## Minimizing the Influence of Blood Volume Fraction on Other Pharmacokinetic Parameters in DCE-MRI

Xin Li<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey M. Njus<sup>1</sup>, Seymur Gahramanov<sup>2</sup>, Csanad G. Várallyay<sup>2</sup>, John W. Grinstead<sup>3</sup>, Charles S. Springer<sup>1</sup>, Edward A. Neuwelt<sup>2</sup>, and William D. Rooney<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Advanced Imaging Research Center, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, United States, <sup>3</sup>Siemens Healthcare, Portland, Oregon, United States

**Purpose:** Pharmacokinetic modeling of dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE)-MRI data using extravsating contrast reagent (CR) often cannot separate the influence of blood volume fraction ( $v_b$ ) on fitted K<sup>trans</sup> (CR transfer constant) and  $v_e$  (extracellular, extravascular volume fraction) values. Not surprisingly, the inclusion(1,2) or neglect(3,4) of a  $v_b$  contribution results in different K<sup>trans</sup> and/or  $v_e$  values returned in pharmacokinetic modeling and confounds biological interpretation. It is worth

noting, however,  $v_b$  influence on DCE-MRI time-course with bolus CR injection only dominates during CR first pass and diminishes during most part of the CR washout phase. Here we show that the same K<sup>trans</sup> and  $v_e$  values can be obtained with quantitative DCE-MRIs using  $R_1 \equiv T_1^{-1}$  data that do not include the CR first pass. This could simplify quantitative K<sup>trans</sup> and  $v_e$  interpretation when moderate CR extravasation is expected.

<u>Methods</u>: Five subjects with brain lesions were provided informed consent before participating in this multi-session MRI study. All MRI data were collected using a 3T Siemens TIM Trio instrument equipped with a body RF coil transmitter and a 12-channel matrix head coil receiver. **Figure. 1** shows a simplified schema of the two-day DCE-MRI



protocol: day 1, 0.1 mmol/kg Gadoteridol (Prohance, Bracco) CR in a single injection; day 2, DCE with 510 mg total Ferumoxytol (Feraheme, AMAG Pharmaceuticals) CR in three injections (dosed at 1/7, 2/7, 4/7 of the total injection amount, respectively). Using a thirty-six inversion time (TI) gradient-echo inversion recovery EPI sequence (5) with non-selective adiabatic inversion RF pulse, water proton R1 data were collected before and up to four times after Prohance (Gd) injection out to 70 min post-injection and at four time points before and after the three Ferumoxytol (Fe) injections. As shown in Fig.1, Gd or Fe R1 data acquisitions are labeled sequentially with respect to CR injection. Individual Gd or Fe CR R1 maps were calculated using a single exponential inversion recovery model followed by coregistration to a high resolution T<sub>1</sub>-weighted anatomical image. The four co-registered Ferumoxytol R<sub>1</sub> data were modeled voxelwise using a two water compartment (blood – extravascular) model to extract blood volume fraction ( $v_b$ ) and mean capillary water molecule lifetime ( $\tau_b$ ). Due to its large size, Ferumoxytol does not extravasate to any appreciable extent following IV injection and thus provides a reliable v<sub>b</sub> estimation. The five co-registered Gadoteridol R<sub>1</sub> data were modeled voxelwise using i) a two-compartment  $[v_e, and (1-v_e); i.e.$  the extravascular, intracellular volume fraction  $(v_i)$ ] model, and ii) a three-compartment model  $(v_b, v_e, and v_e)$  $v_i$ ). For the latter, voxelwsie  $v_b$  values from Fe DCE were incorporated. Since the first R<sub>1</sub> data post Gd injection were acquired *ca.* ~ 140 s post CR injection, CR concentrations in blood and interstitium largely track each other, and therefor diminished transendothelial water exchange effect is expected for these data time-courses. Results: Figure 2a shows a lesion slice R<sub>1</sub> map post Gd CR injection. The colored rectangular border encloses the general lesion area exhibiting noticeable post-CR enhancement. Fig. 2b shows the zoomed v<sub>b</sub> color map obtained from the Fe DCE modeling. The lesion K<sup>trans</sup> color map from modeling Gadoteridol extravasation without v<sub>b</sub> is shown in Fig 2c. The somewhat resemblance of the lesion v<sub>b</sub> and K<sup>trans</sup> maps (independently measured by our two-day MRIs with Fe vs. Gd CRs) demonstrates potential parameter dependence between the two. With a 10X more sensitive color scale, the absolute K<sup>trans</sup> difference between modeling with and without v<sub>b</sub> incorporated into Gadoteridol DCE pharmacokinetic equations is shown in Fig. 2d. For most of the lesion, the K<sup>trans</sup> difference between the two models is small. When all lesion areas are pooled from the subjects, K<sup>trans</sup> and v<sub>e</sub> values from both approaches are almost identical. Linear regression of K<sup>trans</sup> (no v<sub>b</sub>) vs. K<sup>trans</sup> (including v<sub>b</sub>) returned a slope of 0.9932, and that of v<sub>e</sub> (no v<sub>b</sub>) vs. v<sub>e</sub> (including v<sub>b</sub>) to be 0.9996, with either intercept effectively zero. This indicates that with acquisition scheme described here, v<sub>b</sub> has minimal impact on the extravasating Gd DCE model parameter values (K<sup>trans</sup> and v<sub>e</sub>).

