## Implications of unequal interstitium and plasma contrast reagent relaxivities in pharmacokinetic analysis of DCE-MRI

Xin Li<sup>1</sup>, Yu Cai<sup>1</sup>, Brendan Moloney<sup>1</sup>, Wei Huang<sup>1</sup>, Mark G. Garzotto<sup>2,3</sup>, Mark Woods<sup>1</sup>, and Charles S Springer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Advanced Imaging Research Center, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, United States, <sup>2</sup>Portland VA Medical Center, Portland, Oregon, United States, <sup>3</sup>Urology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, United States

**Purpose:** Dynamic Contrast Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (DCE-MRI) indirectly detects contrast reagent (CR) concentration through water proton  $R_1$  relaxation rate constant [ $\equiv T_1^{-1}$ ] changes. Within a single tissue compartment, a linear relationship is assumed,  $\Delta R_1 = r_1 \cdot [CR]$ . The slope  $r_1$ , the longitudinal relaxivity, quantifies the CR potency to change water proton  $T_1$ . It is current practice to assume that  $r_1$  is the same in blood plasma and all interstitial compartments. However, there is evidence suggesting a potential increases in the interstitium  $r_1$  (1, 2). Based on human prostate data, we demonstrate the implications of differences of  $r_{10}$  (interstitium relaxivity) to  $r_{10}$  (plasma relaxivity) values on DCE-MRI pharmacokinetic parameters.

Methods: Prostate DCE-MRI data were acquired on 13 subjects with a Siemens TIM Trio (3T) system under an IRB-approved protocol. RF transmitting was through the whole body coil and RF receiving was with a combination of Spin Matrix and flexible Body Matrix coil arrays. The DCE-MRI acquisition employed a 3D TurboFLASH pulse sequence with a 256\*144\*16 matrix size and a 360\*203 mm² FOV, resulting in (1.4)² mm² in-plane resolution. Other parameters are: slice thickness: 3 or 3.2 mm; TR/TE/FA: 5.0 ms/1.57 ms/15°, image frame sampling interval: 6.3 s. A 0.1 mmol/kg CR (ProHance; Bracco) bolus was administered starting ~38 s after initiation of the DCE-MRI sequence. In general, the protocol of (3) was used. All subjects subsequently underwent standard ten-core prostate biopsies with ultrasound guidance. Malignancies were found in 5 subjects and the remaining were benign cases. One region of interest (ROI) was selected for each subject, resulting in 5 malignant and 8 benign ROI time-courses. Simulations were performed on ROI data from the subjects (one ROI per subject). r<sub>1p</sub> is assumed to be 3.8 mM<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. Eq. (1) describes the interstitial CR concentration time-course, [CR<sub>o</sub>](T). Ignoring the blood contribution, the associated tissue concentration, [CR<sub>c</sub>](t) = ΔR<sub>1</sub>(t)/r<sub>10</sub> = [CR<sub>o</sub>](t)·v<sub>e</sub>, is related to the DCE-MRI time-course. Here, ΔR<sub>11</sub>(t) is the time-course of tissue R<sub>1</sub> change [=R<sub>11</sub>(t) - R<sub>11</sub>(0)], and v<sub>e</sub> is the extravascular, extracellular volume fraction. Thus,  $\Delta$ R<sub>11</sub>(t) = r<sub>1o</sub>·[CR<sub>o</sub>](t)·v<sub>e</sub>. Based on this expression and the expression for [CR<sub>o</sub>] given by Eq. (1), it is obvious that simultaneously fitting r<sub>1o</sub>, v<sub>e</sub>, and K<sup>rans</sup> (CR extravasation transfer constant) to the measured DCE-MRI time-course is not feasible. Thus, simulations were carried out by fitting pharmacokinetic parameters with r<sub>1o</sub> fixed at 13 different values (from 0.8·r<sub>1p</sub> to 2.0·r<sub>1p</sub> with a step-size of 0.1·r<sub>1p</sub>). The standard fast-exchange-limit (FXL) Tofts model (4) was used to obtain K<sup>trans</sup> and v<sub>e</sub> values, an

$$[CR_0](T) = K^{trans} \cdot v_e^{-1} \cdot \int_0^t [CR_p](t) \cdot \exp(-K^{trans} \cdot v_e^{-1} \cdot (T-t)) \cdot dt$$

$$(1), \qquad \text{where the plasma CR concentration, } [CR_p] = \{R_{1b}(t) - R_{1b}(0)\} / \{(1 - h_v) \cdot r_{1p}\} : (1 - h_v) \cdot r_{1p}\} = \{R_{1b}(t) - R_{1b}(0)\} / \{(1 - h_v) \cdot r_{1p}\} : (1 - h_v) \cdot r_{$$

