

# ***Molecular Imaging & Contrast Agents - Sunrise Course***

Preclinical & Clinical Applications of Metal Based Paramagnetic/Iron Contrast

Jean-Paul Vallee  
(Geneva University Hospital)

## ***Introduction***

Magnetic resonance imaging is a powerful tool for visualizing cellular/macrophage tracking and migration or inflammatory processes. MRI examinations can be performed longitudinally and non-invasively, at isotropic image resolutions approaching 50 microns within reasonable scan times in live animals.

Whereas vascular and interstitial compartments are investigated using Gadolinium-based contrast agents, specific molecular or cellular imaging can be obtained using metal-based contrast agent like Manganese (Mn) or iron oxide particles.

To provide enhanced contrast on an MR image, cells are loaded with an MRI contrast agent prior to or during imaging. Superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) nanoparticles can be used to generate contrast based on magnetic susceptibility differences between the labeled cells and the surrounding tissue. Cellular detection is most often accomplished via T2 or T2\* imaging (negative contrast) after incorporation of iron oxide either within or attached to the cell. Coupling of the particles with fluorophores allows correlation of in vivo and ex vivo MRI with optical imaging and histology. At sufficient iron concentration and high resolution, single cells can be detected, even in vivo. Methods to provide positive and/or quantifiable contrast from iron containing cells are currently important topics of research. On the other hand, the T1 paramagnetic effect of Mn gives directly a positive contrast effect that can be used to assess the intracellular distribution of this ion.

## **Preclinical applications**

### ***Cell tracking using iron oxide particles***

Iron oxide labeling is used to provide contrast between cells or clusters of cells for monitoring the initial success and the progression over time of a graft. Natural contrast is often insufficient and the use of contrast agents allows very small structures to be distinguished and quantified. Nanoparticles cause susceptibility artifacts, which destroy local signal, producing dark regions on image that are larger than the actual region of iron. Problems with this include areas of low signal from other structures and difficulties in quantification. Positive contrast techniques are an important area of preclinical research for the applications described, among others, as quantifiable and unambiguous images are obtained. Techniques include the 'IRON' or 'off-resonance' methods to suppress everything except the susceptibility 'artifact', or excite just the signal from the affected protons; 'White-marker' which acts to recover the lost signal and 'dUTE' imaging showing positive signal from even the very short T2 protons near the particles, while suppressing signal from the surrounding tissues and background.

### ***Islets of Langerhans***

Treatment of type 1 diabetes by islet of Langerhans transplantation has given excellent short-term results, but long-term attrition occurs, with approximately 20% of patients free of insulin 5 years after transplantation (1). For efficient treatment regimes against islet rejection to allow intervention before any clinical symptoms manifest, in-vivo non-invasive

quantification and monitoring of preliminary reports suggest the potential of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging for non-invasive serial monitoring for islet cells (2, 3), including immune rejection (4).

### Stem Cells

Another important application is the visualization of stem cells, to monitor their engraftment at the region of interest. Multiple applications of this method based on in vitro loading of the stem cells have been demonstrated in the brain (5) and heart (6) and other organs (7). However, it may be difficult to evaluate the fate of the iron loaded stem cells as iron released from dead cells and phagocytized by local macrophages may be indistinguishable from iron contained in living stem cells (8).

### Plaque imaging

The composition and stage of atherosclerotic carotid plaques are of paramount importance to evaluate the stroke risk. USPIOs can accumulate in plaques containing a high density of high macrophage. In-vivo signal loss studies of atherosclerosis have been reported using iron oxides particles (9). Positive contrast (10, 11) here has particular advantages as signal loss sequence produce notches in a vessel wall already surrounded by hypointense signal, and therefore suppression of normal wall signal and enhancement of iron signal allows better visualization of the actual size of the region of uptake as well as its location.