**Discussion:** The resemblance of the independently measured lesion  $v_b$  (by Fe DCE, **2b**) and K<sup>trans</sup> (by Gd DCE, **2c**) data demonstrates experimentally some dependence between  $v_b$  and K<sup>trans</sup> (correlation coefficient, 0.44 for Fig. 2 lesion data) model parameters. This could complicate DCE-MRI model approach and results in different numerical K<sup>trans</sup> and  $v_e$  values whether  $v_b$  is incorporated into the model. The feasibility of modeling DCE-MRI with  $R_1$  data to achieve consistent K<sup>trans</sup> and  $v_e$  values independent of  $v_b$  is presented in this work. The consistency of these pharmacokinetic parameter values will greatly simplify their interpretation and facilitate biological interpretation. During CR bolus passage, the relative influence of pharmacokinetic parameters varies (6) with CR extravasation rate. With a K<sup>trans</sup> of 0.005 to 0.1 min<sup>-1</sup>, a diminishing  $v_b$  influence on DCE data is expected after the CR bolus first pass (*ca.* 140 s after injection in current study). Compared to the standard gradient echo DCE-MRI approach, the sparse temporally sampled quantitative  $R_1$  mapping approach used here is more tolerant of  $B_1$  and  $B_0$  inhomogeneities which may be beneficial at higher field. Furthermore, quantitative  $R_1$  data provide direct measures of blood  $R_1$  and tissue  $R_1$  time courses, thus simplifies blood volume fraction calculation when intravascular CR (Ferumoxytol in this study) is used. Accurate blood volume fraction measurement is extremely value in brain lesion diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring (7,8). A limitation of the sparse temporally sampled approach is the potential "ceiling" effect in K<sup>trans</sup>; i.e. sensitivity to measure large K<sup>trans</sup> values is expected to be reduced. For brain lesions K<sup>trans</sup> values are often in a range that is well suited to a sparse-temporally sampled data may affect optimization routine during model fitting. Our experience indicates that for commonly applied pharmacokinetic models (1-4), the parameter space often shows a smooth varying nat

RO1-40801, NMSS RG 3168-A-1.

<u>Reference:</u> 1. Tofts, J Magn Reson Imaging. 7:91-101 (1997). 2. Li, Rooney Springer, Magn Reson Med. 54:1351-1359 (2005). [Erratum: Magn Reson Med 2006;55:1217.]
3. Tofts, Brix, Buckley, et al. J Magn Reson Imaging, 10:223-232 (1999). 4. Yankeelov, Rooney, Li, Springer, Magn Reson Med. 50:1151-1169 (2003). 5. Grinstead, Rooney, Proc. Int Soc Magn Reson Med. 16: 3084 (2008). 6. Li, Priest, Woodward, Siddiqui, Beer, Garzotto, Rooney, Springer, J Magn Reson. 218:77-85 (2012). 7. Dósa, Guillaume, Haluska, Lacy, Hamilton, Njus, Rooney, Kraemer, Muldoon, Neuwelt, Neuro Oncol. 13:251-60 (2011). 8. Gahramanov, Muldoon, Li, Neuwelt. Radiology. 261: 796-804 (2011).

