

## Fat-signal fraction quantification of paravertebral muscle using T2\*-corrected multi-echo Dixon technique

Yeon Hwa Yoo<sup>1</sup>, Yaena Kim<sup>1</sup>, Young Han Lee<sup>2</sup>, Mun Young Paek<sup>3</sup>, Sungjun Kim<sup>1</sup>, Tae-Sub Chung<sup>1</sup>, Choon-Sik Yoon<sup>1</sup>, Ho-Taek Song<sup>2</sup>, and Jin-Suck Suh<sup>2</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Radiology, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, <sup>2</sup>Radiology, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, <sup>3</sup>Siemens Ltd, Seoul, Seoul, Korea

• **TARGET AUDIENCE:** Radiologists, spine-related clinicians, physicists developing fat-quantification sequences.

• **PURPOSE:** To compare accuracy of fat-signal fraction mapping sequences by comparing lumbar muscle fat-signal fractions derived from dual echo Dixon, T2\*-corrected three point Dixon and T2\*-corrected multi-echo Dixon magnetic resonance (MR) imaging with that from single-voxel MR spectroscopy as reference standard in lumbar spine.

• **METHODS:** Sixty-one patients (39 women and 22 men; 54.3 years  $\pm$  19.1, age range of 20-92 years) with low back pain underwent MR imaging at a 1.5-T scanner. Additionally, automatically obtained fat-signal fraction mapping images using T2\*-corrected Dixon VIBE (volume interpolated breath-hold GRE) sequence with two (non-T2\*-corrected), three, and six echoes were obtained at the L4 through L5 levels for image-based quantification of fat-signal fraction. Fat-signal fraction from MR spectroscopy was automatically obtained at lumbar multifidus or erector spinae muscles of L4 through L5 levels from HISTO (High speed T2-corrected multiple echo 1H-MRS –Fat and R2 Quantification) sequence, which is single-voxel MR spectroscopy. The voxel size was fixed at 15 x 15 x 15 mm. Fat-signal fractions were measured directly by drawing region of interest at the automatically obtained fat-signal fraction mapping images from the three sequences. ROIs were drawn at the 3 consecutive slices of mapping images at the same location of the spectroscopic voxel by two musculoskeletal radiologists in consensus and the average values were obtained. The Student t test and Bland-Altman plots were used to quantify agreement between the values obtained from mapping images and those from spectroscopy. P-values  $<0.05$  were considered to be statistically significant.

• **RESULTS:** A total of 120 spectroscopic measurements were performed bilaterally (59 of 61) or unilaterally (2 of 61). Mean spectroscopic fat-signal fraction percentage was 14.0  $\pm$  11.8 (range, 2.9–63.6). Correlation between spectroscopic and all imaging-based fat-signal fractions was statistically significant ( $R^2 = 0.92$  [two-echo], 0.91 [three-echo], and 0.96 [six-echo], all  $P < .001$ ). Fat-signal fractions obtained from six-echo T2\* corrected Dixon VIBE sequence best correlated among all imaging-based fat-signal fractions with statistical significance ( $P < .001$ )

• **DISCUSSION:** Fat-signal fraction has been quantified for phantom and variable tissues using variable sequences based on Dixon technique (1-4). Recently, fat fraction mapping using multi-echo Dixon techniques have been developed for further improvement of accuracy. However, there has been no consensus whether T2\*-corrected multi-echo Dixon technique can more accurately measure fat-signal fraction in skeletal muscle as compared with the 2-echo and 3-echo techniques (1).

## • CONCLUSION

T2\*-corrected six-echo Dixon sequence best correlates with spectroscopic fat-signal fractions as compared with dual-echo and T2\*-corrected three-echo sequences, thus being expected to be used as an accurate quantification tool for measurement of muscle fat quantification in lumbar spine MR imaging.

## • REFERENCES

1. Fischer MA, Nanz D, Shimakawa A, et al. Radiology. 2013;266(2):555-563.
2. Brix G, Heiland S, Bellemann ME, et al. Magn Reson Imaging. 1993;11(7):977-991.
3. Kim H, Taksali SE, Dufour S, et al. Magn Reson Med. 2008;59(3):521-527.
4. Bernard CP, Liney GP, Manton DJ, et al. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2008;27(1):192-197