### Monocytes and inflammation

Injected iron oxide particles which are then taken up by macrophages and monocytes, can then be tracked to the site of inflammation as shown in studies of myocardial infarction (12), antigen-induced arthritis (13), autoimmune brain disorders (14) and atherosclerosis (15). In most of the studies, SPIO are injected once the experimental injuries have been induced. It is possible to inject SPIO before any injury to load monocytes and to track these cells migrating toward an inflammatory site. The demonstration of this in vivo loading approach has already been performed with monocytes and a model of myocardial infarct in rats (16).

### **Calcium channel activation and manganese**

The paramagnetic manganese ion  $Mn^{2+}$  is an analogue of calcium ( $Ca^{2+}$ ) that can enter cells through the voltage dependant calcium channel. This property has been widely used to image the cerebral activity in rodent since Mn can enter synaptically activated neurons (17). Another successful field of application for Mn enhanced MRI (MEMRI) is related to heart imaging since Mn can enter into cardiomyocytes. Mn accumulates in mitochondria for hours allowing very long imaging window and high image resolution. Myocardial infarct and area at risk have been demonstrated in rats and mice using such an approach (18, 19).

## **Clinical applications**

Interest in Mn chelates and iron oxide particles stems from their FDA approval for clinical use that has been in place for many years. Iron oxide particles have been used to improve the detection and characterization of liver hepatocarcinoma and metastasis (20) as well as hepatic hemangioma (21).

Ultrasmall SPIO (USPIO) can detect malignant involvement of lymph nodes that do not show any uptake of the contrast agent with an improved sensitivity and specificity

compared to non-enhanced images (22).

Limited clinical studies demonstrating the feasibility of in vivo cell tracking in patients have been reported so far (23). In the case of pancreatic islet transplant, iron loaded cells were successfully detected by MRI as dark spots in the liver parenchyma up to 6 months after the transplantation and all diabetic patients could stop treatment with insulin, attesting that the iron load did not alter the function of the pancreatic islet (24).

## References

1. Shapiro AM, Ricordi C, Hering BJ, Auchincloss H, Lindblad R, Robertson RP, et al. International trial of the Edmonton protocol for islet transplantation. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355(13):1318-30.
2. Evgenov NV, Medarova Z, Dai G, Bonner-Weir S, Moore A. In vivo imaging of islet transplantation. *Nat Med.* 2006;12(1):144-8.
3. Ris F, Lepetit-Coiffe M, Meda P, Crowe LA, Toso C, Armanet M, et al. Assessment of human islet labeling with clinical grade iron nanoparticles prior to transplantation for graft monitoring by MRI. *Cell Transplant.* 2010.
4. Evgenov NV, Medarova Z, Pratt J, Pantazopoulos P, Leyting S, Bonner-Weir S, et al. In vivo imaging of immune rejection in transplanted pancreatic islets. *Diabetes.* 2006;55(9):2419-28.
5. Thu MS, Najbauer J, Kendall SE, Harutyunyan I, Sangalang N, Gutova M, et al. Iron labeling and pre-clinical MRI visualization of therapeutic human neural stem cells in a murine glioma model. *PLoS One.* 2009;4(9):e7218. PMCID: 2746284.
6. Kraitchman DL, Heldman AW, Atalar E, Amado LC, Martin BJ, Pittenger MF, et al. In vivo magnetic resonance imaging of mesenchymal stem cells in myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 2003;107(18):2290-3.
7. Tang C, Russell PJ, Martiniello-Wilks R, Rasko JE, Khatri A. Concise review: Nanoparticles and cellular carriers-allies in cancer imaging and cellular gene therapy? *Stem Cells.* 2010;28(9):1686-702.
8. Winter EM, Hogers B, van der Graaf LM, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Poelmann RE, van der Weerd L. Cell tracking using iron oxide fails to distinguish dead from living transplanted cells in the infarcted heart. *Magn Reson Med.* 2010;63(3):817-21.
9. Ruehm SG, Corot C, Vogt P, Kolb S, Debatin JF. Magnetic resonance imaging of atherosclerotic plaque with ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide in hyperlipidemic rabbits. *Circulation.* 2001;103(3):415-22.
10. Crowe LA, Wang YX, Gatehouse PD, Tessier J, Waterton J, Robert P, et al. Ex Vivo MR Imaging of Atherosclerotic Rabbit Aorta Labelled with USPIO – Enhancement of Iron Loaded Regions in UTE Imaging. *Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med.* 2005:115.
11. Briley-Saebo KC, Mani V, Hyafil F, Cornily JC, Fayad ZA. Fractionated Feridex and positive contrast: in vivo MR imaging of atherosclerosis. *Magn Reson Med.* 2008;59(4):721-30.
12. Sosnovik D, Nahrendorf M, Deliolanis N, Novikov M, Aikawa E, Josephson L, et al. Fluorescence tomography and magnetic resonance imaging of myocardial macrophage infiltration in infarcted myocardium in vivo. *Circulation.* 2007;115(11):1384-91.
13. Simon GH, von Vopelius-Feldt J, Wendland MF, Fu Y, Piontek G, Schlegel J, et al. MRI of arthritis: comparison of ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide vs. Gd-DTPA. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2006;23(5):720-7.
14. Weinstein JS, Varallyay CG, Dosa E, Gahramanov S, Hamilton B, Rooney WD, et al. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: diagnostic magnetic resonance imaging and potential therapeutic applications in neurooncology and central nervous system inflammatory pathologies, a review. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2010;30(1):15-35.

