. Aug 2010;18(3):337-357, ix.

10. Yokoo T, Bydder M, Hamilton G, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: diagnostic and fat-grading accuracy of low-flip-angle multiecho gradient-recalled-echo MR imaging at 1.5 T. *Radiology*. Apr 2009;251(1):67-76.

11. Yokoo T, Shiehmorteza M, Hamilton G, et al. Estimation of hepatic proton-density fat fraction by using MR imaging at 3.0 T. *Radiology.* Mar 2011;258(3):749-759.

12. Hines CD, Yu H, Shimakawa A, McKenzie CA, Brittain JH, Reeder SB. T1 independent, T2* corrected MRI with accurate spectral modeling for quantification of fat: validation in a fat-water-SPIO phantom. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI*. Nov 2009;30(5):1215-1222.

13. Meisamy S, Hines CD, Hamilton G, et al. Quantification of hepatic steatosis with T1independent, T2-corrected MR imaging with spectral modeling of fat: blinded comparison with MR spectroscopy. *Radiology.* Mar 2011;258(3):767-775.

14. Liu CY, McKenzie CA, Yu H, Brittain JH, Reeder SB. Fat quantification with IDEAL gradient echo imaging: correction of bias from T(1) and noise. *Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. Aug 2007;58(2):354-364.

15. Bydder M, Yokoo T, Hamilton G, et al. Relaxation effects in the quantification of fat using gradient echo imaging. *Magnetic resonance imaging*. Apr 2008;26(3):347-359.

16. Yu H, Shimakawa A, McKenzie CA, Brodsky E, Brittain JH, Reeder SB. Multiecho water-fat separation and simultaneous R2* estimation with multifrequency fat spectrum modeling. *Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine.* Nov 2008;60(5):1122-1134.

17. Johnson BL, Schroeder ME, Wolfson T, et al. Effect of flip angle on the accuracy and repeatability of hepatic proton density fat fraction estimation by complex data-based, T1-independent, T2*-corrected, spectrum-modeled MRI. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI*. Apr 17 2013.

18. Hamilton G, Middleton M, Yokoo T, et al. In-vivo Determination of the Full1H MR Spectrum of Liver Fat. Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med.; 2010; Stockholm, Sweden.

19. Tang A, Tan J, Sun M, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: MR imaging of liver proton density fat fraction to assess hepatic steatosis. Radiology. May 2013;267(2):422-431.

20. Idilman IS, Aniktar H, Idilman R, et al. Hepatic steatosis: quantification by proton density fat fraction with MR imaging versus liver biopsy. Radiology. Jun 2013;267(3):767-775.

21. Permutt Z, Le TA, Peterson MR, et al. Correlation between liver histology and novel magnetic resonance imaging in adult patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease - MRI accurately quantifies hepatic steatosis in NAFLD. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics. Jul 2012;36(1):22-29.

22. Kang GH, Cruite I, Shiehmorteza M, et al. Reproducibility of MRI-determined proton density fat fraction across two different MR scanner platforms. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI. Oct 2011;34(4):928-934.

23. Mashhood A, Railkar R, Yokoo T, et al. Reproducibility of hepatic fat fraction measurement by magnetic resonance imaging. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI. Jun 2013;37(6):1359-1370.

24. Hansen KH, Schroeder ME, Hamilton G, Sirlin CB, Bydder M. Robustness of fat quantification using chemical shift imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging. Feb 2012;30(2):151-157.

25. Virtanen JM, Komu ME, Parkkola RK. Quantitative liver iron measurement by magnetic

resonance imaging: in vitro and in vivo assessment of the liver to muscle signal intensity and the R2* methods. Magnetic resonance imaging. Oct 2008;26(8):1175-1182.

26. Clark PR, Chua-anusorn W, St Pierre TG. Bi-exponential proton transverse relaxation rate (R2) image analysis using RF field intensity-weighted spin density projection: potential for R2 measurement of iron-loaded liver. Magnetic resonance imaging. Jun 2003;21(5):519-530.

27. St Pierre TG, Clark PR, Chua-Anusorn W. Single spin-echo proton transverse relaxometry of iron-loaded liver. NMR in biomedicine. Nov 2004;17(7):446-458.

