## An Efficient Compressed Sensing Reconstruction Robust to Phase Variation on MR Images

satoshi ITO<sup>1</sup>, kazuki NAKAMURA<sup>1</sup>, and yoshifumi YAMADA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Division of Intelligence and Information Sciences, Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya, Tochigi, Japan

The application of compressed sensing (CS) to MRI has the potential to significantly reduce scan time. However, the quality of Introduction: reconstructed images will be degraded when the MR images have phase variations. In the present paper, we present a new CS method that is robust to phase variations in MR images. When the signal trajectory in k-space is symmetrical with respect to its origin, the k-space signal corresponding to the real and imaginary parts of the complex image can be synthesized independently by restricting the k-space signal to an even function or an odd function. The proposed method involves random but symmetrical k-space acquisition and independent reconstruction of the real and imaginary parts of images using the real-valued constraint.

**Theory:** Let the observed MR signal and spin density distribution be  $s(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ , respectively, where  $\mathbf{k}$  is a k-space vector and  $\mathbf{x}$  is a space vector. Then, we have

$$\mathbf{k} = \int \rho(\mathbf{x}) e^{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})} e^{-j(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F} \left[ \int \rho(\mathbf{x}) e^{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})} \right] \qquad \cdots (1)$$

where  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  is the function of phase variation due to imperfection in the MRI equipment and inhomogeneities in the main static magnetic field, and F is the operator of the Fourier transform. Image reconstruction can be performed by applying the inverse Fourier transform to the signal  $s(\mathbf{k})$ ,  $\rho(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})\}=F^{-1}[s(\mathbf{k})]$ . The real and imaginary parts of the complex image  $\rho(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})\}$  can be written as follows:

$$F[Re[\rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})}]] = \frac{1}{2}F[\rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})} + \rho(\mathbf{x})e^{j\phi(\mathbf{x})}] = \frac{1}{2}\{s(\mathbf{k}) + s(-\mathbf{k})^*\} \cdots (2), F[Im[\rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})}]] = -\frac{j}{2}F[\rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-j\phi(\mathbf{x})} - \rho(\mathbf{x})e^{j\phi(\mathbf{x})}] = -\frac{j}{2}\{s(\mathbf{k}) - s(-\mathbf{k})^*\} \cdots (3)$$

 $\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{Re}[\rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-\rho(\mathbf{x})}] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}[\rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-\rho(\mathbf{x})} + \rho(\mathbf{x})e^{i\theta(\mathbf{x})}] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}[\lambda(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\theta(\mathbf{x})}] = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}[\rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\theta(\mathbf{x})} - \rho(\mathbf{x})e^{i\theta(\mathbf{x})}] = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}[\lambda(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\theta(\mathbf{x})}] = -\frac{1}{2}$ using the real-valued constraint and the FREBAS transform.

Symmetrical sampling reduces the mutual incoherence between the sampling operator and the Fourier transform operator, which may degrade the resultant images. However, the effect of the real-valued constraint on removing the error components of the images is greater because the imaginary parts of the temporally reconstructed images are removed. Therefore, the resultant images have improved PSNR and smaller artifacts.

**onclusion:** A new CS technique that is robust to phase variations is proposed. The proposed method reconstructs the real and imaginary parts of images independently using the real-valued constraint. Several numerical experiments demonstrated that the proposed CS method provides Conclusion: better-quality images compared to simple reconstruction using a complex-valued sparsifying transform function or reconstruction with phase correction by applying estimated phase functions to the images. Acknowledgements: The present study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (24560510), Tateishi Science and Technology

Foundation, and the Telecommunications Advancement Foundation. In addition, we would like to thank T. Kimura for providing the MR images.

References [1] Candes E, et al., IEEE TIT.,52:489-509,2006. [2] Daubechies I, et al., Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 57,1413-1457 2004, [3] Ito S, et al., IEEE ICIP, Barcelona, Map8.7, 2003.



Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. 21 (2013)

Fig. 3 Comparison of reconstructed images with other reconstruction methods. 2604.