Banding Reduction in SSFP imaging through Accurate, Image-based Estimation of the SSFP Sensitivities

T. Çukur¹, M. Lustig¹, and D. G. Nishimura¹

¹Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States

Introduction: Balanced steady-state free precession (SSFP) imaging offers high SNR efficiency, but suffers from banding artifacts due to field inhomogeneities. A common solution is to combine multiple phase-cycled SSFP data [1-4]. SSFP induces a complex image weighting, which depends on T1, T2, off-resonance and phase cycling, on a reference image with center-ofthe-pass-band SSFP contrast. If these SSFP sensitivities (weightings) can be estimated accurately, then multiple SSFP data can be linearly combined to yield banding-free images with optimal SNR. Estimating the sensitivities from low-resolution SSFP

data was recently proposed [5]. However, that method relies on the sub-optimal banding-reduction performance of an initial maximum-intensity (MI) image and performs poorly in regions of fast susceptibility change.

In this work, accurate estimates of the SSFP sensitivities are obtained from full-resolution images using the magnitude-weighted complex-sum (MW-CS) method [6] for initialization. SSFP data can then be combined to yield robust banding artifact suppression and near-optimal SNR.

Methods: Because the MW-CS method yields better banding artifact reduction than the MI combination [5], the sensitivity estimates are more accurate. Given N measurements m_i, and a reference image -assumed to represent the center-of-thepass-band SSFP contrast- $\mathbf{M}_{ref} = |\sum |\mathbf{m}_i|^p \mathbf{m}_i|^{1/(1+p)}$ (MW-CS image), the sensitivity for each acquisition (S_i) is obtained by solving: **argmin**_S $||\mathbf{M}_{ref} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i - \mathbf{m}_i||^2 + \lambda \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{S}_i)$. Because the inverse problem is ill-conditioned, a finite-difference regularization term $-\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{S}_i)$ - is introduced to exploit the smoothness of the estimates and denoise them. It should be noted that the estimates will have some image structure due to the T1 and T2 dependence. The estimates determine the weights of the optimal linear combination $\mathbf{M} = \sum \mathbf{m}_i \mathbf{w}_i$, where the weights are: $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{S}_i^* / \sum |\mathbf{S}_i|^2$.

Results: SSFP images simulating off-resonance were generated. The simulation parameters were: $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, TR/TE = 10/5 ms, T1/T2 = 270/85 (fat), 870/47 (muscle), 1000/200 (blood) ms. Bivariate Gaussian noise was added to the data. The results for the sum-of-squares combination and the proposed method are shown in Fig.1. The initial MW-CS reference image reduces banding artifacts, but has less SNR than the sum-of-squares (SOS) combination. The optimal linear combination preserves the banding reduction performance of the initial reference image and the high SNR of the SOS method.

Phase-cycled SSFP images (N=4) of three MnCl₂-doped water phantoms were acquired. $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, 16 cm FOV, 0.5×0.5×2 mm³ resolution, TR/TE = 20/10 ms, and 30 kHz bandwidth were prescribed. The sensitivity estimate for a single acquisition is displayed in Fig.2 along with the images from the proposed method and the SOS combination. The proposed method effectively suppresses banding artifacts while achieving high SNR efficiency.

3DFT brain images were acquired at 1.5 T with the following parameters: α = 30° , TR/TE = 15/7.2 ms, 0.67×1.3×4 mm³ resolution, 384×192×16 encoding, 31.25 kHz bandwidth, N = 2 and a scan time of 1:32. Figure 3 shows the results of the SOS combination and the proposed reconstruction. The proposed method achieves more robust banding artifact reduction than the SOS reconstruction.

Conclusion: Accurate estimates of the SSFP sensitivities can be obtained from phase-cycled acquisitions. The degree of banding reduction in the initial reference

image is crucial at this stage and the MW-CS method performs well in this respect. SSFP images with near-optimal SNR and reduced banding artifacts are reconstructed.

References:

- 1. Haacke E, Rad 175:545, 1990.
- 2. Casselman J, AJNR 14:47, 1993.
- 3. Vasanawala S, MRM 43:82, 2000.
- 4. Bangerter N, MRM 51:1038, 2004.
- 5. Lustig M, Proc 13th ISMRM, p. 504, 2005. 6. Cukur T, Proc 15th ISMRM, p. 1648, 2007.



Figure 3. An axial slice of a single SSFP acquisition (a) and the corresponding sensitivity (b). Two acquisitions were combined with SOS (c) and our method (d). The dark bands in c are not noticeable in d.



Figure 1. a: Field homogeneity simulated SSFP phantom (tissues from top to bottom: fat, muscle and blood). b: The initial reference MW-CS (p = 50) image. Images reconstructed with c: the SOS combination, and d: the proposed method.



Figure 2. An SSFP acquisition (a) and the corresponding sensitivity estimate (b) are shown. T1/T2 = 1300/900 (upper-right), 800/375 (upperleft), 250/50 (lower) ms. Four acquisitions were combined with SOS (c) and our method (d).