# Combination of MR dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging with T2-corrected intra-voxel incoherent motion imaging at 3.0T to assess liver fibrosis

Benjamin Leporq<sup>1</sup>, Frank Pilleul<sup>1</sup>, Jerome Dumortier<sup>2</sup>, Olivier Guillaud<sup>2</sup>, Thibaud Lefort<sup>2</sup>, and Olivier Beuf<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CREATIS; CNRS UMR 5220; INSERM U1044; INSA-Lyon; UCBL, Université de Lyon, Villeurbanne, Rhône-Alpes, France, <sup>2</sup>CHU Edouard Herriot; Department of Hepatology, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, Rhône-Alpes, France

# Introduction

Liver fibrosis is an important cause of mortality and morbidity in patients with chronic liver diseases and cirrhosis, end-stage of fibrosis, involve 15,000 and 40,000 deaths per year in France and in USA respectively (1,2). Reversible aspect of liver fibrosis has been recognized, and more effective treatment strategies have emerged. Nevertheless these latter require an early detection and a clinical follow-up of liver fibrosis. While liver biopsy is the gold standard for the diagnosis of chronic liver diseases, inherent risk, interobserver variability and sampling errors makes liver biopsy unusable for the clinical follow up. Thus, there is a real clinical need in the development of non-invasive methods for liver fibrosis assessment. At 1.5 T, human *in-vivo* studies have demonstrated that liver perfusion imaging using a MR dynamic contrast enhanced method (MR-DCE) has the potential to detect and assess vascular modifications associated to liver fibrosis (3,4). On another hand, intra-voxel incoherent motion imaging (IVIM) has been proposed to asses liver fibrosis (5). This technique was able to separate two kind of diffusion: the pure molecular diffusion and the perfusion-related diffusion. Our objective was to combine IVIM with perfusion liver diseases. Through this study, perfusion-related diffusion parameters given by IVIM and quantitative perfusion parameters given by MR-DCE imaging were compared.

# **Materials and Methods**

Subjects: Fourteen subjects (6 W, 8 M; mean age:  $41.0 \pm 15.4$  years; mean weight:  $73.6 \pm 17.4$  Kg) with chronic liver diseases were prospectively enrolled. Liver fibrosis was histologicaly quantified with METAVIR and Brunt quantification in patients with viral hepatitis and NAFLD respectively. MR acquisition: Acquisitions were performed on a 3.0 T Discovery MR 750 (GEHC, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Dynamic acquisition was performed with a 3D LAVA sequence employing the autocalibrating reconstruction for cartesian imaging with an accelerating factor of 3. Acquisition parameters were: TR/TE, 1.9/0.8 ms; 9° flip angle; 128 × 160 acquisition matrix (256<sup>2</sup> rebuilding); 480<sup>2</sup> mm<sup>2</sup> FOV; 2/3 partial K-space filling, 24 cm slab thickness including 48 coronal slices of 5 mm thickness rebuilt with a ZIP 2 interpolation algorithm. Temporal resolution was 1.8 s. Dynamic acquisition started simultaneously with contrast medium injection (Multihance, Bracco s.p.a, Milano, Italy) and lasted 180 s in free-breathing. Before injection, a triple-angle (3,6,9°) pre-contrast acquisition was performed with the same parameters as used for dynamic acquisition. Injection rate was 6.0 mL.sec<sup>-1</sup> and posology was 0.2 mL.Kg<sup>-1</sup>. IVIM was performed using a single-shot SE-EPI sequence, in free breathing, with 12 b-values (0-10-20-40-60-80-100-200-300-400-600-800 s.mm<sup>-2</sup>) and a weighted signal averaging procedure (2 to 9 signal accumulations according to b-values). Three orthogonal diffusion gradients were sequentially applied. A 2000 ms TR, 54 ms minimum TE; 21 axial slices of 8 mm thick; 400 × 300 mm<sup>2</sup> FOV; 128 × 96 acquisition matrix (256<sup>2</sup> rebuilding) were used. Scan duration was 5'12". *Images processing:* First, a dedicated algorithm was used to quantify perfusion parameters. It included an image registration procedure based on affine transformation, an auto-calibrated tracer concentration quantification method based on a T<sub>1</sub> precontrast mapping and a modeling step where perfusion parameters were quantified using a non-linear least square fit on a dual-input one compartment model. This model includes two delays (arterial and portal) and give three main perfusion parameters: arterial and portal perfusion and Mean Transit Time (MTT). Hepatic Perfusion Index (HPI) was calculated as the arterial perfusion to total perfusion ratio. Regional Blood Volume (RBV) was calculated as RBV = MTT × Total perfusion. IVIM parameters (pure molecular diffusion coefficient, D<sub>slow</sub>; perfusion fraction, f; and perfusion-related diffusion coefficient, D<sub>rast</sub>) were calculated from the diffusion-weighted set of images using a non-linear least-square fit to a modified bi-exponential IVIM model with the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. This model was based on Le Bihan's model (6) and including a T<sub>2</sub> correction to compensate T<sub>2</sub> relaxation difference between blood and hepatic tissue. This correction used *a-priori* T<sub>2</sub>-values (34 and 255 ms for liver and blood respectively). To reduce local minima problems, all optimization algorithms started with a grid of pseudo-random initial coefficients generated between two threshold values. Each fit procedure was done with 1000 different initializations.

