

Maxwell's Equations and Electromagnetic Field Simulations

Christopher M. Collins

c.collins@nyumc.org

Magnetic Resonance is typically accomplished with application of both a static (or DC) magnetic field, B_0 , and a radiofrequency (RF) magnetic field, B_1 . To additionally accomplish imaging, switched or audiofrequency gradient fields, G_x , G_y , and G_z are typically applied. While the interactions of these fields with the net nuclear magnetization vector at a specific location as required for NMR and MRI can often be adequately described with the Bloch equation(s), many general aspects of signal, noise, artifacts, and safety cannot be understood without consulting the Maxwell Equations, given below in differential (left) and integral (right), time-dependent form.

Differential

Integral

$$\nabla \cdot \epsilon \mathbf{E} = \rho \qquad \oint_s \epsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \iiint_V \rho dV \qquad [1]$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \qquad \oint_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 0 \qquad [2]$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \qquad \oint_l \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iint_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \qquad [3]$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu \left(\sigma \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \epsilon \mathbf{E} \right) \qquad \oint_l \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \mu \left(\iint_s \sigma \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iint_s \epsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \right) \qquad [4]$$

Here \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} are the electric field and magnetic flux density vector fields, ϵ is electrical permittivity, ρ is charge density, μ is magnetic susceptibility, and σ is electrical conductivity. To express these in time harmonic form, as is often done for analysis of RF fields at a single frequency, the partial derivative with respect to time ($\partial/\partial t$) is replaced with $j\omega$ where j is the imaginary unit and ω is the radial frequency of the time-varying field (especially the Larmor precession frequency of the nucleus and B_0 strength of interest).

All of these equations have relevance to some aspect of MRI. For example, Gauss's Law for Magnetism (Eq. 2) coupled with the relation $B=\mu H$ can be used to describe subtle distortions of B_0 related to miniscule differences in μ between air and tissue (or between different tissues), which can result in notable undesired artifacts (or desired contrast) in MRI (1-4). Gauss's Law for electric fields (Eq. 1) can be used to describe "conservative" electric fields arising from charge density on coil conductors (5, 6). As indicated with Faraday's Law (Eq. 3), a time-varying magnetic field can induce electric fields (and corresponding electric currents) in a nearby conductor. This can result in detectable signal during signal reception if the conductor is a receive coil and the time-varying field is caused by the rotating net nuclear magnetization vector (7), or in adverse heating during nuclear excitation if the conductor is human tissue and the time-varying field is the transmitted B_1 field (8-11). Ampere's Law (Equation 4 minus the right-most term) describes how, at low frequencies or over electrically small distances, an

electrical current density, $J=\sigma E$, can produce a magnetic field throughout space (12, 13), and Maxwell's correction to this, the "displacement current term" on the right shows how a time-varying electric field can also induce a magnetic field, which is increasingly important in MR at increasingly high B_0 strengths and correspondingly higher B_1 frequencies and shorter RF wavelengths (14-16).

General understanding of trends and relations can be understood with some analytically-based representations of Maxwell's Equations (7, 8, 17, 18). For highly accurate understanding of the behavior of electromagnetic fields in specific complex geometries (such as specific coil designs or the human body) requires numerical simulation (1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 14-16, 19-22).

In this talk we will discuss several examples of how Maxwell's equations are key to understanding signal, noise, artifacts, and safety in MRI. We will discuss examples of how analytically-based calculations can yield valuable insights, and how numerical simulations can produce specific information. Strengths and weaknesses of different simulation approaches will be compared. Attendees should have an increased appreciation for the relevance of Maxwell's equations to all aspects of MRI, and an improved understanding of how electromagnetic fields can be simulated for analysis of signal, noise, artifacts, and safety in MRI.

