## A new Model-Based Technique for Accurate Reconstruction of T<sub>2</sub> Relaxation Maps from Fast Spin-Echo Data

Noam Ben-Eliezer<sup>1</sup>, Daniel K. Sodickson<sup>1</sup>, and Kai Tobias Block<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bernard and Irene Schwartz Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States

**Introduction**  $T_2$  contrast is one of the most commonly used tools for non-invasive diagnosis and prognosis of pathologies. Although  $T_2$  assessment is usually done in a visually-qualitative manner, its quantitative characterization holds valuable information for numerous applications, including the detection of biochemical and biophysical changes in hip and knee cartilage [1,2,3], diagnosis of prostate and liver cancer [4,5], assessment of diseased and post-transplant myocardial edema [6], and the investigation of muscle physiology [7]. Genuine quantification of  $T_2$  however, remains challenging in clinical practice due to the very long scan times associated with full spin-echo (**SE**) acquisitions (10s min), or, in the case of multi-echo fast SE sequences (**FSE**), due to an inherent bias of  $T_2$  values resulting from contamination of the echo-train by stimulated and indirect echoes (Fig. 1a). In addition, most fast methods are sensitive to  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  inhomogeneities, non rectangular slice profiles, and spurious diffusion weighting. Several approaches have recently been proposed for overcoming these artifacts by employing analytical or numerical stepwise tracing of all coherence pathways arising in a multi-echo sequence [8,9,10]. These methods show promising preliminary results, yet, entail high numerical complexity, do not always account for all experimental factors, or do not allow straightforward deduction a  $T_2$  value given an arbitrary train of echoes. In this work we present a new technique for post-processing FSE-based  $T_2$ -maps, relying on full Bloch simulations of the experimental factor such as RF pulse shapes, spin diffusion,  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  non-uniformities, and multi-exponential  $T_2$  distributions.

**Methods** <u>Preparation stage [ $\approx$ minutes]</u>: Full Bloch simulation (implemented in-house in MATLAB and C++) of the prospective FSE pulse sequence was performed on a standard PC, using the exact RF pulse shapes, echo train length (ETL), and other experimental parameters. Simulations were repeated for a range of T<sub>2</sub> values (T<sub>2</sub>=1...500ms  $\Delta$ T<sub>2</sub>=1ms), producing a set of echo-modulation-curves, each associated with a unique T<sub>2</sub> value. <u>Data acquisition</u>: Data was acquired on a 3T whole-body Siemens scanner for: **1**) two-compartment phantom, **2**) *in vivo* human brain, and **3**) *in vivo* human prostate (*not shown*), using full SE and multi-echo FSE sequences, employing identical parameters {*Phantom*: TR=4sec, TE=[12...156]ms, N<sub>TEs</sub>=13, ETL=1 for SE and 13 for FSE, res=1.25x1.25 mm<sup>2</sup>, slice=3mm, T<sub>acq</sub>=112min for SE and =8.6min for FSE}; {*Brain*: TR=3sec, TE=[20...120]ms, N<sub>TEs</sub>=6, ETL=1 for SE and 6 for FSE, res=1.7x1.7mm<sup>2</sup>, slice=3mm, T<sub>acq</sub>= 32min for SE and =5.4min for FSE}. <u>Post processing [ $\approx$ sec]: T<sub>2</sub> maps were generated using (i) gold-standard exponential fit of the SE set of images, (ii) similar exponential fit of FSE data, and (iii) [proposed method:] fitting of FSE data via minimization of the L2 norm of the difference between experimental and pre-calculated echo-modulation-curves.</u>

Fig. 1 shows two examples of T<sub>2</sub> maps, generated Results using the proposed technique. (a) Experimental echomodulation decay curves for SE (blue), and FSE (red), corresponding to panels (b) and (c) respectively, demonstrating the strong effect of stimulated echoes, in multi-echo sequences. Bloch simulations are able to very accurately predict this effect (black circles). (b) T<sub>2</sub> maps generated using goldstandard SE sequence data. (c) FSE based T<sub>2</sub> maps using similar exponential fit. (d) Same data as in (c) but employing best L2 norm fit to the pre-calculated set of echo-modulation-curves. Juxtaposing panels (c) & (d) vs. the gold-standard  $T_2$  maps in (a), a consistent improvement in T<sub>2</sub> accuracy is achieved using the proposed method (panels (d)) for both phantom (avg. error reduced from 32% to 6%) and for brain (avg. error reduced from 70% to 17%) vs. standard exponential fit (panels (c)).



**Discussion** The proposed technique offers an accurate tool for fast  $T_2$  mapping, which avoids the common penalties associated with multi-echo sequences. By modulating the effect of stimulated- and indirect-echoes a significantly improved fitting accuracy is achieved, yielding  $T_2$  maps with high correlation to those acquired using single-echo SE sequences. This promises reliable  $T_2$  mapping in clinically feasible scan times, with reduced motion sensitivity compared to SE-based approaches. The technique is time efficient, uses no a priori assumptions, and provides a comprehensive and easy-to-use framework that can be further used for modeling other types of spin interactions (e.g., diffusion,  $T_{1p}$ ,  $T_2^*$ ) and acquisition schemes.

References [1] Mosher TJ, Dardzinski BJ. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol. 2004; 8(4):355-68. [2] Pan J et al. Radiology. 2011; 261(2):507-15. [3] Nishii T et al. Radiology. 2010; 256(3):955-65. [4] Liu W et al. Magn Reson Med. 2011; 65(5):1400-6. [5] Farraher SW et al. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2006; 24(6):1333-41. [6] Usman AA et al. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012 [Epub ahead of print]. [7] Patten C et al. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol. 2003; 7(4):297-305. [8] Lukzen NN et al. J Magn Reson. 2009; 196(2):164-9. [9] Zur Y. J Magn Reson. 2004; 171(1):97-106. [10] Lebel RM, et al. Magn Reson Med. 2010; 64(4):1005-14. Financial support: Helen and Martin Kimmel Award for Innovative Investigation.