

Diffusion Goes Mad Session

Higher Order Diffusion Models and Methods – Going Beyond the Diffusion Tensor

Noam Shemesh

Chemical Physics Department, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel; noam.shemesh@weizmann.ac.il

Diffusion weighted MRI (DWI) has become instrumental in noninvasive characterizations of Central-Nervous-System (CNS) tissues *in vivo*^{1,2}. By monitoring micron-scale spin displacements arising from Brownian motions, DWI provides access to dimensions much smaller than the imaging voxel size. The ensuing diffusion-driven contrasts have been harnessed, *inter alia*, for early diagnosis of ischemia^{3,4}; for monitoring various CNS pathologies⁵; for studying CNS development⁶ and plasticity⁷; and, more recently, even for tracking neural activity *in vivo*^{8,9}.

It has been long recognized that DWI contrasts vary strongly with the direction of the applied diffusion-sensitizing gradients in white matter (WM)^{10,11}. It has been shown that this diffusion anisotropy can be used to map the orientations of WM fibers *in vivo* and noninvasively^{12,13}. To date, the most widely employed model for mapping orientations is the diffusion tensor, which assumes that: (1) a single water reservoir is being interrogated in each voxel; (2) the microstructures comprising the WM fiber are coherently aligned; (3) the fiber's overall structure can be modeled by a single “ellipsoid” conveying the underlying anisotropy and the fiber's respective orientation. To fully characterize the diffusion tensor, several non-collinear measurements using low diffusion weightings are required; the ensuing apparent diffusion coefficients are then transformed to the fiber's principal axis system, from which the rotationally invariant metrics can be extracted^{1,2,12-14}.

Although highly successful and widely employed, Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) does not take into account the richness of CNS microstructures vis-à-vis their underlying topologies; in some voxels – in particular in those containing crossing fibers and/or other forms of orientational dispersion – the diffusion tensor no longer provides an accurate description of the structural morphology. This, in turn, can significantly impact fiber tracking procedures¹⁵. On the other hand, more elaborate modeling of CNS tissues – and the design of more elaborate methods and acquisition schemes that facilitate the estimation of these higher-order models – could account for some of the CNS's structural diversity, and provide more accurate information on the tissue's microstructure¹⁶.

The aims of this lecture are therefore (i) to explore more advanced diffusion models addressing the possibility of structural heterogeneity still at the low diffusion weighting regime; (ii) to explore higher order diffusion models that require stronger diffusion weighting; (iii) to survey novel diffusion MRI methods departing from the dogma of a single pair of diffusion-sensitizing gradients, and explore their added value in terms of microstructural characterization.

In the first part of the lecture, we shall describe models such as multi-tensor¹⁷ and Ball & Stick¹⁸ and their potential benefits for fiber tracking¹⁵. We shall then touch upon High Angular Resolution Diffusion Imaging (HARDI) models such as and Persistent-Angular-Structure (PAS)¹⁹ and QBALL²⁰ MRI, and further discuss measurements of the 3-dimensional propagator via Diffusion Spectrum Imaging (DSI)²¹. In the final part of the lecture, we shall discuss Oscillating-Gradient Spin-Echo (OGSE) approaches²² for enhancing diffusion MRI's sensitivity towards smaller, more biologically relevant length scales, as well as double-Pulsed-Field-Gradient (dPFG) MRI^{23,24} for probing highly disordered systems.