28. Wood JC, Enriquez C, Ghugre N, et al. MRI R2 and R2* mapping accurately estimates hepatic iron concentration in transfusion-dependent thalassemia and sickle cell disease patients. Blood. Aug 15 2005;106(4):1460-1465.

29. Wood JC, Ghugre N. Magnetic resonance imaging assessment of excess iron in thalassemia, sickle cell disease and other iron overload diseases. Hemoglobin. 2008;32(1-2):85-96.

30. Hernando D, Kramer JH, Reeder SB. Multipeak fat-corrected complex R2* relaxometry: theory, optimization, and clinical validation. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Nov 2013;70(5):1319-1331.

31. Dahnke H, Schaeffter T. Limits of detection of SPIO at 3.0 T using T2 relaxometry. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. May 2005;53(5):1202-1206.

32. Kuhn JP, Hernando D, Munoz del Rio A, et al. Effect of multipeak spectral modeling of fat for liver iron and fat quantification: correlation of biopsy with MR imaging results. Radiology. Oct 2012;265(1):133-142.

33. Hernando D, Kuhn JP, Mensel B, et al. R2* estimation using "in-phase" echoes in the presence of fat: the effects of complex spectrum of fat. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI. Mar 2013;37(3):717-726.

34. Storey P, Thompson AA, Carqueville CL, Wood JC, de Freitas RA, Rigsby CK. R2* imaging of transfusional iron burden at 3T and comparison with 1.5T. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI. Mar 2007;25(3):540-547.

35. Hernando D, Vigen KK, Shimakawa A, Reeder SB. R*(2) mapping in the presence of macroscopic B(0) field variations. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Sep 2012;68(3):830-840.

36. Ghugre NR, Enriquez CM, Coates TD, Nelson MD, Jr., Wood JC. Improved R2* measurements in myocardial iron overload. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI. Jan 2006;23(1):9-16.

37. He T, Gatehouse PD, Smith GC, Mohiaddin RH, Pennell DJ, Firmin DN. Myocardial T2* measurements in iron-overloaded thalassemia: An in vivo study to investigate optimal methods of quantification. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Nov 2008;60(5):1082-1089.

38. Yin X, Shah S, Katsaggelos AK, Larson AC. Improved R2* measurement accuracy with absolute SNR truncation and optimal coil combination. NMR in biomedicine. Dec 2010;23(10):1127-1136.

39. Feng Y, He T, Feng M, et al. Improved pixel-by-pixel MRI R2* relaxometry by nonlocal means. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Aug 20 2013.

40. Vasanawala SS, Yu H, Shimakawa A, Jeng M, Brittain JH. Estimation of liver T(2) in

transfusion-related iron overload in patients with weighted least squares T(2) IDEAL. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Jan 2012;67(1):183-190.

41. Fernandez-Seara MA, Wehrli FW. Postprocessing technique to correct for background gradients in image-based R*(2) measurements. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Sep 2000;44(3):358-366.

42. Yang X, Sammet S, Schmalbrock P, Knopp MV. Postprocessing correction for distortions in T2* decay caused by quadratic cross-slice B0 inhomogeneity. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. May 2010;63(5):1258-1268.

43. Baudrexel S, Volz S, Preibisch C, et al. Rapid single-scan T2*-mapping using exponential excitation pulses and image-based correction for linear background gradients. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Jul 2009;62(1):263-268.

44. Anderson LJ, Holden S, Davis B, et al. Cardiovascular T2-star (T2*) magnetic resonance for the early diagnosis of myocardial iron overload. European heart journal. Dec 2001;22(23):2171-2179.

45. Christoforidis A, Perifanis V, Spanos G, et al. MRI assessment of liver iron content in thalassamic patients with three different protocols: comparisons and correlations. European journal of haematology. May 2009;82(5):388-392.

46. Hankins JS, McCarville MB, Loeffler RB, et al. R2* magnetic resonance imaging of the liver in patients with iron overload. Blood. May 14 2009;113(20):4853-4855.

47. Garbowski MW, Carpenter JP, Smith G, Pennell DJ, Porter JB. Calibration of Improved T2* Method for the Estimation of Liver Iron Concentration in Transfusional Iron Overload. 51st Annual Meeting of the American-Society of Hematology; 2009; New Orleans, LA.

Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. 22 (2014)