## Analytic solution of the optimum flip angle for pass-band SSFP fMRI prescribes high flip angle acquisitions

Steve Patterson<sup>1,2</sup> and Chris Bowen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Biodiagnostics (Atlantic), NRC, Halifax, NS, Canada

Introduction Pass-band balanced steady state free precession (pass-band SSFP) is growing in popularity as a functional MRI (fMRI) technique because it offers reduced signal dropout and image distortion compared to gradient-recalled-echo echo-planar-imaging (GRE-EPI), while potentially providing greater blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) sensitivity than spin-echo acquisitions. Most pass-band SSFP fMRI studies employ the signal-optimizing flip angle ( $\alpha_s$ ), given by<sup>1</sup>:

## $\cos(\alpha_s) = ((T1/T2)-1)/((T1/T2)+1))$ (1)

In this work we derive an analytical expression for the BOLD contrast-optimizing flip angle ( $\alpha_c$ ), under the assumption that onresonant pass-band SSFP BOLD contrast results only from  $R_2$  changes, with no contribution from frequency shifts<sup>2</sup>. Interestingly, in grey matter at 3T  $\alpha_c$  is about 20° larger than  $\alpha_s$ . Validating our result against Monte Carlo simulations (which do include frequency shifts), we found that the use of  $\alpha_c$  rather than  $\alpha_s$  provided 23% more BOLD contrast on-resonance, as well as more uniform BOLD contrast off-resonance.

**Theory** The on-resonant SSFP signal, S, at  $T_E=T_R/2$ , is given by<sup>2</sup>:

$$S = Asin(\alpha)/(Bcos(\alpha) + C)$$

(2)where  $\alpha$  is the flip angle, A = sqrt(E<sub>2</sub>)(1-E<sub>1</sub>), B = -(E<sub>1</sub>-E<sub>2</sub>), C = 1-E<sub>1</sub>E<sub>2</sub>, E<sub>1</sub> = exp(-R<sub>1</sub>T<sub>R</sub>), E<sub>2</sub> = exp(-R<sub>2</sub>T<sub>R</sub>), R<sub>1</sub> = 1/T<sub>1</sub>, and R<sub>2</sub> = 1/T<sub>2</sub>. Approximating BOLD activation as a change in the R<sub>2</sub> relaxation rate, we express SSFP BOLD contrast as:

$$\Delta S = S(A_a, B_a, C_a) - S(A_r, B_r, C_r)$$
(3)

where  $A_r = A(R_1, R_{2,rest})$ ,  $A_a = A(R_1, R_{2,active} = R_{2,rest} + \Delta R_2)$ , etc. Taking the derivative of this expression with respect to flip angle and setting it to zero results in the cubic equation:

 $ax^{3} + bx^{2} + cx + d = 0$ (4)where  $x = cos(\alpha)$ ,  $a = (A_aC_aB_r^2 - A_rC_rB_a^2)$ ,  $b = (2A_aC_aC_rB_r + A_aB_aB_r^2 - 2A_rC_rC_aB_a - A_rB_rB_a^2)$ ,  $c = (2A_aB_aC_rB_r + A_aC_aC_r^2 - 2A_rB_rC_aB_a - A_rC_rC_a^2)$ , and  $d = (2A_aB_aC_aB_r^2 - A_rC_rB_a^2)$ ,  $b = (2A_aC_aC_rB_r + A_aB_aB_r^2 - 2A_rC_rC_aB_a - A_rB_rB_a^2)$ ,  $c = (2A_aB_aC_rB_r + A_aC_aC_r^2 - 2A_rB_rC_aB_a - A_rC_rC_a^2)$ , and  $d = (2A_aB_aC_aB_r^2 - A_rC_rB_a^2)$ ,  $b = (2A_aC_aC_rB_r + A_aB_aB_r^2 - 2A_rC_rC_aB_a - A_rB_rB_a^2)$ ,  $c = (2A_aB_aC_rB_r + A_aC_aC_r^2 - 2A_rB_rC_aB_a - A_rC_rC_a^2)$ , and  $d = (2A_aB_aC_rB_r + A_aB_aB_r^2 - 2A_rC_rC_aB_a - A_rB_rB_a^2)$ .  $(A_a B_a C_r^2 - A_r B_r C_a^2)$ . Solving for the roots of this equation, and choosing the root satisfying  $|x| \le 1$  that is required for a real flip angle, gives an analytic expression for  $\alpha_c$  of the form  $\alpha_c = f(T_1, T_2, T_R, \Delta R_2)$ .

