Expanding Applications of Cellular MRI by Combining Fluorine-19 and Iron-Oxide Techniques

T. Kevin Hitchens^{1,2}, Li Liu¹, Virgil Simplaceanu^{1,2}, Lesley M. Foley¹, Eric T. Ahrens^{1,2}, and Chien Ho^{1,2}

¹Pittsburgh NMR Center for Biomedical Research, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, ²Department of Biological Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, United States

Introduction

The ability to visualize cells and cell migration non-invasively with imaging is a powerful tool for basic biomedical research and medicine. For cellular MRI, cells labeled with iron-oxide nanoparticles can be detected with high sensitivity because of the effect on the nuclear relaxation properties of the surrounding water. Conversely, cells labeled with perfluorocarbon (PFC) can be uniquely detected in low SNR images with ¹⁹F MRI. Combining these two techniques has the potential to expand and improve cellular MRI applications. For example, if PFC labeled cells can be imaged and quantified in the presence of iron-oxide labeled cells, then two cell populations can be simultaneously tracked. In addition, a change in PFC T₂ and T₂* due to the presence of iron-oxide nanoparticles may be used to identify cell-cell proximity or co-labeled cells.

<u>Methods</u>

A series of labeled cell phantoms were prepared to investigate the effect of iron-oxide nanoparticles on ¹⁹F NMR relaxation and imaging properties of PFC-labeled cells. Three types of cell phantoms were prepared: PFC-labeled cells, mixed populations of PFC- and iron-oxide-labeled cells, and PFC/iron-oxide co-labeled cells (i.e. two different labels within one cell). Fetal Skin-derived Dendritic Cells (FSDCs) were labeled in culture with PFC (CS-1000, Celsense, Pittsburgh PA). Iron-oxide-labeled cells were prepared by co-culture with different concentrations of iron-oxide particles obtained from Bangs Laboratories (Fishers IN), BioPal (Worchester MA), or ITRI-IOP (1). Co-labeled cells were prepared by either consecutive or simultaneous co-incubation with two reagents. 6×10^6 cells were pelleted for each sample. Mixed cell populations were prepared by mixing 3×10^6 PFC labeled cells with 3×10^6 iron-oxide labeled cells. Samples were imaged at 7 Tesla (Bruker Biospec) using FLASH, RARE, and Ultra-short TE (UTE) pulse sequences, for T₂*-weighted, and density-weighted images, respectively. NMR spectroscopy was also used to investigate relaxation properties and ¹⁹F NMR linewidths in cell pellets and cells diluted 5x in agarose.

Results and Discussion

In cell phantoms, the presence of iron-oxide particles did not significantly affect the ¹⁹F PFC T₁. For mixed populations of cells, small-to-modest non-homogeneous line broadening and down-field shift were observed depending on iron-oxide concentration and cell-cell proximity. For co-labeled cells, line broadening was more severe (Figure 1), especially for cell pellets (not shown). In co-labeled cells, less than 1 pg Fe/cell (ITRI-IOPC) was needed to reduce the ¹⁹F T₂ by a factor of

pellets (not shown). In co-labeled cells, less than 1 pg Fe/cell (ITRI-IOPC) was needed to reduce the ¹⁹F T_2 by a factor of 10. ¹⁹F images of cell pellets demonstrate that the ¹⁹F relaxation properties can be used to identify different cell populations. A ¹⁹F UTE image can be used to show all ¹⁹F-labeled cell populations (Figure 2A), a T_2 -weighted RARE can be used to filter out co-labeled cell populations (Figure 2B), and a ¹⁹F gradient-echo can be used to filter out signal from mixed and co-labeled cell populations (Figure 2C). Studies using rodent models are underway to demonstrate *in vivo* application of this technique.



Conclusions

Combining ¹⁹F and iron-oxide cellular MRI techniques has the potential to expand and improve cellular MRI applications. Two cell populations could be tracked with T₂*-weighted ¹H imaging for iron-oxide cell populations, and ¹⁹F RARE imaging for ¹⁹F-labeled cells. In

addition, systemic iron-oxide labeling of macrophages may be used to quench the ¹⁹F signal taken up from dead PFC-labeled cell transplants, a major limitation to current MRI cell tracking studies.

Acknowledgements

The Pittsburgh NMR Center for Biomedical Research is supported by a grant from the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (P41-EB001977). Figure 1. ¹⁹F NMR Spectra of cells in agarose: (A) PFC-labeled cells; (B) mixed population and (C) co-labeled cells. B and C contain 0.45 pg Fe/cell for ITRI-IOP labeled cells.



Figure 2. ¹⁹F UTE (A), RARE (B) and FLASH (C) images of cell pellets containing PFC-labeled-FSDCs (left), a mixed population of PFC- and ITRI-IOP-labeled FSDCs with 0.45 pg Fe/cell (center), and co-labeled FSDCs with 0.56 pg Fe/cell (right).

¹Chen CL, Zhang H, Ye Q, Hsieh WY, Hitchens TK, Shen HH, Liu L, Wu YJ, Foley LM, Wang SJ, Ho C. Mol Imaging Biol. 5, 825-39 (2011).