#### Tab.1: Mean perfusion and IVIM parameters stratified according to fibrosis severity.

Fibrosis severity	No fibrosis	Non-advanced fibrosis	Advanced fibrosis
Arterial perfusion (ml.min <sup>-1</sup> .100g <sup>-1</sup> )	$34.1 \pm 8.1$	$51.9 \pm 9.5$	$34.8 \pm 19.0$
Portal perfusion (ml.min <sup>-1</sup> .100g <sup>-1</sup> )	$101.3 \pm 26.1$	$69.5 \pm 10.9$	$28.9 \pm 12.9$
Total perfusion (ml.min <sup>-1</sup> .100g <sup>-1</sup> )	$135.4 \pm 24.1$	$121.4 \pm 20.1$	$63.7 \pm 26.3$
HPI (%)	$25.9 \pm 8.2$	$42.7 \pm 1.8$	$53.0 \pm 13.9$
$\mathbf{MTT}(s)$	$5.2 \pm 1.4$	$5.7 \pm 1.0$	$11.3 \pm 4.2$
<b>RBV</b> (ml.100g-1)	$11.8 \pm 4.3$	$11.5 \pm 3.1$	$10.9 \pm 3.7$
$\mathbf{D}_{Slow} (\times 10^{-3} mm.s^{-2})$	$1.07 \pm 0.1$	$0.98 \pm 0.02$	$0.88 \pm 0.07$
f (%)	$4.86 \pm 3.84$	$5.09 \pm 0.76$	$4.96 \pm 1.01$
$\mathbf{D}_{\text{Fort}} (\times 10^{-3}  mm  s^{-2})$	$93.7 \pm 20.8$	$78.6 \pm 3.9$	$65.2 \pm 6.3$

### Discussion

Perfusion parameter variations confirm the existence of hemodynamic changes associated with fibrous damage. Parameter modifications are consistent with previous results obtained at 1.5 T (4). The deposition of extracellular matrix components in liver fibrosis restricts the pure molecular diffusion as illustrated by  $D_{Slow}$  decrease with fibrosis severity. As suggested by the correlations between  $D_{Fast}$  and total perfusion or portal perfusion, D<sub>Fast</sub> reflects the hemodynamic changes induced by fibrosis. As showed by D<sub>Slow</sub>-values differences between NAFLD and chronic hepatitis for the group with no fibrosis, fat vesicles in NAFLD also restrict pure molecular diffusion. This double contribution to molecular diffusion restriction reduces D<sub>slow</sub> relevance to evaluate fibrosis severity in a cohort including both NAFLD and chronic hepatitis without fat overload. Histology-confirmed fat overload did not modified perfusion parameters-values in this study. Thus, these observations suggest that the combination of IVIM and MR-DCE imaging does not improve fibrosis assessment in a large spectrum of etiologies. Indeed, perfusion parameters given by MR-DCE imaging alone are already relevant to evaluate fibrosis severity whereas fat overload constitute a confounding factor for fibrosis evaluation with IVIM when NAFLD and chronic hepatitis are mixed. Nevertheless, since IVIM can give information about both hemodynamic changes and molecular diffusion restriction induced by the deposition of extracellular matrix components associated to liver fibrosis, IVIM could be a useful injection-free method to distinguish between pure steatosis and NASH in patients with NAFLD, if it was combined with a suitable MR fat quantification method.

Acknowledgments: This work was conducted in the framework of the LabEX PRIMES.

HAS. Guide affection longue durée - cirrhoses. 2008.
 Anderson RN et al. National Vital Statistics System 52:-85
 Hagiwara M et al. Radiology 2008;246:926-34

## Results

Histological results were: 4 NASH (2 scored Brunt 2 and 2 scored Brunt 3), 2 NAFLD (scored Brunt 0), 6 viral hepatitis (1 scored F0, 2 scored F1, 2 scored F2 and 1 scored F3) and 2 cholangiopathies without fibrosis. Using these results, three groups were constituted: no fibrosis, for subjects with METAVIR F0 or Brunt 0; non-advanced fibrosis, for subjects with METAVIR < F2 or Brunt < 2, advanced fibrosis for subjects with METAVIR  $\geq$  F2 or Brunt  $\geq$  2. IVIM and perfusion parameters stratified according to fibrosis severity are summarized in Tab.1. Portal and total perfusion, D<sub>Slow</sub> and D<sub>Fast</sub> decreased according to fibrosis severity. Portal perfusion diminution induced an increase of HPI. MTT was constant between no fibrosis and non-advanced fibrosis group but was modified at advanced fibrosis. In patients without fibrosis, mean  $D_{\text{Slow-values}}$  were smaller for patients with NAFLD than in patients with chronic hepatitis  $(9,61 \pm 0.02 \text{ vs. } 1,16 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm.s}^{-2})$ . To distinguish between advanced fibrosis and no fibrosis groups, portal and total perfusion, MTT, HPI, D<sub>Slow</sub> and D<sub>Fast</sub> were found significant (p <0.01). To distinguish between no fibrosis and non-advanced fibrosis groups, only HPI was found significant (p<0.05). To separate non-advanced fibrosis and advanced fibrosis, portal and total perfusion, MTT and D<sub>Fast</sub> were found significant (p<0.05). Between IVIM and perfusion parameters, a strong correlation was found between D<sub>Fast</sub> and portal perfusion or total perfusion (Spearman's rho = 0.86 and 0.81 respectively, p <0.001).

[4] Leporq B *et al.* J Magn Reson Imaging 2012;35:1380-87
[5] Luciani A *et al.* Radiology. 2008;249:891-99
[6] Le Bihan D et al. Radiology 1988;168:497-505