Exchange Rate Selective Imaging Using T₁₀ Dispersion

John T. Spear^{1,2}, Zhongliang Zu^{2,3}, and John C. Gore²

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States, ²Vanderbilt University Institute of Imaging Science, Nashville, TN, United States, ³Department of Radiology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States

Target Audience: Investigators interested in novel image contrasts reflecting chemical exchange mechanisms.

Purpose: A novel type of MR image contrast and method for quantitative tissue characterization has been developed based on the behavior of rates of spin-lattice relaxation in the rotating frame, $R_{1\rho}$ (= 1/ $T_{1\rho}$). At high fields, $R_{1\rho}$ (and R_2) may be dominated by the effects of chemical exchange between water and labile protons of different chemical shift(s), but their magnitude also depends on the amplitude of the RF field used for spinlocking experiments [1]. An appropriate analysis of the behavior of R_{1p} with different locking fields (R_{1p} dispersion) may thus be used to characterize exchange effects. Whereas other exchange-sensitive methods (such as CEST) identify protons of specific chemical shifts, the approach proposed here can theoretically separate species by identifying a specific rate of exchange for the species of interest.

<u>Methods</u>: Chopra et al. derived an expression for exchange effects on $R_{1\rho}$ that can be simplified to $R_{1\rho} = R_{2A} + p_B \left[R_{2B} + \frac{r_B \Delta \omega_B^2}{r_B^2 + \Delta \omega_B^2 + \omega_1^2} \right]$, where R_{2A} and R_{2B} are the transverse relaxation rates of the A (water) and B (exchanging) pools respectively, p_B , r_B , $\Delta \omega_B$ denote the exchanging pool fractional population, exchange rate and chemical shift respectively, and ω_1 is the spin-locking field amplitude [2]. This is valid when $r_B >> R_{2B} > R_{1B}$ as is always the case in practice. If we consider three measurements of R_{10} taken at three different values of ω_1 (low (≈ 0), high ($\approx \infty$) and a selected value ω_1), we can define an Exchange Rate Contrast (ERC) that may only take on values between 0 and 1:

$$ERC(\omega_1) = 4 \frac{\left(\frac{R_{1\rho}(\omega_1=0) - R_{1\rho}(\omega_1)\right)\left(\frac{R_{1\rho}(\omega_1) - R_{1\rho}(\omega_1=\infty)\right)}{\left(\frac{R_{1\rho}(\omega_1=0) - R_{1\rho}(\omega_1=\infty)\right)^2}\right)}.$$
 Note that $R_{1\rho}(\omega_1=0) \approx R_2$, while $R_{1\rho}(\omega_1=\infty) \approx R_1$.

Exchange Rate Contrast Using the first two equations we obtain $ERC(\omega_1) = 4 \frac{\alpha^2}{(1+\alpha^2)^2}$ where $\alpha^2 = \frac{\omega_1^2}{3\omega_e^2}$ and $3\omega_e^2 = r_B^2 + \Delta\omega_B^2$; the locking frequency ω_e corresponds to the field at which the dispersion of $R_{1\rho}$ with ω_1 shows an inflection. The

ERC has a maximum value (=1) when α =1, i.e. when the selected locking field $\omega_1 = \sqrt{\frac{r_B^2 + \Delta \omega_B^2}{3}}$. The ERC,

simulated in figure 1, may be fit to experimental data to calculate ω_1 and subsequently r_B . Three samples were prepared to demonstrate the effects described above, 0.2 M glucose (Sigma-Aldrich Co. St. Louis, MO), 0.2 M creatine (Sigma-Aldrich Co. St. Louis, MO), and a 50% by volume mixture of the two, all in D.I. water. $R_{1\rho}$

dispersion measurements were performed with a standard spectroscopic spin-locking sequence and the samples were subsequently imaged at 7T (Varian Inc. Palo Alto, CA, USA) utilizing a standard spin-locking Fast Spin Echo sequence and varying the spin-lock amplitudes from ~0 - 7,000 Hz with a 64 x 64 data matrix size and a 25mm x 25mm FOV. The images were combined according to the above ERC equation for every intermediate spin-lock amplitude, and the pixel intensities were averaged for each to measure the ERC as a function of spin-lock amplitude. In the mixture of species, a comparison of the rates at different locking fields can in principle be used to deconvolve the separate contributions by $\omega_1^2 [R_{1\rho}(\omega_1) - R_{1\rho}(\omega_1 = \infty)] = \sum_i \frac{A_i}{1 + \alpha_i^2}$ where $A_i = p_i r_{bi} \Delta \omega_{bi}^2$ and

 $\int_{\omega_1^2}^{\frac{3\omega_{ei}^2}{\omega_1^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{r_{bi}^2 + \Delta\omega_{bi}^2}{\omega_1^2}}.$ Fitting $\omega_1^2 [R_{1\rho}(\omega_1) - R_{1\rho}(\omega_1 = \infty)]$ to an expression of the form $\sum_i \frac{A_i}{1 + \alpha_i^2}$ will yield A_i and α_i and comparisons of $R_{1\rho}$ at different locking field strengths will weight the relative contributions very differently. Assuming the chemical shifts are known, exchange rates may be explicitly derived.

Results: Glucose and creatine exhibited





Discussion: The ERC imaging method produced images that emphasized different chemical exchange rates and was also analyzed to explicitly calculate exchange rates of each species in model systems. The technique can theoretically be extended to compute exchange rates of pools in mixtures, as well as pool fractions. This new method shows potential for deriving exchange rate dependent images and quantifying metabolite concentrations in vivo.

Conclusion: A new approach has been developed using R_{1p} imaging sequences to selectively emphasize contrast based on differences in exchange rates, rather than simply chemical shifts, in a regime that is well suited for practical imaging. Assuming the chemical shifts are known, exchange rates of separate pools and their pool sizes in mixture systems may be calculated.

References: [1] Cobb et al, Magn Reson Med 67:1427-1433, 2012. [2] Chopra S. et al, J Magn Reson 59:361-372, 1984. [3] Wu et al, Contrast Media Mol Imaging 7:384-389, 2012. [4] Ren et al, Magn Reson Med 60:1047-1055, 2008.



Figure 3: ERC Images at ω_1 = 572 rad/sec and ω_1 = 4,876 rad/sec, the corresponding frequencies of the peaks in the ERC curves in figure 2 for creatine and glucose.