**Methods** We compared the analytical solution with Monte Carlo simulations of SSFP fMRI contrast at 3T, following established approaches<sup>2,3</sup> shown to agree with experiment. Blood vessels were modeled as randomly oriented cylinders having a bloodoxygenation-dependent magnetic susceptibility offset from their surroundings. A simplified grey matter model was used<sup>3</sup> consisting of 2% (by volume) radius (R) =  $3\mu m$  vessels and 3% R =  $100\mu m$  vessels. BOLD activation was simulated by changing the blood oxygenation saturation fraction from 0.67 (resting) to 0.75 (active)<sup>2</sup>. Vessels were embedded in a homogeneous medium having grey

matter relaxation times ( $T_1 = 1200 \text{ ms}$ ,  $T_2 = 90 \text{ ms}$ ). Vessels were treated as impermeable. The intravascular compartment was included in the Monte Carlo model. Intravascular T1 was set to extravascular, while intravascular T<sub>2</sub> was computed from a Luz-Meiboom exchange model fit to SSFP data from in-vitro blood samples at 3T<sup>4</sup>.

**Results** In Figure 1 we plot the pass-band SSFP ( $T_R = 10 \text{ ms}, T_E = T_R/2$ ) normalized resting signal (S/M<sub>0</sub>, top) and corresponding BOLD contrast  $(\Delta S/M_0)$  vs. off-resonance frequency from the Monte Carlo simulation at several flip angles. From Eq. 1,  $\alpha_s$  = 31°, and from Eq. 4 (using a literature reported BOLD-induced  $\Delta R_2$  of -0.4s<sup>-1</sup> at 3T<sup>5</sup>),  $\alpha_c = 51^\circ$ . Using  $\alpha_c$  rather than  $\alpha_{s}$ , while reducing signal, results in both greater contrast in the pass-band centre (23% more in this example) and more uniform contrast across offresonance frequency (6% variation over the pass-band ( $\pm 0.25/T_{\rm R}$ ) region for  $\alpha_c$ , vs. 43% for  $\alpha_s$ ). The contrast profile from  $\alpha_c$  resembles the flat passband signal profile from  $\alpha_s$ .



**Discussion and Conclusion** An order-of-magnitude estimate for  $\Delta R_2$  is sufficient to compute  $\alpha_c$ . In the above example,  $\alpha_c = 51^\circ$  and  $52^{\circ}$  for  $\Delta R_2 = -.01s^{-1}$  and  $-1s^{-1}$ , respectively, spanning a broad physiological range. The contrast-optimizing flip angle is largely determined by the T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> relaxation times. Using literature-reported relaxation times for grey matter<sup>6</sup>, we found the relationship  $\alpha_c \approx \alpha_s + 20^\circ$  to give the contrast-optimizing flip angle to within 10% for B<sub>0</sub> from 1.5-7T. In conclusion, we have derived an analytic expression and formulated a simple rule-of-thumb for the pass-band SSFP fMRI contrast-optimizing flip angle, and have shown it to increase on-resonant BOLD sensitivity and provide more uniform BOLD sensitivity off-resonance.

## References

[1] Oppelt et al. Electromedica. 1986;54:15. [2] Miller et al. Magn Reson Med. 2008;60(3):661-73. [3] Kim et al. Int | Imaging Syst Technol. 2012;22(1):23-32. [4] Dharmakumar et al. Magn Reson Med. 2006;55(6):1372-80. [5] Schäfer et al. Magn Reson Mater Phy 2008;21:113-20. [6] Norris et al. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 2003;18:519-29.