References

1. Li S, Dardzinski BJ, Collins CM, Yang QX, Smith MB. Three-Dimensional Mapping of the Static Magnetic Field Inside the Human Head. *Magn Reson Med* 1996;36:705-714
2. Collins CM, Yang B, Yang QX, and Smith MB. Numerical Calculations of the Static Magnetic Field in Three-Dimensional Multi-Tissue Models of the Human Head. *Magn Reson Imag* 2002;20:413-424
3. Cao Z, Oh S, Sica CT, McGarrity JM, Horan T, Luo W, Collins CM. Bloch-based MRI System Simulator Considering Realistic Electromagnetic Fields for Calculation of Signal, Noise, and SAR. *Magn Reson Med*, published online (early view) 4 September 2013
4. Haacke EM, Xu Y, Cheng Y-C N, Reichenbach JR. Susceptibility-Weighted Imaging (SWI). *Magn Reson Med* 2004;52(3):612-618.
5. Park BS, Neuberger T, Webb AG, Bigler DC, Collins CM. Faraday shields within a solenoidal coil to reduce sample heating: numerical comparison of designs and experimental verification. *J Magn Reson* 2010;202:72-77.
6. Mao W, Chronik BA, Feldman RE, Smith MB, Collins CM. Consideration of magnetically-induced and conservative electric fields within a loaded gradient coil. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 55:1424-1432, 2006.
7. Hoult DI and Lauterbur PC. The sensitivity of the zeugmatographic experiment involving human samples. *J Magn Reson* 1979; 34: 425-433
8. Bottomley PA, Kedington KW, Edelstein WA, Schenck J, Estimating radiofrequency power deposition in body NMR imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 1985;2, 336-349
9. Collins CM, Liu W, Wang JH, Gruetter R, Vaughan JT, Ugurbil K, and Smith MB. Temperature and SAR calculations for a human head within volume and surface coils at 64 and 300 MHz. *J Magn Reson Imag* 2004;19:650-656
10. Wang Z, Lin JC, Mao W, Liu W, Smith MB, Collins CM. SAR and Temperature: Simulations and Comparison to Regulatory Limits for MRI. *J Magn Reson Imag* 2007;26:437-441

11. Eichfelder G, Gebhardt M. Local specific absorption rate control for parallel transmission by virtual observation points. *Magn Reson Med* 2011;66:1468-1476
12. Li S, Collins CM, Dardzinski BJ, Chin C-L, Smith MB. A Method to Create an Optimum Current Distribution and Homogeneous B1 Field for Elliptical Birdcage Coils. *Magn Reson Med* 1997;37:600-608
13. Webb AG. Radiofrequency Microcoils in Magnetic Resonance. *Progress in Nuclear Magn Reson Spectroscopy* 1997;319(1):1-42
14. Collins CM, Li S, Smith MB. SAR and B1 Field Distributions in a Heterogeneous Human Head Model within a Birdcage Coil. *Magn Reson Med* 1998;40:847-856
15. Collins CM and Smith MB. Signal-to-Noise Ratio and Absorbed Power as Functions of Main Magnetic Field Strength and Definition of "90°" RF Pulse for the Head in the Birdcage Coil. *Magn Reson Med* 2001;45:684-691
16. Collins CM and Smith MB. Calculations of B1 Distribution, SNR, and SAR for a Surface Coil Against an Anatomically-accurate Human Body Model. *Magn Reson Med* 2001;45:692-699
17. Hoult DI. The sensitivity and power deposition of the high field imaging experiment. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2000;12(1):46-67.
18. Lattanzi R, Sodickson DK. Ideal current patterns yielding optimal signal-to-noise ratio and specific absorption rate in magnetic resonance imaging: Computational methods and physical insights. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;68:286-304
19. Li BK, Liu F, Crozier S. Focused, eight-element transceive phased array coil for parallel magnetic resonance imaging of the chest - theoretical considerations. *Magn Reson Med* 2005; 53: 1251–1257.
20. Abraham R, Ibrahim TS. Proposed radiofrequency phased-array excitation scheme for homogenous and localized 7-Tesla whole-body imaging based on full-wave numerical simulations. *Magn Reson Med* 2007; 57: 235–242.
21. Van den Berg CAT, van den Bergen B, Van de Kamer JB, Raaymakers BW, Kroeze H, Bartels LW, Legendijk JJW. Simultaneous B+1 homogenization and specific absorption rate hotspot suppression using a magnetic resonance phased array transmit coil. *Magn Reson Med* 2007; 57: 577–586
22. Kozlov M, Turner R. Fast MRI coil analysis based on 3-D electromagnetic and RF circuit co-simulation. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 2009;200:147–152.

Additional reading:

- Collins CM and Wang Z. Calculation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields and their effects in MRI of human subjects. *Magn Reson Med* 2011;65:1470–1482 (review paper)
- Hand JW. Modelling the interaction of electromagnetic fields (10 MHz–10 GHz) with the human body: methods and applications. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 2008;53:R243–R286 (review paper)
- Roemer PB, Edelstein WA, Hayes CE, Souza SP, Mueller OM. The NMR phased array. *Magn Reson Med* 1990; 16: 192-225.
- Wiesinger F, Boesiger P, Pruessmann KP. Electrodynamics and Ultimate SNR in Parallel MR Imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 2004;52:376–390.