## Calibration for Parallel MRI Using Robust Low-Rank Matrix Completion

Dan Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Martin Uecker<sup>2</sup>, Joseph Y Cheng<sup>3</sup>, Zhongyuan Bi<sup>1</sup>, Kui Ying<sup>4</sup>, and Michael Lustig<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biomedical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, California, United States, <sup>3</sup>Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, California, United States, <sup>4</sup>Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Target Audience: The target audience for this document includes those who are interested in MRI acceleration and motion compensation.

Introduction: The goal of this work is to develop a practical calibration method for parallel MRI which is robust against both under-sampling and corruption of the calibration data. It was demonstrated in [1, 2] that low-rank matrix completion can reconstruct non-uniformly under-sampled k-space without specific auto-calibration data (ACS). Furthermore the work in [3] demonstrated correction of k-space data corrupted by sparse motion. Here, we show a generalized formulation for motionrobust auto-calibration and reconstruction from under-sampled data that is incorporated into ESPIRiT [4]. The method is general and can incorporate navigation information when available. The feasibility of the method was demonstrated in simulation and in-vivo experiment.

Reference

## Methods:

Low-rank matrix completion: Multi-channel k-space data is correlated and can be reformatted to form a low-rank block-Hankel matrix [1, 3]. Sparse errors due to motion corruption and missing k-space can be thought of as outliers that violate the low-rank property [5]. It is possible to restore both the missing and corrupted k-space by an outlier-robust low-rank matrix completion approach [6] of minimizing:

 $\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmin} \|P\hat{y} - y\|_1$ ,  $\operatorname{subject} to: \operatorname{rank}(H^{\dagger}\hat{y}) = k$ 

Here,  $\hat{y}$  is the estimated full k-space, y is the acquired data, P is the sampling operator,  $H^{\dagger}$  is an operator that constructs a so called calibration matrix from the multi-channel kspace in which rows are sliding windows of the multichannel data [1], and k is the apriori known rank of the calibration matrix. The  $l_1$ -norm in the objective is known to be robust to outliers. When navigator information is available, the robust method can be modified into a "weighted low-rank" algorithm [7, 8].

 $\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmin} \|w(P\hat{y} - y)\|_2^2$ , subject to:  $\operatorname{rank}(H^{\dagger}\hat{y}) = k$ 

Experimentally, w can be calculated with a 3D navigator. These objective functions can be solved by iterative singular-value thresholding [2].

Combination with ESPIRiT: The central k-space, i.e. the calibration region for ESPIRiT, can be corrected by the proposed method. Then, this recovered auto-calibration

region is used to compute eigenvector (sensitivity) maps. The full k-space can then be reconstructed using ESPIRiT. With navigator weights available, the weighted low-rank method is used to correct auto-calibration data and additionally, a weighted ESPIRiT is used to reduce corruptions in the final reconstructed image:

$$m = \operatorname{argmin} \|w(P\mathcal{F}Sm - y)\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\Psi m\|_{1}$$

Here, m is the reconstructed image,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier transform, S are ESPIRiT eigenvector maps, and  $\lambda \|\Psi m\|_1$  is a sparsity regularization.

Simulation: An 8-channel T1-weighted brain data acquired from 3D RF-spoiled FLASH (TR/TE=12.2/5.2ms, TI = 450ms, FA=20°, matrix size: 256×180×230) was artificially corrupted by random phase shifts in k-space and then retrospectively under-sampled by variable-density Poisson-disc sampling. 20% of the phase encoding lines were corrupted, followed by under-sampling without ACS region at a reduction factor of 5. After that, the corrupted k-space was corrected by the proposed methods. Weights for weighted methods were computed from the simulated motion. For comparison, images were also reconstructed by "conventional" ESPIRiT without any k-space correction.

In-vivo Experiment: Abdominal data from fat-suppressed 3D spoiled gradient

Corrupted and Under-Sampled Weighted Low-Rank Reconstructed Images Reference Corrupted and Under-Sampled Robust Low-Rank Weighted Low-Rank Sensitivity Map of channel #1

Robust Low-Rank

Fig 1. Simulation Results

Conventional ESPIRiT Weighted ESPIRiT

Fig. 2 In-vivo Results

echo sequence (TR/TE=3/1.2ms, FA=15°, matrix size: 192×180×68, 32 channels) was acquired during free-breathing using variable-density view-ordering (VDRad) [8]. Weights were obtained with a Butterfly navigator [8]. From this data set, a temporal phase with undersampling factor 8.4 was extracted and reconstructed with the proposed method. The reconstruction was conducted slice by slice after a 1D iFFT along frequency encoding direction. In order to reduce noise amplification, l<sub>1</sub>-wavelet constraints were used in the reconstructions [4].

Results and discussion: Fig. 1a compares the simulation results of robust low-rank and weighted low-rank methods. Fig 1b shows the eigenvector maps computed from the calibration region via ESPIRiT with and without correction. These results demonstrate the efficiency of low-rank matrix completion on fixing corrupted and under-sampled data. Fig. 2 illustrates the results of in-vivo experiment. Weighted ESPIRiT significantly reduced corruption compared to not weighted ESPIRiT.

Conclusion: The proposed combination of ESPIRiT with low-rank matrix completion is robust against both under-sampling and corruption of the calibration region. Simulation and in-vivo experiment indicated the efficiency of the proposed method.

Reference: [1] Lustig, ISMRM, 2010:2870 [2] Shin, MRM, 2013, in press (available at http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/~mlustig/preprints/SAKE.pdf) [3] Bi, ISMRM, 2013:2584 [4] Uecker, MRM, 2013, DOI: 10.1002/mrm.24751 [5] Huang, MRM, 2010;64(1):157-166 [6] Van Der Veen A-J, IEEE 1993;81(9):1277-1308 [7] Johnson, MRM, 2012;67(6):1600-1608 [8] Cheng, ISMRM, 2013:0312