

Focus on DWI in Cancer

Brian D. Ross (bdross@umich.edu)

Highlights

- **DWI can be readily used for cancer treatment response assessment.**
- **Applications include assessment of mouse tumor models along with clinical patients.**
- **Quantification can be accomplished by using whole tumor and voxel-based metrics.**

Introduction

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) offers insight into cellular edema, density and cyto-architecture by way of sequences sensitive to water mobility affected by these features [1, 2]. This feature provides an opportunity to apply this imaging modality for assessing cancer treatment response. Single-shot echo-planar imaging is the most widely used technique [3], although specialized multi-shot approaches may offer advantages [4]. Outside of the brain, however, greater tissue motion usually necessitates use of single-shot techniques. Baseline SNR and diffusion anisotropy drive the choice of diffusion sensitivity (i.e. “b-value”) and multiplicity in gradient directions. There is also a variety of techniques to analyze diffusion data driven by the specific clinical/scientific application. Basic analyses to exhibit relative mobility as normalized DWI and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps are useful for lesion detection and diagnosis. However, more elaborate quantitative analyses are typically employed in oncology where the distinction between viable cellular, edema, and necrotic zones are desirable for treatment planning. Serial changes in tissue cellularity in response to therapy are also measurable by diffusion using various quantitative methods that can be used to extract quantitative metrics using whole-tumor ADC average, histogram analysis, and pre-treatment vs post-treatment voxel-by-voxel differences. Applications of DWI in both preclinical cancer animal models as well as in the clinical setting provide for outstanding translational applications of this imaging modality. This lecture will provide an overview of basic methodologies and applications of DWI to oncology.

Acquisition Issues

The vast majority of human neuro DWI studies to date have been performed using a diffusion sensitivity range of $b \approx 0$ to 1000 sec/mm^2 since it offers good contrast across tissue water mobility environments and provides consistent ADC values across multiple field strengths and platforms. Greater b-values can be achieved on clinical systems (e.g. $2000 - 5000 \text{ sec/mm}^2$) but such heavy diffusion weighting is only useful for the minority of tissues where signal persists above the noise floor. Several studies have demonstrated tissue exhibiting multi-exponential diffusion behavior which is best observed over an extended b-value range [5-8]. This phenomenon may represent a means to further segregate pathology and response on a more fundamental biophysical scale. In the abdomen, higher tissue ADC values and lower baseline SNR, typically limit DWI protocols to lower b-values ($< 500 \text{ sec/mm}^2$). Furthermore, contrast from “hyper” diffusion-like motions, such as blood flow, can be manipulated at very low b-values.

Neuro tissue is highly anisotropic and requires multi-directional diffusion-weighted scans. If one is only interested in average diffusivity, a minimum of 3-orthogonal DWI scans are required plus one $b \approx 0$ for calculation of ADC. Typically, for following treatment-induced changes in ADC values the average diffusivity is used. If maps of diffusion anisotropy (e.g. fractional anisotropy) or white matter fiber track integrity are desired for assessment of the impact of a brain tumor on adjacent brain tissue, then greater directional sampling by diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is required with at least 6 directions plus one $b \approx 0$. Neuro tissue exhibits strong anisotropy whereas anisotropy in nearly all other soft tissues is relatively modest [9-14].

Analysis Issues

ADC maps generated from DWI and DTI data have proven helpful in defining solid enhancing tumor, non-enhancing lesion, peritumoral edema, and necrotic or cystic regions from normal surrounding brain tissue. ADCs of cellular dense brain tumors, such as medulloblastoma and meningioma, range 0.6 to 0.8 $\times 10^{-3}$ mm²/s, whereas the ADCs for solid enhancing high-grade glioma span 0.8 to 1.3 $\times 10^{-3}$ mm²/s; and greater for necrotic cyst. Serial whole-tumor average ADC values as a function of time following therapy are also being used as a potential biomarker of therapy response. Given inevitable tumor heterogeneity, some have adopted histogram analysis techniques to stratify tumor based on water mobility which infers cellularity [15]. Alternatively, voxel-by-voxel subtraction of spatially-registered pre-treatment from post-treatment ADC maps provides not only the change in whole-tumor average ADC, but also the spatial pattern ADC change and the fractional volume of tumor exhibiting this change [16, 17]. These indices are all being investigated as biomarkers of response. Merit and challenges of these methods applied to mouse models along with clinical examples of brain and body DWI will be presented [18-21].

