

Title of Talk: Pro-CEST

Speaker Name: Michael T. McMahon

Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; F.M. Kirby Research Center, Kennedy Krieger Institute, 707 N. Broadway Ave., Baltimore, MD 21205

Email: mcmahon@mri.jhu.edu

Highlights:

- 1) Amplification of imaging sensitivity through chemical exchange of spins with water
- 2) CEST contrast agents have several advantages over T1 and T2* agents which might be important for tumor imaging: switchable (on/off) contrast, sensitivity to pH, and capability of “multi-color” or “multiplex” imaging.
- 3) CEST imaging can be helpful for monitoring tumor grade and tumor therapy.
- 4) Anatomical MRI can be acquired using the same hardware.
- 5) CEST imaging protocols have already been established at 3T and CEST images have been collected on a number of patients to date.

Brief description of Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST):

CEST is a newer type of MRI contrast based on the application of saturation pulses to protons in rapidly exchange with water, resulting in an amplification of signal which allows detection at millimolar to micromolar concentrations of these protons. In 2000, Balaban and colleagues showed for the first time how CEST imaging can be performed, and introduced the term “CEST contrast agents”¹. The saturation transfer contrast produced by a variety of paramagnetic and diamagnetic compounds was soon investigated by other MRI researchers²⁻⁵, leading to the establishment of this field of research.

There are three main types of CEST contrast agents: paramagnetic agents (paraCEST)^{3,6}, diamagnetic agents (diaCEST)^{1,2}, and hyperpolarized agents (hyperCEST)⁷. ParaCEST agents are mainly lanthanide complexes with protons exchanging slow enough for detection, as first shown by Sherry and Aime et al., although complexes which include other metals such as iron are also possible⁸. This contrast is based on proton exchange of water bound to the metal center and/or exchangeable protons in the vicinity of the metal center with bulk water, with the metal perturbing the offset frequencies of these protons. DiaCEST agents are naturally occurring molecules without metal ions, with the contrast dependent on the number and type of labile protons. HyperCEST agents are slightly different, which are cages such as cryptophane designed to trap dissolved hyperpolarized material. Frequency differences are induced in the spins of the hyperpolarized material which naturally passes in and out of the cage structure. During this process, the signal is transferred from the interior of the cage to the exterior.

The process of imaging these specific pools of exchangeable protons is a useful tool for molecular imaging and has several advantages. First, because proton exchange occurs many times during the saturation pulse, the signal from

a small pool of solute protons (μM - mM) is amplified and transferred onto the much larger water signal (110 M for pure water), which improves the detection sensitivity dramatically. Second, the use of frequency selective saturation pulses to irradiate solute protons allows the contrast to be “switched on and off at will”, and enables identification of these protons through their chemical shift with respect to water. As a result of these features, different exchangeable protons can be detected simultaneously but also separately identified, e.g. OH versus NH^{9,10}. A third attractive feature of these agents is the potential to sense a variety of environmental factors and molecules^{1,3,11}. For example, pH influences proton exchange rates through an acid and base catalysis of the exchange rate as described in detail by a number of groups previously¹²⁻¹⁵.

Why use CEST as a part of Cancer Imaging?

There has been a tremendous amount of interest in developing new MR imaging agents for detecting the presence of tumors, determining their aggressiveness, or monitoring tumor progression. CEST imaging has been applied to brain tumors for over a decade now⁵, with the first studies focusing on detecting and grading brain tumors through quantifying the amount of amide protons through Amide Proton Transfer (APT) contrast. The amount of contrast has been related to tumor grade in patients^{16,17}.

Exogenous contrast agents are in widespread use for clinical MRI to highlight pathology, in fact about one third of all clinical scans involve the administration of contrast agents¹⁸. CEST contrast agents possess several advantages for tumor imaging over paramagnetic and super paramagnetic T1 and T2* agents that are described in the previous section. In particular there are efforts underway to evaluate both paraCEST agents using Yb(III), Eu(III), and other metals¹⁹⁻²⁴ and diaCEST agents using sugars, peptides and other organic compounds²⁵⁻³¹ for highlighting tumors.

In addition to the traditional use of contrast material for visualizing tumors, CEST imaging has been proposed to monitor tumor therapy directly. In one example, nanocarriers of chemotherapeutics can be prepared to generate CEST contrast, allowing the monitoring of their delivery to tumors³²⁻³⁴. Two of the real attractive features of CEST contrast for this application is the switchability, potentially not requiring the pre-injection image for detection, and the “multi-color” or “multiplex” imaging capabilities. Two other examples recently described involve the use of CEST imaging to monitor bacteriolytic cancer therapy³⁵ and oncolytic virotherapy³⁶.

Translation of this technology to the clinic

Recently there has been tremendous progress in the development of CEST imaging technology, allowing the application of this technology to cancer. In particular, new imaging hardware has been developed to apply the long saturation employed in many protocols^{37,38}. In addition, multiple imaging sequences have been developed which allow the acquisition of CEST images within SAR guidelines³⁹⁻⁴⁶.

