Unique Steps into the Tissue/Microstructure

The myocardium

Prof. Jeanette Schulz-Menger, MD

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Group, Experimental and Clinical Research Center, Max-Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine and Charité Medical Faculty and Department of Cardiology, HELIOS Klinikum-Berlin, Clinic for Cardiology and Nephrology Lindenberger Weg 80, 13125 Berlin, Germany Tel. +49 30 9401 52903 Email jeanette.schulz-menger@charite.de

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR) is able not only to assess cardiac function, but also to visualize small myocardial lesions and to differentiate myocardial tissue changes. That's why CMR is of growing impact in clinical routine in different hospitals depending on availability and expertise. One of the most challenging tasks in cardiology is the differentiation of the underlying cause of a wall motion abnormality in case of unclear or conflicting results of conventional clinical assessment like symptoms, ECG and markers. Meanwhile, CMR moved from "having a potential" to a dedicated place in guidelines. Of course, this is not in the shape, we want to have it – but it is increasing. One should differentiate between "daily routine techniques" and emerging techniques having the potential to give new insights.

Tissue differentiation in the clinical arena

Combining contrast-enhanced and non-contrast enhanced techniques we are able to differentiate and diagnose non-ischemic and ischemic injury with a high accuracy. ^{1 2} Furthermore, the differentiation between reversible and irreversible injuries possible already in preserved ejection fraction. This is crucial for patient guidance, as an impaired function is of high prognostic relevance. Meanwhile CMR is not only a dedicated research tool, high quality standardized teaching brought it also into small hospitals and outpatient departments. The unique capability of CMR in comparison to other imaging modalities is the non-invasive assessment of inflammatory reaction and the capability to show edema. Another area has already shown therapy changing impact, this is T2*-imaging allowing for quantification of iron overload. That led to a decrease of mortality in different regions of the world.^{3, 4}

Tissue differentiation and microstructure - new insights?

Parametric mapping is an emerging technique with a potential usefulness in especially in nonischemic heart disease. Fortunately, several volunteer and/or standardization trials are already published and first experiences in myocarditis are promising.^{5 6, 7 8} Quantitative T2 mapping also warrants consideration as a robust technique to identify myocardial injury in patients with acute myocarditis ⁹. Diagnostic tools could be improved significantly, if they could be standardized in advance rather than retrospectively. First steps are already in progress regarding scan protocols ¹⁰, post processing ¹¹, and T1 mapping ¹². The understanding of early and/or the identification of relevant myocardial changes includes also other approaches devoted to myocardial deformation and fiber-structure assessment.^{13, 14}

Not only the chances and challenges of new sequences, but also the potential opportunities of

(Ultra) High field strengths will challenge our knowledge and open new perceptions

References

Abdel-Aty H, Boye P, Zagrosek A, Wassmuth R, Kumar A, Messroghli D, Bock P, Dietz R, Friedrich MG and Schulz-Menger J. Diagnostic performance of cardiovascular magnetic resonance in patients with suspected acute myocarditis: comparison of different approaches. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;45:1815-22.

Greenwood JP, Maredia N, Younger JF, Brown JM, Nixon J, Everett CC, Bijsterveld P, Ridgway JP, Radjenovic A, Dickinson CJ, Ball SG and Plein S. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance and single-photon emission computed tomography for diagnosis of coronary heart disease (CE-MARC): a prospective trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379:453-60.

Modell B, Khan M, Darlison M, Westwood MA, Ingram D and Pennell DJ. Improved survival of thalassaemia major in the UK and relation to T2* cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2008;10:42.

Anderson LJ, Holden S, Davis B, Prescott E, Charrier CC, Bunce NH, Firmin DN, Wonke B, Porter J, Walker JM and Pennell DJ. Cardiovascular T2-star (T2*) magnetic resonance for the early diagnosis of myocardial iron overload. *Eur Heart J*. 2001;22:2171-9.

Liu S, Han J, Nacif MS, Jones J, Kawel N, Kellman P, Sibley CT and Bluemke DA. Diffuse myocardial fibrosis evaluation using cardiac magnetic resonance T1 mapping: sample size considerations for clinical trials. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2012;14:90. Wassmuth R, Prothmann M, Utz W, Dieringer M, von Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F, Greiser A and Schulz-Menger J. Variability and homogeneity of cardiovascular magnetic resonance myocardial T2-mapping in volunteers compared to patients with edema. *Journal of cardiovascular magnetic resonance : official journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2013;15:27.

von Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F, Prothmann M, Dieringer MA, Wassmuth R, Greiser A, Schwenke C, Niendorf T and Schulz-Menger J. Myocardial T1 and T2 mapping at 3 T: reference values, influencing factors and implications. *Journal of cardiovascular magnetic resonance : official journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2013;15:53. Ferreira VM, Piechnik SK, Dall'Armellina E, Karamitsos TD, Francis JM, Ntusi N, Holloway C, Choudhury RP, Kardos A, Robson MD, Friedrich MG and Neubauer S. T(1) mapping for the diagnosis of acute myocarditis using CMR: comparison to T2-weighted and late gadolinium enhanced imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;6:1048-58.

Thavendiranathan P, Walls M, Giri S, Verhaert D, Rajagopalan S, Moore S, Simonetti OP and Raman SV. Improved detection of myocardial involvement in acute inflammatory cardiomyopathies using T2 mapping. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012;5:102-10. Kramer CM, Barkhausen J, Flamm SD, Kim RJ, Nagel E and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Board of Trustees Task Force on Standardized P. Standardized cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) protocols 2013 update. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2013;15:91.

Schulz-Menger J, Bluemke DA, Bremerich J, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG, Kim RJ, von Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F, Kramer CM, Pennell DJ, Plein S and Nagel E. Standardized image interpretation and post processing in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) board of trustees task force on standardized post processing. *Journal of cardiovascular magnetic resonance : official journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2013;15:35.

Moon JC, Messroghli DR, Kellman P, Piechnik SK, Robson MD, Ugander M, Gatehouse PD, Arai AE, Friedrich MG, Neubauer S, Schulz-Menger J and Schelbert EB. Myocardial T1 mapping and extracellular volume quantification: a Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) and CMR Working Group of the European Society of Cardiology consensus statement. *Journal of cardiovascular magnetic resonance : official journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2013;15:92.

Nielles-Vallespin S, Mekkaoui C, Gatehouse P, Reese TG, Keegan J, Ferreira PF, Collins S, Speier P, Feiweier T, de Silva R, Jackowski MP, Pennell DJ, Sosnovik DE and Firmin D. In vivo diffusion tensor MRI of the human heart: reproducibility of breathhold and navigator-based approaches. *Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2013;70:454-65.

Foell D, Jung B, Germann E, Staehle F, Bode C and Markl M. Hypertensive heart disease: MR tissue phase mapping reveals altered left ventricular rotation and regional myocardial long-axis velocities. *European radiology*. 2013;23:339-47.