# Quantitative comparison of MOBILE (Mapping of Oxygen By Imaging Lipids relaxation Enhancement) and EPR oximetry in multiple tumor models.

Florence Colliez<sup>1</sup>, Julie Magat<sup>1</sup>, Marie-Âline Neveu<sup>1</sup>, Bénédicte F. Jordan<sup>1</sup>, and Bernard Gallez<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Louvain Drug Research Institute, Biomedical Magnetic Resonance Research Group, University of Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

Target audience : MR scientists who are interested in oncology and tumor hypoxia.

**Purpose and objectives:** Tumor hypoxia is acknowledged as a major factor of resistance of solid tumors to treatment. Improving tumor oxygenation at the time of treatment could lead to an improved response to therapy (1). In order to individualize the treatments and select patients who could benefit from tumor reoxygenation, there is a critical need for methods able to monitor dynamically and noninvasively tumor oxygenation. Variations in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>\* are potentially valuable MRI tools to changes in tumor oxygenation. T<sub>2</sub>\* is sensitive to the relative Hb/HbO<sub>2</sub> ratio in vessels (2), while T<sub>1</sub> change is sensitive to dissolved oxygen which acts as a T<sub>1</sub>-shortening paramagnetic contrast agent (3). The purpose of the current work was to compare the MOBILE technique, a method developed to map variations in oxygenation based on the changes in the relaxation properties of the tissue lipids by exploiting the higher solubility property of oxygen in lipids than in water (4), with R<sub>1</sub> of H<sub>2</sub>O and with EPR oximetry in multiple tumor models. Positive and negative changes in tumor oxygenation were induced by a hyperoxic breathing challenge or administration of an anti-vascular agent in order to determine correlations between the tumor response assessed using each technique in MDA-MB-231 and NT2 mammary tumors.

### Material and Methods:

*Tumor models & protocol*: Mammary tumor models (NT-2 and Human MDA-MB-231 cells) were implanted in the mammary fat pad of FVB/N and NMRI nude mice, respectively. Mice were anesthetized using isoflurane. Three MOBILE measurements were acquired during air breathing and repeated during carbogen breathing. A similar protocol was repeated 4h later with L-band in vivo EPR oximetry. In order to follow the decrease of pO2 after CA4 administration (100 mg/kg), MOBILE measurements and EPR oximetry were performed at baseline and 3 h after CA4 injection. *MR experiments*: MRI Experiments were performed with a 11.7T (Bruker, Biospec), and with a quadrature volume coil (inner diameter of 40 mm). A segmented IR FISP (Inversion-Recovery Fast Imaging with Steady state Precession) sequence (SSFP FID mode) was used to acquire parametric images of T<sub>1</sub> relaxation time. The acquisition parameters were TR/TE/FA/BW/matrix = 4 ms/1.2ms/5°/100kHz/64x64, 4 segments, and a total

acquisition time of 1min20s. For the lipids experiment, we first evaluated the difference in Hertz between water and lipid peaks on a single pulse spectrum. These offsets were then used as an imaging frequency offset in the same IR FISP protocol and the water signal was spoiled. Images were treated using Matlab to determine the T<sub>1</sub> relaxation. EPR experiments were performed on a 1.1 GHZ in vivo L-band EPR Magnettech system 24h after injection of a paramagnetic oxygen reporter probe.

## **Results:**

In NT2 tumors, the basal pO<sub>2</sub> was 8.4 $\pm$ 1.1 mm Hg and reached 26.1 $\pm$ 6.1 mm Hg during carbogen breathing. The correlation between R<sub>1</sub> H<sub>2</sub>O and pO<sub>2</sub> was not significant (p=0.8611, positive linear fit 0.000409 $\pm$ 0.002241; r<sup>2</sup>=0,005529) (Fig.1), while a positive linear significant correlation was found between R<sub>1</sub> Lipids and pO<sub>2</sub> (0.01744 $\pm$ 0.00656; r<sup>2</sup>=0.5407, p=0.0376) (Fig.1). In MDA-MB-231 tumors, the basal pO<sub>2</sub> was 7.3 $\pm$ 1.2 mm Hg and reached 4.5 $\pm$ 0.8mmHg three hours after CA4 injection as assessed using EPR oximetry. Variations in pO<sub>2</sub> induced larger changes in R<sub>1</sub> lipids than in global R<sub>1</sub>. A positive fit was found between R<sub>1</sub> lipids and pO<sub>2</sub> (positive linear fit 0.0208 $\pm$ 0.224; r<sup>2</sup>=0.2224) (Fig.2.).

Fig.3 & 4 show typical maps of change in NT2 & MDA-MB-231 tumors, respectively, in response to carbogen breathing, for which pO<sub>2</sub> values were 9.1 mm Hg and 8.4 mm Hg at baseline and reached 31.6 mmHg and 25.6 mmHg during carbogen breathing, as assessed using EPR oximetry.

2.0 f = R1 lipids f = R1 water f = 0.0376 f = 0.000 f = 0.0000 f = 0.00000 f = 0.00000 f = 0.00000 f = 0.00000 f = 0.000000 f = 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000	• Ri water (s <sup>-1</sup> ) • Ri lipide (s <sup>-1</sup> ) 14 12 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
Fig.1. NT2 tumor model: correlation	Fig.2. MDA-MB-231 tumor model:	Fig.3. Typical map of change in a	Fig.4. Typical map of change in a
between R <sub>1</sub> values and EPR pO <sub>2</sub> values.	correlation between R <sub>1</sub> values and EPR pO <sub>2</sub>	NT2 tumor in response to	MDA-MB-231 tumor in response to
-	values.	carbogen breathing.	carbogen breathing.

## **Conclusions:**

The aim of this work was to show the ability of MOBILE to follow variations in pO<sub>2</sub>. Two agents were used as oxygenation modulators : CA4 and carbogen. MOBILE was able to follow both of them in two mammary tumor models (NT2 and MDA-MB-231). Variations in pO<sub>2</sub> were significantly correlated with R<sub>1</sub> lipids whereas the correlation could not be established between pO<sub>2</sub> values and R<sub>1</sub> water measurements in the NT2 model. MOBILE presents a higher sensitivity than global R<sub>1</sub> to monitor changes in tumor oxygenation. In addition, these data stem in favour of a quantitative aspect of MOBILE.

## **References:**

(1) Kaanders et al, Lancet Oncol 2002, 3, 728-737 (2) Baudelet et al, Magn Reson Med 2002, 48, 980-986 (3) O'Connor et al, Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009, 75, 1209-1215 (4) Jordan BF & Magat J. et al, Magn Reson Med, 2012, Sep 28. doi: 10.1002/mrm.24511.