With respect to administration of exogenous agents, there is potential for

using previously approved compounds from other imaging modalities such as CT^{47,48}, or from clinical testing, i.e. glucose tolerance test^{26,28} or treatment of pain²⁹. Other CEST agents would require approval for human use, however existing 1H MRI hardware and protocols could still be employed for their detection. At this stage, there are several promising agents being evaluated on 3T and 7T scanners.

References:

1. Ward, K.M., Aletras, A.H. & Balaban, R.S. A new class of contrast agents for MRI based on proton chemical exchange dependent saturation transfer (CEST). *J Magn Reson* **143**, 79-87 (2000).
2. Goffeney, N., Bulte, J.W., Duyn, J., Bryant, L.H., Jr. & van Zijl, P.C. Sensitive NMR detection of cationic-polymer-based gene delivery systems using saturation transfer via proton exchange. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **123**, 8628-8629 (2001).
3. Aime, S., *et al.* Paramagnetic Lanthanide(III) complexes as pH-sensitive chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) contrast agents for MRI applications. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **47**, 639-648 (2002).
4. Zhang, S., Winter, P., Wu, K. & Sherry, A.D. A novel europium(III)-based MRI contrast agent. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **123**, 1517-1518 (2001).
5. Zhou, J., Payen, J.F., Wilson, D.A., Traystman, R.J. & van Zijl, P.C. Using the amide proton signals of intracellular proteins and peptides to detect pH effects in MRI. *Nat Med* **9**, 1085-1090 (2003).
6. Woods, M., Woessner, D.E. & Sherry, A.D. Paramagnetic lanthanide complexes as PARACEST agents for medical imaging. *Chem Soc Rev* **35**, 500-511 (2006).
7. Schroder, L., Lowery, T.J., Hilty, C., Wemmer, D.E. & Pines, A. Molecular imaging using a targeted magnetic resonance hyperpolarized biosensor. *Science* **314**, 446-449 (2006).
8. Dorazio, S.J., Tsitovich, P.B., Sifers, K.E., Sperry, J.A. & Morrow, J.R. Iron(II) PARACEST MRI Contrast Agents. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **133**, 14154-14156 (2011).
9. McMahon, M.T., *et al.* New "multicolor" polypeptide diamagnetic chemical exchange saturation transfer (DIACEST) contrast agents for MRI. *Magn Reson Med* **60**, 803-812 (2008).
10. Aime, S., Carrera, C., Delli Castelli, D., Geninatti Crich, S. & Terreno, E. Tunable Imaging of Cells Labeled with MRI-PARACEST Agents. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **44**, 1813-1815 (2005).
11. Ward, K.M. & Balaban, R.S. Determination of pH using water protons and chemical exchange dependent saturation transfer (CEST). *Magn Reson Med* **44**, 799-802 (2000).
12. Berger, A., Loewenstein, A. & Meiboom, S. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Study of the Protolysis and Ionization of N-Methylacetamide. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **81**, 62-67 (1959).

13. Eigen, M. PROTON TRANSFER ACID-BASE CATALYSIS + ENZYMATIC HYDROLYSIS .I. ELEMENTARY PROCESSES. *Angew. Chem.-Int. Edit.* **3**, 1 (1964).
14. Bai, Y., Milne, J.S., Mayne, L. & Englander, S.W. Primary Structure Effects on Peptide Group Hydrogen Exchange. *PROTEINS* **17**, 75-86 (1993).
15. Hvidt, A. & Nielsen, S.O. Hydrogen exchange in proteins. *Adv. Protein Chem* **21**, 287-386 (1966).
16. Jones, C.K., *et al.* Amide proton transfer imaging of human brain tumors at 3T. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **56**, 585-592 (2006).
17. Wen, Z.B., *et al.* MR imaging of high-grade brain tumors using endogenous protein and peptide-based contrast. *Neuroimage* **51**, 616-622 (2010).
18. Caravan, P., Farrar, C.T., Frullano, L. & Uppal, R. Influence of molecular parameters and increasing magnetic field strength on relaxivity of gadolinium- and manganese-based T1 contrast agents. *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging* **4**, 89-100 (2009).
19. Sherry, A.D. & Woods, M. Chemical exchange saturation transfer contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* **10**, 391-411 (2008).
20. Terreno, E., Castelli, D.D. & Aime, S. Encoding the frequency dependence in MRI contrast media: the emerging class of CEST agents. *Contrast Media and Molecular Imaging* **5**, 78-98 (2010).
21. Dorazio, S.J., Olatunde, A.O., Sperryak, J.A. & Morrow, J.R. CoCEST: cobalt(II) amide-appended paraCEST MRI contrast agents. *Chem. Commun.* **49**, 10025-10027 (2013).
22. Olatunde, A.O., Dorazio, S.J., Sperryak, J.A. & Morrow, J.R. The NiCEST Approach: Nickel(II) ParaCEST MRI Contrast Agents. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **134**, 18503-18505 (2012).
23. Dorazio, S.J. & Morrow, J.R. The Development of Iron(II) Complexes as ParaCEST MRI Contrast Agents. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006-2014 (2012).
24. Yoo, B. & Pagel, M.D. An overview of responsive MRI contrast agents for molecular imaging. *Front. Biosci.* **13**, 1733-1752 (2008).
25. Gilad, A.A., *et al.* Artificial reporter gene providing MRI contrast based on proton exchange. *Nature Biotechnology* **25**, 217-219 (2007).
26. Chan, K.W., *et al.* Natural D-glucose as a biodegradable MRI contrast agent for detecting cancer. *Magn Reson Med* **68**, 1764-1773 (2012).
27. Cai, K., *et al.* Magnetic resonance imaging of glutamate. *Nat Med* **18**, 302-306 (2012).
28. Walker-Samuel, S., *et al.* In vivo imaging of glucose uptake and metabolism in tumors. *Nat Med* (2013).
29. Yang, X., *et al.* Salicylic Acid and Analogs: Diamagnetic Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (diaCEST) Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Contrast Agents with Highly Shifted Exchangeable Protons. *Angew. Chemie. Int. Ed.* **52**, 8116-8119 (2013).

