

Who will benefit from this information?

Researchers wanting to learn the basics of analyzing Diffusion MRI data from group studies. I will discuss the major challenges and goals of such analyses, and the main approaches that are taken for analysis.

How was a problem determined?

The major challenge is to achieve good correspondence (alignment) of images across different subjects, so that any local (e.g., voxelwise) cross-subject analysis (e.g., comparing patients and controls) is meaningful – we need to be sure that a given point in the image corresponds to the same anatomical structure in all subjects.

Examples of how this issue has been addressed

The most common approach initially used was to apply *voxel-based morphometry*, meaning that generic nonlinear registration is applied to align FA images into a “standard space”, and then voxelwise statistics are computed across subjects [Ashburner]. However, this does not robustly generate perfect alignment between subjects, and so *tract-based spatial statistics* was developed, to try to improve further the robustness/accuracy of the alignment, and to only test those parts of the image deemed most reliable and interpretable [Smith]. Other approaches have attacked the correspondence problem in other ways, for example, some have used tractography to identify corresponding anatomical features in different subjects [e.g., Goodlett, Yendiki, Yushkevich, Gong].

J. Ashburner and K.J. Friston. Voxel-based morphometry – the methods. NeuroImage, 11:805–821, 2000.

S.M. Smith, M. Jenkinson, H. Johansen-Berg, D. Rueckert, T.E. Nichols, C.E. Mackay, K.E. Watkins, O. Ciccarelli, M.Z. Cader, P.M. Matthews, and T.E.J. Behrens. Tract-based spatial statistics: Voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. NeuroImage, 31:1487–1505, 2006.

C. Goodlett, B. Davis, R. Jean, J. Gilmore, and G. Gerig. Improved correspondence for DTI population studies via unbiased atlas building. In Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention, pages 260–267, 2006.

Anastasia Yendiki, Patricia Panneck, Priti Srinivasan, Allison Stevens, Lilla Zollei, Jean Augustinack, Ruopeng Wang, David Salat, Stefan Ehrlich, Tim Behrens, Saad Jbabdi, Randy Gollub, and Bruce Fischl. Automated probabilistic reconstruction of white-matter pathways in health and disease using an atlas of the underlying anatomy. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 5(00023), 2011.

Paul A Yushkevich, Hui Zhang, Tony J Simon, and James C Gee. Structure-specific statistical mapping of white matter tracts. NeuroImage, 41(2):448–461, Jun 2008.

G. Gong, T. Jiang, C. Zhu, Y. Zang, F. Wang, S. Xie, J. Xiao, and X. Guo. Asymmetry analysis of cingulum based on scale-invariant parameterization by diffusion tensor imaging. Human Brain Mapping, 24:92–98, 2005.

What will learners be able to do differently because of this information?

Attendees at this talk will be made aware of some of the important problems associated with analysis of multi-subject diffusion datasets, and learn something about the (conceptual and software) options available for analyzing such datasets.