 $R_{1b}(t)$  is the blood  $R_1$  time-course,  $R_{1b}(0)$  is blood  $R_1$  before CR, and  $h_v$  is the microvascular hematocrit. Inserting the  $[CR_p]$  expression into the  $\Delta R_{1t}(t)$  equation (using Eq. (1) for  $[CR_o]$ ), it is easy to see the  $r_{1o}/r_{1p}$  ratio (5) in the  $\Delta R_{1t}(t)$  equation.  $r_{1o}/r_{1p}$  has been assumed to be 1 in kinetic modeling of DCE-MRI data.

Results: Fig. 1. shows representative malignant (red) and benign (black)  $K^{trans}$  changes with increasing  $r_{1o}$  ( $r_{1p}$  fixed at 3.8 mM $^{-1}s^{-1}$  for 3T). Each ROI data set was fitted with FXR (solid curve) and FXL (dashed curve). The best fitted values from the 20 different initial guesses are plotted. The  $K^{trans}$  values decrease with  $r_{1o}$  increase. The averaged  $K^{trans}$  / $v_e$  ratios (with standard errors) of the 8 benign ROIs are plotted against  $r_{1o}$  in Fig. 2a. The ratios from FXR are plotted in red and those from FXL in black. The 2a equivalents for the 5 malignant ROIs are plotted in 2b. Regardless of the model and tissue characteristics, the  $K^{trans}/v_e$  ratio within each approach remains constant, indicating the [CR $_o$ ] time-course is the same regardless of  $r_{1o}$ .

Discussion: The key finding from this work is that the K<sup>trans</sup>/v<sub>e</sub> ratio remains the same regardless of the  $r_{10}/r_{1p}$  ratio, which indicates that the same [CR<sub>o</sub>] time-course is observed regardless of  $r_{1p}$  and  $r_{1p}$  difference. Even though it is intuitive that an  $r_{1o}$  increase could lead to a decrease of  $K^{trans}$ , unchanged  $K^{trans}/v_e$  ratio is a numerical outcome rather than a pharmacokinetic implication. By differentiating  $\Delta R_{1t}(t)$  {Eq. (1) multiplied by  $(r_{10}, v_e)$  with respect to  $K^{trans}$  and  $v_e$ , respectively, one will see changes from that of  $K^{trans}$  is much greater. Thus the numerical fittings will first adjust  $K^{trans}$  to compensate any  $r_{10}$  deviation from  $r_{10}$ , then  $v_e$  is adjusted accordingly. When in the FXL, this  $r_{10} \neq r_{10}$  situation is similar to an error in the AIF scaling (an AIF uncertainty). However, AIF uncertainty can be managed. A 30% or greater r<sub>10</sub> change (1) will likely be out of AIF uncertainty and thus noticeably affects  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_e$  values. For FXR, if [CR<sub>o</sub>] remains the same, higher r<sub>10</sub> will significantly increase the data sensitivity to water exchange, and the precision of mean intracellular water lifetime can be improved. Interestingly, compared to the Fig. 1  $K^{trans}$  change, the  $\tau_i$  change (not shown) is much smaller. This is quite reasonable since  $\tau_i$  measures water exchange kinetics while K<sup>trans</sup> measures plasma/interstitium CR transfer kinetics. Results from this simulation study may partially explain the observations that DCE-MRI often obtains larger ve values than one would normally expect. In addition,  $K^{trans}/v_e = k_{ep}$ , the CR intravasation rate constant (3-5). These results also suggest that kep could be a more reliable imaging biomarker in certain in vivo applications. Current work underscores the importance of quantifying  $r_{10}$  independently.

Grant Support: NIH: RO1-EB00422, UO1-CA154602.

Reference: 1. Stanisz, Henkelman, Magn. Reson. Med., 44: 665, 2000. 2. Wang, Spiller, Caravan, Magn Reson Med., 63:609-616, 2010. 3. Li, Priest, Woodward, Tagge, Siddiqui, Huang, Rooney, Beer, Garzotto, Springer, Magn Reson Med., 69:171-178, 2013. 4. Tofts, et al., J Magn Reson Imaging, 10:223-232, 1999. 5. Yankeelov, Rooney, Li, Springer, Magn Reson Med., 50:1151-1169, 2003.