30. Longo, D.L., *et al.* Iopamidol as a responsive MRI-chemical exchange saturation transfer contrast agent for pH mapping of kidneys: In vivo studies in mice at 7 T. *Magn Reson Med* **65**, 202-211 (2011).
31. Ling, W., Regatte, R.R., Navon, G. & Jerschow, A. Assessment of glycosaminoglycan concentration in vivo by chemical exchange-dependent saturation transfer (gagCEST). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 2266-2270 (2008).
32. Chan, K.W.Y., Bulte, J.W.M. & McMahon, M.T. Diamagnetic chemical exchange saturation transfer (diaCEST) liposomes: physicochemical properties and imaging applications. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology* **6**, 111-124 (2014).
33. Castelli, D.D., Terreno, E., Longo, D. & Aime, S. Nanoparticle-based chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) agents. *NMR Biomed.* **26**, 839-849 (2013).
34. Flament, J., *et al.* In vivo CEST MR imaging of U87 mice brain tumor angiogenesis using targeted LipoCEST contrast agent at 7 T. *Magn Reson Med* **69**, 179-187 (2013).
35. Liu, G., *et al.* Noninvasive imaging of infection after treatment with tumor-homing bacteria using Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) MRI. *Magn Reson Med* **70**, 1690-1698 (2013).
36. Farrar, C.T., *et al.* Imaging Oncolytic Virotherapy Delivery Using a CEST Reporter Gene. in *Proceedings of the International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* (Salt Lake City, UT, 2013).
37. Mueller-Lutz, A., *et al.* Iopamidol CEST for pH measurements on a clinical 3T scanner: phantom and first human in vivo study. in *Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med.* 4220 (Salt Lake City, UT, 2013).
38. Keupp, J., *et al.* Non-invasive CEST-MRI Measurement of pH in the Human Kidneys using an Approved CT Contrast Agent. in *Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med* 828 (Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 2011).
39. Zhu, H., Jones, C.K., van Zijl, P.C.M., Barker, P.B. & Zhou, J.Y. Fast 3D Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) Imaging of the Human Brain. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **64**, 638-644 (2010).
40. Jones, C.K., *et al.* In vivo three-dimensional whole-brain pulsed steady-state chemical exchange saturation transfer at 7 T. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **67**, 1579-1589 (2012).
41. Kim, M., *et al.* Assessment of glycosaminoglycan distribution in human lumbar intervertebral discs using chemical exchange saturation transfer at 3 T: feasibility and initial experience. *NMR Biomed.* **24**, 1137-1144 (2011).
42. Liu, Q., *et al.* Reliable chemical exchange saturation transfer imaging of human lumbar intervertebral discs using reduced-field-of-view turbo spin echo at 3.0 T. *NMR Biomed.* **26**, 1672-1679 (2013).
43. Schmitt, B., *et al.* A New Contrast in MR Mammography by Means of Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) Imaging at 3 Tesla: Preliminary Results. *Rofo-Fortschr. Gebiet Rontgenstrahlen Bildgeb. Verfahr.* **183**, 1030-1036 (2011).

44. Dula, A.N., *et al.* Amide proton transfer imaging of the breast at 3 T: Establishing reproducibility and possible feasibility assessing chemotherapy response. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **70**, 216-224 (2013).
45. Scheidegger, R., Vinogradov, E. & Alsop, D.C. Amide Proton Transfer Imaging With Improved Robustness to Magnetic Field Inhomogeneity and Magnetization Transfer Asymmetry Using Saturation With Frequency Alternating RF Irradiation. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **66**, 1275-1285 (2011).
46. Vinogradov, E., *et al.* On-resonance low B-1 pulses for imaging of the effects of PARACEST agents. *J Magn Reson* **176**, 54-63 (2005).
47. Chen, L.Q., *et al.* Evaluations of extracellular pH within in vivo tumors using acidoCEST MRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, n/a-n/a (2013).
48. Longo, D.L., Busato, A., Lanzardo, S., Antico, F. & Aime, S. Imaging the pH evolution of an acute kidney injury model by means of iopamidol, a MRI-CEST pH-responsive contrast agent. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **70**, 859-864 (2013).