PROSPECTIVE SNR OPTIMIZATION IN *K-T*-BASED SENSITIVITY-ENCODED DYNAMIC IMAGING USING A FAST GEOMETRIC ALGORITHM

B. Sharif¹, J. A. Derbyshire², and Y. Bresler¹

¹Coordinated Science Lab, Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, United States,
²Cardiovascular Branch, NHLBI, National Institutes of Health, DHHS, Bethesda, MD, United States

Introduction MR data acquisition and image reconstruction are typically formulated using Fourier transform (FT) theory, i.e., the classical k-space relationship. However, in dynamic MR imaging (e.g., 2D Cartesian sampling) it takes a few milliseconds from collecting one k-space phase-encode (PE) line to the next, i.e., sampling in the PE direction is time-sequential and not instantaneous [1]. Hence, a more accurate model for MR data acquisition is the so called "k-t-space perspective" (k=spatial frequency, t=time) [1,2]. Most well known k-t-based schemes use k-t sampling patterns that are restricted to a lattice (generalized sheared grid) [1-3]. Based on sampling theory, k-t sampling on a lattice will result in aliasing of the object's spectrum in the reciprocal domain, referred to as dual k-t domain [4-6] or the x-f-space (x=PE direction, f=temporal frequency) [3]. The replication pattern is on the corresponding point-spread function (PSF) which is the FT of the sampling lattice [2,4]. The acceleration in k-t-based techniques results from multifold undersampling that the k-t lattice provides relative to the Nyquist rate. In UNFOLD [3] and PARADIGM [4], the k-t lattice is designed so that there is no overlap of the support region in x-f-space. On the contrary, in UNFOLD-based parallel imaging (e.g., TSENSE), k-t SENSE, and PARADISE [5-6], there is overlap in x-f-space which can potentially be undone using sensitivity encoding (SE) and prior information (signal model). The prior information is in form of statistics for k-t SENSE, cross-shaped x-f support model (Fig 1a) for UNFOLD [3], and multi-banded patient-adaptive x-f support model (Fig 1b) for PARADISE [4-6]. Optimizing the reconstruction SNR in this context has been previously introduced and its significance has been demonstrated in-silico for PARADISE [5]. A similar study has been conducted for k-t SENSE [7]. We focus on nongated cardiac MR techniques that use x-f support models and SE, namely, PARADISE [5-6] or UNFOLD-based parallel imaging. We propose a

Theory Fig 1a depicts a x-f support model B similar to the one used in UNFOLD [3] characterized by a dynamic FOV (DFOV) and a temporal bandwidth that is varying in x. Fig 1b shows a more refined x-f support used in PARADISE [6], referred to as the banded support model [4], which formulates the approximate heart motion periodicity (hence the gap between bands) and also models heart-rate (HR) variability [6]. Given a fixed spatial resolution, a k-t lattice Λ shown in Fig 2a is parameterized by $(TR, \Delta k)$ and can be expressed as sum of delta functions located on a sheared grid [2,5]. The PSF for Λ , denoted by Λ^* is given by its 2D FT (inverse FT in k and forward in t). Fig $\underline{2b}$ depicts two representative replicas of the x-f support (Fig $\underline{1a}$), one centered at the origin and another on a grid point $\Lambda^*(m,n)$ of the PSF lattice Λ^* . As a result of this replication, pixels within B overlap with each other and hence need to be un-mixed. The reconstruction task is to recover all pixels within support B by un-mixing the overlapped pixels in x-f-space. This overlap pattern is depicted in Figs 3a and 3b for two PSF lattices. In parallel k-t-based techniques that only use x-f support information (unlike k-t SENSE), this procedure relies on the SE along x (no encoding along f) provided by multiple receiver channels. If two overlapping pixels have close x-coordinates, as shown in Fig 3a, then they are experiencing almost similar SE. Hence, the underlying SENSE equations in x-f-space would be closely dependent which will result in an ill-conditioned matrix equation for un-mixing of the overlapped pixels. Therefore, compared to Fig 3a, the overlap pattern in Fig 3b is expected to have a better conditioning (lower spatio-temporal g-factor [5,7]) and result in a better SNR. Motivated by this observation, for a pixel (x_0,f_0) in B, we denote by $p(x_0,f_0)$ the minimum x-coordinate distance between the set of pixels that overlap onto (x_0,f_0) . Let $\rho(TR, \Delta k, B)$ denote the minimum of p(x, f) among all pixels within B resulting from sampling on Λ parameterized by $(TR, \Delta k)$ (Fig 2a). We postulate that by designing Λ so that $\rho(TR, \Delta k, B)$ is maximized, the resulting overlap patterns will correspond to well-conditioned (low g-factor) SENSE matrix equations for x-fspace reconstruction. Eq. (1) provides a functional form for the optimization problem. Fig 4 summarizes the computational steps for evaluating the cost function for a set of feasible $(TR, \Delta k)$ choices.

