

Intrinsic Field Homogeneity Correction in Fast Spin Echo based Amide Proton Transfer MRI

Jochen Keupp¹, and Holger Eggers¹

¹Philips Research, Hamburg, Germany

Introduction - Amide proton transfer (APT) is a novel technique for MR-based molecular imaging of endogenous cytosolic proteins or peptides [1] and reflects protein concentrations as well as local pH via the exchange rate. Promising clinical applications of APT-MRI are envisioned in oncology (enhanced protein concentrations in tumors [2]) and in neurology (ischemic acidosis in stroke [1]). Detection of amide protons is based on a magnetization transfer (MT) asymmetry analysis with symmetric RF saturation frequency offsets around the water resonance, which is strongly biased in the presence of local magnetic field inhomogeneity δB_0 and needs precise correction methods. Separate B_0 mapping, as typically used for this purpose, is prone to inaccuracy, since the actual δB_0 distribution and f_0 (reference value to the water resonance) must not change before/during the actual APT acquisition. B_0 mapping integrated within the APT acquisition would be preferable for the precision of B_0 correction, for scan time efficiency and for the clinical workflow. Previously, it was proposed to use multi-gradient echo APT acquisitions with intrinsic Dixon-type [3] B_0 mapping and correction [4]. On the other hand, fast spin-echo (FSE) and driven equilibrium sequences [5] were shown to provide a superior contrast-to-noise ratio for APT [6]. Thus, intrinsic Dixon-type APT should be translated to FSE sequences. We propose to acquire APT-MRI using an FSE-Dixon-based APT technique and to efficiently derive δB_0 by an iterative Dixon reconstruction [7] across different saturation frequency offset images. Feasibility of FSE-Dixon-APT MRI in the human head is demonstrated using a clinical 3T scanner.

Methods - The study was performed on a 3.0T clinical whole-body scanner (Achieva, Philips Healthcare, NL) using a transmit/receive head coil. Acquisition software was modified to shift the timing of the acquisition window (echo-shift ΔTE) and the readout gradient during the scan series, which is different but equivalent to time-shifting of the refocusing pulse as in [3]. The low-power mode of the RF amplifier was used to enable long saturation pulses [4]. A 2D single-shot FSE sequence with driven-equilibrium refocusing control [6] was used: matrix 128^2 , resolution $1.8 \times 1.8 \times 5.0 \text{ mm}^3$, $TR=4090 \text{ ms}$, $TE=4.8 \text{ ms}$, 3 echo-shift variants with water/fat in phase (IP) or out of phase (OP): $\Delta TE = -1.15/0/ +1.15 \text{ ms}$ (OP/IP/OP+ at 3T), pixel bandwidth 1550 Hz, 6 saturation frequency offsets in steps of 0.4 ppm around $\Delta \omega = \pm 3.5 \text{ ppm}$ and one off-resonant (S_0 , $\Delta \omega = -160 \text{ ppm}$), saturation pulse-train $T_{sat}=2 \text{ s}$ ($40 \times 50 \text{ ms}$, Sinc-Gaussian shapes), $B_{1,ms}=2.0 \mu\text{T}$, 1½ minutes total scanning time. From the full dataset (3×7 images), a δB_0 map could be calculated for each saturation frequency offset by iterative Dixon reconstruction [7]. Alternatively, a “mixed” δB_0 map was calculated by selecting only one echo-shift variant per positive saturation frequency offset (3.1 ppm: $\Delta TE = +1.15 \text{ ms}$, 3.5 ppm: 0 ms, 3.9 ppm: -1.15 ms), to demonstrate the possibility of a time efficient acquisition. Maps of the asymmetric MT ratio $MTR_{asym} = (S[-\Delta \omega] - S[+\Delta \omega]) / S_0$ were calculated based on δB_0 corrected, point-by-point interpolated water-only images $S[-\Delta \omega]$ and $S[+\Delta \omega]$. *In vivo* feasibility was tested in a human volunteer, from whom informed consent was obtained.

Results and Discussion - From the full dataset, water and fat separation could be performed successfully for all 7 saturation frequency offsets, and the δB_0 maps obtained were consistent within a standard deviation of 0.015 ppm. An example δB_0 map is shown in Fig.1a, water/fat images in Fig.1d/e. The “mixed” δB_0 map (Fig.1b), obtained from 3 images with different positive saturation offset and varied echo-shift, is very similar. A difference evaluation (Fig.1c) shows an almost spatially constant offset of about 2 Hz, which is negligible for a precise APT field correction. The signal amplitude $S[\omega]$ varies only by a few percent for $\omega = +3.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ ppm}$ in brain tissue and typical tumor cases [2]. Furthermore, the δB_0 reconstruction is robust to variations of the signal amplitude using the “OP/IP/OP+” echo scheme. Hence, the quality of the iterative Dixon-type δB_0 mapping is not compromised by the moderate amplitude variations across positive saturation offsets. Nevertheless, for APT-MRI, negative saturation frequency offsets should be avoided for “mixed” δB_0 estimation, because the saturation pulse may cause strong signal variations by partly cancelling the fat signal at -3.4 ppm. MT asymmetry analysis on the water-only images shows a strong offset without δB_0 correction (Fig.1g), while the corrected MTR_{asym} is low and homogeneous over the volunteer brain (Fig.1f), for any of the obtained δB_0 maps. While 21 images were acquired in this study to analyze the precision, the technique can be implemented with efficient data sampling using only 7 different images (e.g.: +3.1 ppm_{OP}; +3.5_{IP}; +3.9_{OP+}; -3.1; -3.5; -3.9 and S_0). With the δB_0 information available, water/fat separation may still be obtained for all images e.g. by a single-point Dixon approach [8]. For a stable water/fat separation, the negative frequency offsets and S_0 should be acquired in quadrature (90° phase difference between water and fat) in this case.

Conclusion - A precise APT measurement in the human brain is demonstrated on a clinical MRI scanner using FSE-Dixon-APT MRI with intrinsic δB_0 correction. δB_0 could be precisely obtained from 3 images with different positive frequency offsets and varied echo-shift. This technique may enhance precision in a simplified clinical workflow without extra B_0 calibration scans, while maintaining the scan time efficiency of the APT examination.

References

- [1] Zhou J et al., Nat Med 9:1085 (2003); [2] Jones CK et al., MRM 56: 585 (2006); [3] Dixon WT. Radiology 53:189 (1984); [4] Keupp J et al. ISMRM 18: 338 (2010); [5] Becker ED et al., J Am Chem Soc 91:7784 (1969); [6] Keupp J et al., ISMRM 19:710 (2011); [7] Reeder SB et al., MRM 51:35 (2004); [8] Yu H et al., MRM 55:143 (2006)

Figure 1: APT measurement obtained in a healthy volunteer using FSE-Dixon based water/fat separation and intrinsic B_0 homogeneity correction. Equivalent δB_0 maps are obtained from different echo-shifts for a single saturation offset (a) or from “mixed” positive saturation frequency offsets (b) – the difference is uniformly low (c). At each offset, water (d) and fat (e) images are obtained and MTR_{asym} (“APT image”) (f) is interpolated from the water images. Uncorrected MTR images show a strong B_0 homogeneity bias (g).