References:

1. Kauppinen, R.A., *Monitoring cytotoxic tumour treatment response by diffusion magnetic resonance imaging and proton spectroscopy*. NMR Biomed, 2002. 15(1): p. 6-17.
2. Norris, D.G., *The effects of microscopic tissue parameters on the diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging experiment*. NMR Biomed, 2001. 14(2): p. 77-93.
3. Turner, R., D. Le Bihan, and A.S. Chesnick, *Echo-planar imaging of diffusion and perfusion*. Magn Reson Med, 1991. 19(2): p. 247-53.
4. Pipe, J.G., V.G. Farthing, and K.P. Forbes, *Multishot diffusion-weighted FSE using PROPELLER MRI*. Magn Reson Med, 2002. 47(1): p. 42-52.
5. Clark, C.A. and D. Le Bihan, *Water diffusion compartmentation and anisotropy at high b values in the human brain*. Magn Reson Med, 2000. 44(6): p. 852-9.
6. Mulkern, R.V., et al., *Multi-component apparent diffusion coefficients in human brain: relationship to spin-lattice relaxation*. Magn Reson Med, 2000. 44(2): p. 292-300.
7. Niendorf, T., et al., *Biexponential diffusion attenuation in various states of brain tissue: implications for diffusion-weighted imaging*. Magn Reson Med, 1996. 36(6): p. 847-57.
8. Norris, D.G. and T. Niendorf, *Interpretation of DW-NMR data: dependence on experimental conditions*. NMR Biomed, 1995. 8(7-8): p. 280-8.
9. Fukuda, Y., et al., *Anisotropic diffusion in kidney: apparent diffusion coefficient measurements for clinical use*. J Magn Reson Imaging, 2000. 11(2): p. 156-60.
10. Gurses, B., et al., *Diffusion tensor imaging of the normal prostate at 3 Tesla*. Eur Radiol, 2008. 18(4): p. 716-21.
11. Notohamiprodjo, M., et al., *Diffusion tensor imaging of the kidney with parallel imaging: initial clinical experience*. Invest Radiol, 2008. 43(10): p. 677-85.
12. Ries, M., et al., *Diffusion tensor MRI of the human kidney*. J Magn Reson Imaging, 2001. 14(1): p. 42-9.
13. Rohmer, D., A. Sitek, and G.T. Gullberg, *Reconstruction and visualization of fiber and laminar structure in the normal human heart from ex vivo diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging (DTMRI) data*. Invest Radiol, 2007. 42(11): p. 777-89.
14. Sinha, S. and U. Sinha, *In vivo diffusion tensor imaging of the human prostate*. Magn Reson Med, 2004. 52(3): p. 530-7.
15. Yankeelov, T.E., et al., *Integration of quantitative DCE-MRI and ADC mapping to monitor treatment response in human breast cancer: initial results*. Magn Reson Imaging, 2007. 25(1): p. 1-13.
16. Hamstra, D.A., et al., *Functional Diffusion Map As an Early Imaging Biomarker for High-Grade Glioma: Correlation With Conventional Radiologic Response and Overall Survival*. J Clin Oncol, 2008.
17. Moffat, B.A., et al., *Functional diffusion map: a noninvasive MRI biomarker for early stratification of clinical brain tumor response*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2005. 102(15): p. 5524-9.
18. Padhani, A.R., et al., *Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging as a cancer biomarker: consensus and recommendations*. Neoplasia, 2009. 11(2): p. 102-25.
19. Ellingson BM, Malkin MG, Rand SD, Connelly JM, Quinsey C, LaViolette PS, Bedekar DP, Schmainda KM. *Validation of functional diffusion maps (fDMs) as a biomarker for human glioma cellularity*. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2010. 31(3): p. 538-48.
20. Reischauer C, Froehlich JM, Koh DM, Graf N, Padevit C, John H, Binkert CA, Boesiger P, Gutzeit A. *Bone metastases from prostate cancer: assessing treatment response by using diffusion-weighted imaging and functional diffusion maps--initial observations*. Radiology 2010. 257(2): p. 523-31.
21. Ellingson BM, Cloughesy TF, Zaw T, Lai A, Nghiemphu PL, Harris R, Lalezari S, Wagle N, Naeini KM, Carrillo J, Liao LM, Pope WB. *Functional diffusion maps (fDMs) evaluated before and after radiochemotherapy predict progression-free and overall survival in newly diagnosed glioblastoma*. Neuro Oncol. 2012. 14(3): p333-43.