Evaluation of the Longitudinal Relaxation Rate of Blood in Neonates.

Jill Britt De Vis¹, Jeroen Hendrikse¹, Nikki Dieleman¹, Floris Groenendaal², Karina J Kersbergen², Linda S de Vries², Manon JNL Benders², and Esben Thade Petersen¹

¹Radiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands, ²Neonatology, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands

Introduction

Critical illness in newborns may affect cerebral blood flow (CBF) through impaired autoregulation. This makes accurate perfusion quantification from utmost importance when evaluating brain perfusion in clinical studies. In an Arterial Spin Labeling (ASL) experiment the longitudinal relaxation rate of blood (T_{1b}) determines how fast the tracer decays and as such should be obtained to accurately quantify CBF. Previously, techniques to measure the T_{1b} in the neonatal population have been established^{1/2}. In this study the T_2 Prepared Blood Relaxation Imaging with Inversion Recovery (T2-TRIR)² sequence was used to measure the T_{1b} in a large cohort of neonates. The purpose of this research was to investigate the influence of the T_{1b} on perfusion quantification and to further investigate the relation between hematocrit and T_{1b} .

Table 1 Ν Postconceptional age Corrected postconceptional age Tlb (in wks, mean [range]) (in s, mean [sd]) (in wks, mean [range]) Preterm 13 27 [26-28] 31 [30-31] 1.827 [0.212] TEA 40 28 [24-33] 41 [40-43] 1,928 [0.173] 3 39 [37-40] 52 [52-53] 1.774 [0.227] 10 39 [36-42] 40 [37-42] 1.760 [0.190] Asphyxia 37 [34-54] 40 [35-54] 1.731 [0.181] Other 11

Table 1: Subject characteristics, for subjects with successful T2-

TRIR scan, are given. Subjects were categorized; infants scanned at preterm age, infants scanned at term-equivalent age (TEA), infants

scanned at 3 months-equivalent age (3m), infants diagnosed with

asphyxia (n=10) and others. Postconceptional age is age when born,

corrected postconceptional age is age when MR imaging was

performed Mean T_{1b-fitted} and standard deviation for each category

Materials and methods

MR imaging (3.0T, Philips) was performed in 112 neonates with a mean gestational age at scan of 39 weeks (range: 30-54 weeks). The study was in line with institutional guidelines. Scan parameters of the T2-TRIR sequence were; TR/TE/ATI/TI1= 1500/20/150/130 ms, matrix 128x128, FOV 160x160, FA 95°, 2mm slice, SENSE=2.5, eTE=0,40,80 and 160ms and scan time 2:15. In addition, in a subset of the neonates pulsed ASL and 2D-PC-MRA were performed. The inversion recovery of venous blood was measured in the superior sagittal sinus on the T2-TRIR image and the T_{1b} ($T_{1b-fitted}$) was fitted using the formula; $M_b(TI) = M_{ob} [1-(1.0 + e^{-eTE/T2b} . IE).e^{-TI/T1b}]^2$. Cerebral blood flow (CBF) was quantified on the ASL images with first T_{1b}=1.6 (T_{1b-1.6}) and second T_{1b-fitted} (n=16). Both quantification models were compared. Volume flow measurements obtained with 2D-PC-MRA (n=11) were plotted against brain volume to provide perfusion estimates. The relation between ASL CBF (ASL-T1b=1.6 and ASL-T_{1b-fitted}) and 2D-PC-MRA CBF was investigated. In 13 neonates hematocrit was measured on a capillary blood sample (Htcc) and in 8 neonates Htc was measured on an arterial blood sample (Htc_a) within 24 hours of the MR examinations. The relation between Htc and the longitudinal relaxation rate constant of blood (R1b=1/T1b-fitted) was investigated.

Results

In 77 neonates (69%) T_{1b} fitting was successful. An overview of the subject characteristics and corresponding mean T_{1b} values is given in Table 1. A significant difference between ASL- $T_{1b-1.6}$ CBF and ASL- $T_{1b-fitted}$ was shown (p=0.007, Wilcoxon signed-rank test). Mean % difference between ASL- $T_{1b-1.6}$ CBF and ASL- $T_{1b-fitted}$ CBF was 13% [range -12% to 37%] (Figure 1). Linear regression showed an R^2 of 0.597 when comparing 2D-PC-MRA CBF to ASL- $T_{1b-1.6}$ CBF (p=0.005), R^2 increased to 0.665 after implementing $T_{1b-fitted}$ (p=0.002) (Figure 1). The relation between R_{1b} and Hc was found to be; $R_{1b} = 0.27.Htc_{e} + 0.423$ (R^2 =0.199, p= 0.000) and $R_{1b} = 0.577.Htc_{a} + 0.334$ (R^2 =0.298, p= 0.083) (Figure 2).

Discussion

A good performance of the T2-TRIR sequence to measure the T_{1b} was shown. Perfusion was shown to be more accurate after implementing the $T_{1b-fitted}$ in the quantification model. The relation between Htc, arterial sampled, and the longitudinal relaxation constant of blood was similar to the one found by Varela et al; R_{1b} =0.5. Htc + 0.37³. However, the spread in our data was much wider and as such the relation was not significant. Noise in our fitting model may attributed to this. In addition, intrinsic noise in blood sampling might influenced this as well. In our data we did show that the relation between the longitudinal relaxation rate constant of blood and hematocrit was dependent on the sampling technique.

Conclusion

The longitudinal relaxation rate of blood should be fitted in neonates when quantifying ASL perfusion images. The relation between hematocrit and the longitudinal relaxation rate constant of blood is dependent on the sampling technique.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Dutch Technology Foundation STW, applied science division of NOW, the Technology Program of the Ministry of Economic Affairs and the ZonMW Electromagnetic Fields and Health program.

References

1. Lu H et al. MRM. 2008; 60: 357-363. 2. Petersen ET et al. ISMRM. Melbourne. 2012. 3. Varela M et al. NMR Biomed. 2011; 24: 80-88.



Figure 1. Left: ASL-T_{1b-1.6} CBF is compared to ASL- T_{1b-fitted} CBF. The zero line (x-axis) represents ASL-T_{1b-1.6} CBF. The difference between both quantification models is given in %CBF and is shown for each subject. In most subjects ASL-T_{1b-1.6} CBF was higher than ASL- T_{1b-fitted} CBF, visualized here by a positive difference. **Right:** The relation between ASL CBF (x-axis) and 2D-PC-MRA CBF (yaxis) is shown. In blue ASL-T_{1b-1.6} CBF and in green ASL- T_{1b-fitted} CBF. The relation improves after fitting the T_{1b}.

Figure 2

are shown.



Figure 2. Left. Relation between Htc_c and R_{1b} is shown (R²=0.199). The trend line is a linear fit between the inverse of $T_{1b-fitted}$ and Htc_c. **Right.** Relation between Htc_a and R_{1b} is shown (R²=0.298). The trend line is a linear fit between the inverse of $T_{1b-fitted}$ and Htc_a.