15. Qiu B, Gao F, Walczak P, Zhang J, Kar S, Bulte JW, et al. In vivo MR imaging of bone marrow cells trafficking to atherosclerotic plaques. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2007;26(2):339-43.
16. Montet-Abou K, Daire JL, Hyacinthe JN, Jorge-Costa M, Grosdemange K, Mach F, et al. In vivo labelling of resting monocytes in the reticuloendothelial system with fluorescent iron oxide nanoparticles prior to injury reveals that they are mobilized to infarcted myocardium. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31(11):1410-20.
17. Lin YJ, Koretsky AP. Manganese ion enhances T1-weighted MRI during brain activation: an approach to direct imaging of brain function. *Magn Reson Med*. 1997;38(3):378-88.
18. Daire J, Hyacinthe J, Tatar I, Montet-Abou K, Ivancevic M, Masterson K, et al. In vivo myocardial infarct area at risk assessment in the rat using manganese enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MEMRI) at 1.5T. *Magn Reson Med*. 2008;59(6):1422-30.
19. Delattre BM, Braunersreuther V, Hyacinthe JN, Crowe LA, Mach F, Vallee JP. Myocardial infarction quantification with Manganese-Enhanced MRI (MEMRI) in mice using a 3T clinical scanner. *NMR Biomed*. 2010;23(5):503-13.
20. Reimer P, Jahnke N, Fiebich M, Schima W, Deckers F, Marx C, et al. Hepatic lesion detection and characterization: value of nonenhanced MR imaging, superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging, and spiral CT-ROC analysis. *Radiology*. 2000;217(1):152-8.
21. Montet X, Lazeyras F, Howarth N, Mentha G, Rubbia-Brandt L, Becker C, et al. Specificity of SPIO particles for characterization of liver hemangiomas using MRI. *Abdom Imaging*. 2004;29(1):60-70.
22. Wu L, Cao Y, Liao C, Huang J, Gao F. Diagnostic performance of USPIO-enhanced MRI for lymph-node metastases in different body regions: A meta-analysis. *Eur J Radiol*. 2010.
23. Bulte JW. In vivo MRI cell tracking: clinical studies. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;193(2):314-25. PMCID: 2857985.
24. Toso C, Vallee J, Morel P, Ris F, Demuylder-Mischler S, Lepetit-Coiffe M, et al. Clinical magnetic resonance imaging of pancreatic islet grafts after iron nanoparticle labeling. *Am J Transplant*. 2008;8(3):701-6.