↑f [Hz] DFOV | f [Hz] | •3f₀ Fig. 1a Fig. <u>1b</u> -+2f₀ •f₀ (avg. heart-rate) **→** X [cm] Gap due to approx Higher bandwidth in DFOV motion periodicity UNFOLD x-f Support (notation: B) PARADISE Banded x-f Support Fig. 2a B shifted Fig. <u>2b</u> by $\Lambda^*(m,n)$ Each dot TR : represents : k phase encode k-t Lattice A; Only one PE is acquired Replication of x-f support B; during each TR (A is time-sequential) A* is the PSF Lattice Fig. 3a Fig. 3b $(x_0, f_0) - \Lambda_1^*(m, n)$ $(f_0) - \Lambda_2^*(m, n)$ Small r-distance Large x-distance: Distance in x well-conditioned SENSE Eq. Distance in x ill-conditioned SENSE Eq. Bad SNR case: Two overlappins Good SNR case: Two overlapping pixels in support B with PSF Λ_1^* pixels in support B with PSF Λ

Methods MR imaging with informed consent was performed under the NHLBI IRB using a 1.5T Siemens Avanto scanner with a 32-element cardiac array. Initially, a gated segmented SSFP cine scan was acquired (|FOV|=420mm square, matrix=256x224, 30

phases, TR=3.5ms, temporal resolution=28ms, GRAPPA rate 4). A customized SSFP pulse sequence was developed to allow for operator-defined TR and ordering of phase-encodes -- hence capable of acquiring a general k-t lattice (Fig 2a). MR data for the non-gated PARADISE scheme [5] with a 320x256 image matrix (1.3x1.6mm resolution) was collected during a single breathhold (scan time=16.4s). The x-f support model was chosen to be the banded model [5] as in Fig 1b with 11 bands (DC centered) and the following parameters: (1) DFOV location was estimated from localizer scans (|DFOV|=0.32*|FOV|) (2) Average HR during the gated scan was used as an estimate for f_0 (=1.1Hz) (3) The thickness of the bands (Fig 1b) was set to $0.33*f_0$ to account for HR mis-estimation and variability during the scan. The proposed k-t lattice design algorithm (Fig 1) was run to find the SNR-optimal lattice by maximizing ρ (result: ρ =0.09*|FOV|). For comparison, a suboptimal lattice was computed so that its ρ would satisfy $\rho \approx \rho^*/3$ (result: ρ =0.09*|FOV|). The search space for $(TR,\Delta k)$ was limited according to the acquisition and SSFP pulse sequence specifications (resolution, min TR, etc). Computation time was less than 30s and each k-t lattice was immediately preceded by a conventional coil-profile calibration acquisition (128 Nyquist-spaced phase-encodes) to provide the final k-t sampling schedule.

Discussion & Conclusion Fig $\underline{5}$ shows the end-diastolic (ED) frame for the gated cine. Reconstructed ED frames for PARADISE acquired (non-gated) according to the SNR-optimized and suboptimal k-t lattices are shown in Figs $\underline{6a}$ and $\underline{6b}$, respectively. The optimized lattice acquisition results in a much better reconstruction SNR (Fig $\underline{6b}$) because its underlying SENSE equations (in x-t space [5]) are better conditioned (have lower g-factor). In conclusion, the SNR

difference seen in Fig 6 demonstrates the effectiveness and significance of the proposed *k-t* lattice design technique. In contrast to previous *k-t* acquisition design techniques [5-7], all computations in the proposed algorithm are geometric-based and independent of coil sensitivities. This feature enables fast computation of the SNR-optimal *k-t* sampling schedule for *k-t*-based parallel imaging prior to running the MR scan.

 $\rho(\text{TR}, \Delta k, B)$ Eq. (1) Fig. 4 Flowchart for computing the cost function in Eq. (1) Fig. 5 Gated Cine ED ne; 256x224 matrix: Given a feasible $(TR, \Delta k)$ Build the corresponding k-t Lattice A Compute the PSF Lattice A Compute $p(x_0, f_0)$ for all pixels in B Compute $\rho(TR, \Delta k, B)$ by finding the minimum of p(x,f) in B PARADISE Reconstruction; Non-gated; 320x256 matrix;

6 (a) Suboptimal; (b) Optimized

References [1] Liang, Lauterbur, IEEE Med. Imaging 13:677-86, 1994 [2] Willis, Bresler, IEEE Info. Theory 43:190-207, 1997 [3] Tsao, MRM 47:202-07, 2002 [4] Aggarwal et al, Inverse Problems (24) 2008 [5] Sharif, Bresler, Proc IEEE ISBI'07, 1020-23 [6] Sharif et al, ISMRM'07(15), p151 [7] Malik et al, ISMRM'08(16), p11 Acknowledgements: Dr. P. Kellman (coil profile estimation), Drs. R. J. Lederman, A. Faranesh, A. George (experiment support). Financial support: Beckman Institute, UIUC.

 $(TR^*, \Delta k^*) =$

arg max

 $(TR, \Delta k)$ feasible