## B-value sampling optimization for IVIM diffusion quantification in the liver and kidney at 1.5T and 3T

Hadrien A. Dyvorne<sup>1</sup>, Guido H. Jajamovich<sup>1</sup>, Niels Oesingmann<sup>2</sup>, Thorsten Feiweier<sup>3</sup>, Ersin Bayram<sup>4</sup>, and Bachir Taouli<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States, <sup>2</sup>Siemens Medical Solutions USA, New York, NY, United States, <sup>3</sup>Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany, <sup>4</sup>GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, United States

Target audience: M.D. and Ph.D. researchers with interests in abdominal applications of DWI.

**Purpose**: Intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) DWI has recently shown potential to diagnose liver fibrosis or assess kidney function, using parameters that reflect changes in perfusion (PF perfusion fraction and D\* pseudo diffusion) or in tissue structure (D true diffusion). For IVIM, a larger number of b values is needed in order to estimate all 3 parameters, which leads to an increase in scan time. The purpose of this study is to reduce the number of b values for IVIM applications in the liver and kidney, using in vivo data acquired with 16 b values.



**Fig. 1:** IVIM processing in the liver. A) b400 DW image shows ROI placement, B) IVIM decay curve in the liver. The solid curve indicates a 16 b values fit (x symbols represent the 16 data points) with  $D=114x10^{-5}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s, PF=10.0% and D\*=97.6 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/s. Using 9 optimized b values (o symbols represent optimized data points) yields almost similar values: D=117x10<sup>-5</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/s, PF=9.86% and D\*=102.0 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/s.



**Fig. 2:** Evolution of Bland Altman standard deviations (top row) and Pearson correlations (bottom row) between optimized distribution parameters and the reference parameters (obtained with 16 b values) for D, PF and D\*, as a function of the number of b values. Blue round symbols indicate 1.5T data, red diamond symbols indicate 3T data. As the number of b values decrease, deviations increase and correlation decrease for all parameters.

## References

- 1) Bretthorst GL. 2005;27A(2):73-83
- 2) Zhang JL, et al. Magn Reson Med. 2012;67(1):89-97
- 3) Lemke A, et al. Magn Reson Imaging. 2011;29(6):766-776
- This work was supported by NIDDK grant 1R01DK087877

**Methods**: This was an IRB approved prospective study. 56 subjects (M/F 38/18, age 53  $\pm$  12 y) underwent MRI exam at 1.5T (n=28) or 3T (n=28) with SS EPI DWI sampling 16 b values (0 to 800 s/mm<sup>2</sup>) at 1.5T (TR/TE 3000/74, resolution 2.3x2.9x8 mm, respiratory triggered, acquisition time 7:55 min) or 3T (TR/TE 3000/52, resolution 3.4x3.4x8 mm, free breathing, acquisition time 3:55 min). ROIs were placed in the right liver lobe and renal parenchyma, and a Bayesian fitting method<sup>1</sup> was used to estimate D, PF and D\* from the mean ROI signal intensity decay (Fig. 1). Combinatory b values subsets were drawn from the 16 b values, and the related IVIM parameters were compared with the reference parameters obtained using 16 b values, using Bland Altman comparison and Pearson correlation for each IVIM parameter. For each subset size (4 to 15 b values) the subset achieving lowest

parameter deviations in the liver and kidney were elected as optimal distributions.

**Results**: As the number of b values decreased, the optimized distributions showed increased deviations from reference parameters and decreased correlations with reference parameters (**Fig. 2**), reflecting a progressive loss in parameter estimation quality. A 9b values distribution (0, 15, 30, 45, 75, 135, 200, 400 and 800 s/mm<sup>2</sup>) was found to optimize parameter estimation for both liver and kidney, at 1.5T and 3T. Using this distribution, we achieved deviations lower than 5%, 20% and 30% and correlations higher than 0.92, 0.88 and 0.96 for D, PF and D\* respectively.

**Discussion**: Previous studies have addressed b value sampling optimization in the kidney<sup>2</sup> and pancreas<sup>3</sup>, with methods using IVIM decay biexponential model curves disturbed by Gaussian noise. Our study addresses the optimization of b value sampling in the liver and kidney using real in vivo data, and as such is not limited by model assumptions. A 9 b values optimized distribution could be found that provides minimal parameter deviations from a 16 b values distribution in both liver and kidney, at 1.5T and 3T, and decreases the acquisition time by 45%.

**Conclusion**: Using only 9 b values, it is possible to reduce significantly scan time by 45% from an ad hoc 16 b values distribution with minimal errors in estimated liver and renal IVIM parameters.