## Fast and Accurate Large-Tip-Angle RF Pulse Design for Parallel Excitation Using a Perturbation Analysis of the Bloch Equation

## H. Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, T. Zhao<sup>3</sup>, T. Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>, and F. E. Boada<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MR Research Center, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, <sup>3</sup>Siemens Medical Systems, Malvern, PA, United States

Introduction: Designing RF pulses in parallel excitation (PTX) using the linear small-tip-angle approximation [1-3] produces distorted excitation patterns at large tip angles due to the nonlinear nature of the Bloch equation. Grissom et al [4] proposed the 'Additive Angle' (AA) method for large-tip-angle RF pulse as a means to improve upon the small-tip-angle approximation (STA). This iterative method produces an improved profile by applying a STA to the error in the magnetization profile. In this work, we demonstrate that through a perturbation analysis to the STA, it is possible to develop an exact formula that relates the error in the magnetization profile to the required B<sub>1</sub> field. This formula is then used as the basis for a *fast and accurate* method for large-tip-angle RF pulse design.

Method: The proposed method is analogous in concept to the 'Additive Angle' method [4], however, it is derived from a perturbation analysis to the Bloch equation. Given a desired transverse excitation pattern,  $M_D(x)$ , we design initial RF pulses,  $B_{1}^{P}(t)$ , via the small-tip-angle parallel pulse design method of [3]. If we denote by  $M^{P}(x)$  the transverse magnetization at spatial position (x) created by  $B^{P}_{l}(t)$ , then by inverting the Bloch equation,

$$\Delta M(x_i) = i\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{R} S_r(x_i) \int_{0}^{T} M_z^p(x_i, t) \Delta \vec{b}_r(t) e^{i2\pi \vec{k}(t) \cdot \vec{x}} dt + i\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{R} S_r(x_i) \int_{0}^{T} \Delta M_z^p(x_i, t) \vec{b}_r^p(t) e^{i2\pi \vec{k}(t) \cdot \vec{x}} dt + i\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{R} S_r(x_i) \int_{0}^{T} \Delta M_z^p(x_i, t) \Delta \vec{b}_r(t) e^{i2\pi \vec{k}(t) \cdot \vec{x}} dt$$
(1)

where  $\Delta M = M_D - M_P$  denotes the difference between the desired and excited transverse magnetization,  $B_I = B_I^P + \Delta B_I$  is the updated RF pulse and  $M_z^P$  represents the longitudinal magnetization created by  $B_I^P$ . This formula improves upon the 'Additive Angle' method since it does not perform and STA on  $\Delta M$ . In fact, by making a STA for  $\Delta M$  and ignoring the last two terms, the formula of Ref. [4] is obtained. Using Eq. 1, we can iteratively design pulses by minimizing the cost function,

$$\Delta \vec{B}_{1}\{\Delta b_{1},...,\Delta b_{r}\} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Delta b_{r}} \left\{ \|\sum_{r=1}^{R} S_{r}(M_{z}^{p} + \Delta M_{z}^{p})A\Delta b_{r} - \Delta M^{new} \|_{W}^{2} + \beta \sum_{r=1}^{R} \|b_{r} + \Delta b_{r}\|^{2} \right\}$$
(2) 
$$\Delta M^{new} = \Delta M - \sum_{r=1}^{R} S_{r}\Delta M_{z}^{p}Ab_{r}$$

where  $\Delta M_z^p = M_z^{p,j+1} - M_z^{p,j}$  (*j* is the iteration number), and other parameters are as in Ref.[3]. In

the proposed method, Eq. 2 is used to calculate RF pulse corrections via the CG algorithm, and these updated RF pulses are then used to drive an exact Bloch equation simulator. The results from the Bloch simulator are then used to calculate  $\Delta M$ , which is, in turns, used to calculate further RF pulse updates. This process is repeated until the excitation error reaches a minimum.

Methods: We designed 90° excitation using spiral and echo-planar (EP) trajectories in MATLAB R2009a (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). One of the desired excitation patterns (Fig.1) was a smoothed rectangle with FOV 20cm and resolution 0.3125cm, the other (Fig. 5) was our institution's logo. Transmit Sensitivity maps were obtained on a 7T SIEMENS scanner equipped with an 8-channel PTX extension. Comparisons with all methods were examined with regularization parameters ( $\beta$ ) of 10 and speedup factors (R) of 6 except in Fig 4, where R was varied.

Results and Discussion: Figure 1 shows a comparison of the transverse magnetization patterns excited by different methods. In the spiral case, the pattern excited by STA method is tilted. This tilt is eliminated when the AA or PTA method are used, though the AA method produces larger excitation errors. A more in-depth look at the results is provided by looking at the profiles from the midline of

these excitation patterns (Fig. 2). In the EP case, the excitation pattern of the STA method is not homogeneous. The AA method excites a homogeneous pattern by compromising the accuracy outside. Further improvement is then obtained using the PTA. Notably, the method proposed here improves the results (RMSE=0.0156 vs 0.0289) while at the same time converging faster (Fig. 3). Figure 4 presents a comparison of excitation accuracy for these latter two methods over a range of speedup factors. For both spiral and EP trajectories, the PTA method achieves lower excitation error. Figure 5 shows an experimental



Fig 2. Midline profiles of excitation patterns in Fig 1

Small Tip Angle Additive Angle Perturbation Analysis

(3)



Fig.1 Excitation Patterns using different methods

comparison on the target magnetization profile of our institution's logo when excited by the STA and PTA methods using a spiral trajectory. Note that, in general, simulations results are of better quality for the spiral designs than for the EP designs while the converse is true for all experimental results.

Conclusion: We have introduced a fast iterative method for large-tip-angle RF pulse design in PTX and demonstrated its ability to decrease excitation errors for both spiral and EP trajectories. The proposed method relies on an iterative scheme for the solution of an exact inversion of the Bloch equation. Consequently, it is not limited in its choice of k-space trajectories and it converges very fast.

References: [1] U. Katscher et al., MRM 49:144-150, 2003. [2] Y. Zhu, et al., MRM 51:775-784, 2004. [3] W. Grissom et al., MRM 56:620-629, 2006. [4] W. Grissom et al., MRM 59:779-787, 2008. [5] D. Xu et al., MRM 58:326-334.2007





Figure 5 aperiments using Small Tip Angle (left) and Perturbation and yets (right)