A theory of diffusion time dependence of ADC in hyperpolarized 3He lung MRI. Millisecond range

A. L. Sukstanskii¹, and D. A. Yablonskiy¹

¹Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, United States

Introduction: Lung diffusion MRI with hyperpolarized ³He gas provides information on lung structure and function. Substantial increases in ADC have been reported in emphysema suggesting that ³He gas ADC could serve as a biomarker for disease progression. However, even in healthy human lungs ADC exhibits rather broad variability, with different studies reporting results between 0.15 cm²/s and 0.25 cm²/s. Here we provide a theoretical analysis demonstrating a significant diffusion time dependence of ADC, even for diffusion times on the order of several milliseconds, which can explain the observed ADC variability.

Theory: The *in vivo* lung morphometry technique (1) allows evaluation of lung microstructure based on MRI measurements of the diffusion of hyperpolarized ³He gas. According to the lung geometric model (2) adopted in (1), an acinar airway is considered as a cylinder covered by a sleeve of alveoli (see Fig. 1). In humans, depending on the branching level of the acinar airway tree, the internal acinar airway radius r varies in the interval



from 135 μ m to 250 μ m, whereas the outer radius *R* (including the sleeve of alveoli) remains practically constant at 350 μ m (2). ³He atoms can freely diffuse within the internal cylinder of radius *r*; however, the alveolar walls (internal and external) are treated as impermeable to the gas atoms. Hence, diffusion of ³He gas in each airway is anisotropic and described by distinct longitudinal and transverse diffusion coefficients, D_L and D_T . An analytical expression for the diffusion MR signal as a function of *b*-value was derived taking into account that a multitude of airways are present in each imaging voxel, with a uniform distribution of orientations. This model allows estimation of D_L and D_T from multi *b*-value MR experiments (1). In most experimental studies of gas diffusion in the lungs, only the mean

isotropic ADC is determined from measurements with small *b*-values. In this limit, ADC has a simple relationship to D_L and $D_T(1)$:

$$ADC = (D_L + 2D_T)/3$$

In the millisecond range of diffusion times, the parameters D_L and D_T are related to the geometrical parameters of acinar airways R, r, L by the following empirical expressions (3):

$$D_{L} = D_{0} \cdot \left\{ 1 - \left(R / L \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left[1 - \exp\left(-2.5 \cdot \left(1 - r / R \right)^{1.8} \right) \right] \right\}, \quad D_{T} = 0.44 D_{0} \cdot \left(R / L_{diff} \right)^{4 \cdot \left[1 - \left(R / L_{diff} \right)^{0.7} \right]}$$
[2]

Here D_0 is the quasi-free diffusion coefficient of ³He gas in lung airspaces; $L_{diff} = (4D_0\Delta)^{1/2}$ is the characteristic free-diffusion length for twodimensional diffusion. Equations [2] are valid for $R/L_{diff} < 0.5$ and r/R > 0.4. Eqs. [2] predict that the longitudinal diffusivity D_L does not depend on the diffusion time Δ , whereas the transverse diffusivity D_T decreases as Δ increases. In the limiting case $R \ll L_{diff}$, $D_T \rightarrow 0$ and ADC is completely determined by the longitudinal diffusivity D_L : $ADC \approx D_L/3$ and is independent of Δ . This result is valid only when $(2D_L\Delta)^{1/2}$ is smaller than the mean length of alveolar ducts (~ 1 mm); hence, assuming a typical $D_L \sim 0.4$ cm²/s, the diffusion time should be less than 12 ms. For longer diffusion times, a theory accounting for branching of acinar airways is required (4). Although D_T is smaller than D_L , for $R \sim 300-400 \ \mu m$ (radii characteristic to human lungs) and Δ about several milliseconds, its contribution to variations in ADC is substantial.

Results and Discussion: Figure 2 illustrates the dependence of ADC on Δ for different external radii *R* and ratios *r/R* (colored lines) obtained from Eqs. [1,2] with $D_0 = 0.88 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$. Note the significant dependence of ADC on *r/R*, whereas its dependence on *R* is comparably weak. Black dots in Fig. 2 correspond to ADCs experimentally found in healthy human lungs, found for different Δ in (5). Also we included single- Δ results from (1, 6-10). All of these ADCs fall within an interval of *R* around 350 µm (in good agreement with histological data (2)) and correspond to *r/R* ≈ 0.5. The dependence on diffusion time Δ of this data is nicely explained by Eqs.[1]-[2]. The experimental results presented in Fig. 2 correspond to healthy human lungs. In lungs with emphysema, the ADC is higher. The increase of ADC at initial stages of emphysema (ADCs ~ 0.3-0.4 cm²/s for $\Delta \sim 1-2$



ms) could be attributed to increasing the ratio r/R (internal alveolar walls are being destroyed). In lungs with severe emphysema, ADCs ~ 0.6-0.7 cm²/s were observed, e.g., (1, 4), which is significantly higher than predicted by Eqs. [2], even for r/R = 1. At this stage of disease, the external walls of airways are being destroyed and the "cylindrical" model of airways becomes invalid.

In small rodents, the radius $R \sim 100\text{-}200 \,\mu\text{m}$, transverse diffusion is very restricted and D_T is very small. In this situation, $ADC \approx D_L/3$. This result is in a good agreement with experimental data obtained in rat lungs (11): $D_L = 0.3 \,\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, $D_T = 0.01 \,\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, $ADC = 0.11 \,\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$. This value of D_L corresponds to r/R = 0.37. To observe time dependence of ADC in the lungs of small animals, diffusion times less than 0.5 ms are needed.

 $1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6$ **Conclusion:** Experimentally detected variability of ³He ADC in healthy human lungs can be explained by the substantial dependence of ADC on diffusion time, even over the narrow millisecond range.

1. Yablonskiy DA, *et al*, PNAS 2002; 99: 3111-3116. 2. Haefeli-Bleuer, B, Weibel, ER, *Anat Rec* 1988; 220: 401-414. 3. Sukstanskii AL, Yablonskiy, DA, J Magn Res 2007, in press. 4. Conradi MS, *et al*, Acad Radiol. 2007, in press. 5. Salerno M, *et al*, ISMRM 2001; Glasgow. p 950. 6. Saam BT, *et al*, Magn Reson Med 2000; 44(2):174-179. 7. Morbach AE, *et al*, J Magn Reson Imaging 2005; 21(6):765-774. 8. Swift AJ, *et al*, Eur J Radiol 2005; 54(3):352-358. 9. Altes TA, *et al*, J Magn Reson Imaging 2006;24(6):1277-1283. 10. Trampel R, *et al*, Magn Reson Med 2006;56(4):733-737. 11. Jacob RE, *et al*, J Magn Res 2007; 188:357-366.