# Strategies for reliable quantification of intracerebral GABA by 1H-MRS

# W. Bogner<sup>1</sup>, S. Gruber<sup>1</sup>, A. Stadlbauer<sup>2</sup>, M. Doelken<sup>3</sup>, S. Trattnig<sup>1</sup>, A. Doerfler<sup>4</sup>, H. Stefan<sup>4</sup>, and T. Hammen<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, MR Center of Excellence, MUW, Vienna, Austria, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Uniklinik Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, Uniklinik Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany,

### **Introduction:**

Gamma-aminobutyric-acid(GABA) is an important inhibitatory neurotransmitter in human brain with anticonvulsive character. Because of an increased interest in GABA metabolism we tested the reliability of special editing sequences which allow non-invasive intracerebral measurements of this neurotransmitter in healthy adults.

# **Methods and Materials:**

We determined the reproducibility of GABA quantification obtained with a J-coupling based editing sequence on a 3T scanner (Siemens Tim Trio, Erlangen, Germany) with a Tx/Rx-1H-Head-coil by placing single-voxel spectroscopy(SVS) ROIs in left/right occipital lobe of subjects localized on T2-weighted images avoiding the ventricles. A Point Resolved Spectroscopy (PRESS) sequence incorporating a MEGA suppression scheme[1] (TR/TE 1500/68 ms, TA~6min, 1200 Hz acquisition bandwidth, 128 averages, vector size 1024) was optimized for the detection of the <sup>4</sup>CH<sub>2</sub> resonance (triplet) of GABA. Every second acquisition a frequency selective, refocusing Gauss pulse with minimal bandwidth (40Hz) is irradiated to the <sup>3</sup>CH<sub>2</sub> resonance at 1.9 ppm, affecting the weakly J-coupled triplet peak at 3.02 ppm. In between the same pulse is applied symmetrically to the other side of the water was measured using 16 averages as quantitation reference.

Intra- and inter-subject reproducibility was evaluated. 9 volunteers were measured in total, 2 proband repeatedly on 4 days (5male, 6female). Side differences between left/right hemisphere were investigated. GABA/Cr and GABA/H2O-ratios were compared. 4 voxels were measured per session. Furthermore a detailed comparison of 2 different post-processing routines for the evaluation was accomplished. Integration of



Fig. 1: (1) unsuppressed spectrum; (2) spectrum with MEGA-suppression at 1.9 ppm; (3) edited spectrum as subtraction of spectrum (2)-(1); (a) Glx+Gln, (b) GABA, (c) NAA

signals(GABA/Cr/H2O) was done using MestreC compared to time-domain line-fitting-routine(AMARES-jMRUI).

Mean value, standard deviation(SD) and coefficient of variation(CV) were calculated among groups. Segmentation was performed using T2 images to determine liquor contamination in each voxel using MINC-tools (McConnell Brain Imaging Center, Montreal, Canada). Lowered GABA+/H2O ratios compared to GABA+/Cr were correlated with liquor contamination using Pearson Correlation - SPSS 15.0 (Chicago, Illinois, USA).





#### **Results:**

Using data from all subjects lower CVs can be observed for line-fitting compared to integration. GABA/Cr ratio performs better than GABA/H2O or GABA alone (GABA/Cr: 13.6% and 21.55%; GABA/H2O: 14.8% and 21.68%; GABA: 20.62% and 26.42%). Single subject 4-day measurements showed slightly better intra- than intersubject reproducibility (GABA/Cr 12.23%)(Fig.2,3). No left/right-difference could be found (GABA/Cr: 0.372±0.058 and 0.366±0.042) as well as no gender difference (male/female: 0.366±0.05/0.393±0.046). Small intra subject fluctuations of the GABA level could possible add to uncertainties of quantification(Fig.3). Outliers of GABA+/H2O correlated with high CSF contamination (Pearson: r=-0.47; p<0.01).



#### **Discussion and Conclusion:**

With a CV of ~13% for GABA/Cr, the technique turns out to be a precise and promising tool which easily detects changes of up to +300% found during antiepileptic drug intake.[2] Due to minor liquor contamination GABA/Cr-ratios are slightly more reliable than GABA/H2O and GABA alone. Automated line-fitting performed better than integration.

#### **References:**

[1] Mescher M, et al NMR in Biomed 1998; 11(6):266-272

[2] Mueller SG, et al Epilepsia 2001; 42(1):29-40