References

1. Johansen-Berg H. and Behrens T.E.J. Diffusion MRI: From quantitative measurement to in-vivo anatomy. *Academic Press* (2009).
2. Jones, D. K. Diffusion MRI: Theory, Methods and Applications, 1st Ed. *Oxford University Press* (2011).
3. Moseley, M. E., Cohen, Y., Mintorovitch, J., Chileuitt, L., Shimizu, H., Kucharczyk, J., Wendland, M. F., and Weinstein, P. R. Early detection of regional cerebral-ischemia in cats - comparison of Diffusion-Weighted and T2-Weighted MRI and spectroscopy. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 14 (1990) 330-346.
4. Sotak, C. H. The role of diffusion tensor imaging in the evaluation of ischemic brain injury - a review. *NMR Biomed.* 15 (2002) 561-569.
5. Horsfield, M. A. and Jones, D. K. Applications of diffusion-weighted and diffusion tensor MRI to white matter diseases - a review. *NMR Biomed.* 15 (2002) 570-577.
6. Mori, S., Itoh, R., Zhang, J. Y., Kaufmann, W. E., van Zijl, P. C. M., Solaiyappan, M., and Yarowsky, P. Diffusion tensor imaging of the developing mouse brain. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 46 (2001) 18-23.
7. Zatorre, R. J., Fields, R. D., and Johansen-Berg, H. Plasticity in gray and white: neuroimaging changes in brain structure during learning. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15 (2012) 528-536.
8. Le Bihan, D. Looking into the functional architecture of the brain with diffusion MRI. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 4 (2003) 469-480.
9. Le Bihan, D., Urayama, S., Aso, T., Hanakawa, T., and Fukuyama, H. Direct and fast detection of neuronal activation in the human brain with diffusion MRI. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103 (2006) 8263-8268.
10. Moseley, M. E., Cohen, Y., Kucharczyk, J., Mintorovitch, J., Asgari, H. S., Wendland, M. F., Tsuruda, J., and Norman, D. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging of anisotropic water diffusion in cat central-nervous-system. *Radiology* 176 (1990) 439-445.
11. Beaulieu, C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - a technical review. *NMR Biomed.* 15 (2002) 435-455.
12. Basser, P. J., Mattiello, J., and Lebihan, D. Estimation of the effective self-diffusion tensor from the NMR spin-echo. *J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B* 103 (1994) 247-254.
13. Basser, P. J. and Jones, D. K. Diffusion-tensor MRI: theory, experimental design and data analysis - a technical review. *NMR Biomed.* 15 (2002) 456-467.
14. Mori, S. and Zhang, J. Y. Principles of diffusion tensor imaging and its applications to basic neuroscience research. *Neuron* 51 (2006) 527-539.
15. Lazar, M. Mapping brain anatomical connectivity using white matter tractography. *NMR Biomed.* 23 (2010) 821-835.
16. Panagiotaki, E., Schneider, T., Siow, B., Hall, M. G., Lythgoe, M. F., and Alexander, D. C. Compartment models of the diffusion MR signal in brain white matter: a taxonomy and comparison. *Neuroimage* 59 (2012) 2241-2254.
17. Peled, S., Friman, O., Jolesz, F., and Westin, C. F. Geometrically constrained two-tensor model for crossing tracts in DWI. *Magn. Reson. Imaging* 24 (2006) 1263-1270.
18. Behrens, T. E. J., Woolrich, M. W., Jenkinson, M., Johansen-Berg, H., Nunes, R. G., Clare, S., Matthews, P. M., Brady, J. M., and Smith, S. M. Characterization and propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted MR imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 50 (2003) 1077-1088.
19. Jansons, K. M. and Alexander, D. C. Persistent angular structure: new insights from diffusion magnetic resonance imaging data. *Inverse Problems* 19 (2003) 1031-1046.
20. Tuch, D. S. Q-Ball imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 52 (2004) 1358-1372.
21. Wedeen, V. J., Wang, R. P., Schmahmann, J. D., Benner, T., Tseng, W. Y. I., Dai, G., Pandya, D. N., Hagmann, P., D'Arceuil, H., and de Crespigny, A. J. Diffusion spectrum magnetic resonance imaging (DSI) tractography of crossing fibers. *Neuroimage* 41 (2008) 1267-1277.

22. Gore, J. C., Xu, J. Z., Colvin, D. C., Yankeelov, T. E., Parsons, E. C., and Does, M. D. Characterization of tissue structure at varying length scales using temporal diffusion spectroscopy. *Nmr in Biomedicine* 23 (2010) 745-756.
23. Finsterbusch, J. Multiple-Wave-Vector Diffusion-Weighted NMR. *Ann. Reports NMR Spectrosc.*, Vol 72 72 (2010) 225-299.
24. Shemesh, N., Özarslan, E., Komlosh, M. E., Basser, P. J., and Cohen, Y. From single-pulsed field gradient to double-pulsed field gradient MR: gleaning new microstructural information and developing new forms of contrast in MRI. *NMR Biomed.* 23 (2010) 757-780.