## Assessment of Different Quantification Approaches of DCE-MRI in Prostate Cancer at 3T

# G. Jia<sup>1</sup>, X. Yang<sup>1</sup>, Y. Takayama<sup>1</sup>, S. Sammet<sup>1</sup>, Z. K. Shah<sup>1</sup>, K. K. Shah<sup>2</sup>, P. Dangle<sup>2</sup>, W. P. Wang<sup>3</sup>, R. E. Jimenez<sup>3</sup>, V. R. Patel<sup>2</sup>, and M. V. Knopp<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States, <sup>2</sup>Center for Robotic and Computer Assisted Surgery, Division of Urology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

#### Introduction

Prostate cancer detection in the transition zone is challenged by its high vascularity and the frequent occurrence of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) [1]. Improving and advancing the non-invasive capabilities of cancer delineation might be achieved by dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI at high field. This study compared different quantitative approaches, in order to improve the differentiation of prostatic tissues imaged at 3T without using an endorectal coil. **Material and methods** 

<u>Patients</u> 27 patients  $(57 \pm 5 \text{ years})$  with clinically proven prostate cancer were enrolled in this study.

<u>*MRI*</u> All patients were imaged in a 3 Tesla MR system (Achieva, Philips) using an 8 phased-array coil. DCE-MRI was performed using a 3D T1-weighted fast field echo (3D-FFE) imaging sequence. The T1W-3D-FFE sequence (TR/TE = 7.6/3.9 ms; FOV =  $220 \times 220$  mm<sup>2</sup>; matrix =  $192 \times 192$ ; 20 slices; 3-mm slice thickness; 14.1 sec per volume) was applied to prostate cancer subjects. The extracellular Gd-based contrast agent (0.1 mmol/kg bodyweight, 0.5cc/sec) was intravenously injected by a power injector (Spectris®, MedRad) followed by a saline flush.

<u>Histology</u> Regions of prostate cancer in 4 µm stained slices of the prostate and seminal vesicles (removed with robotic prostatectomy) were outlined by a pathologist. <u>Image Analysis</u> Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn on specific region, such as histology identified cancer regions (including tumors in peripheral zone and transition zone), non-cancerous peripheral zone (PZ), central gland (CG) including BPH, muscle and neurovascular bundle (NVB). The arterial input function (AIF) was

defined as the time-signal intensity curve from the ROI drawn on the femoral artery. <u>1. Semi-quantitative parameters</u> Five parameters were calculated: the maximum enhancement ratio (MER, [a.u.]), time to maximum signal enhancement (tmax, [min]), washout-score (the relative difference between the maximum signal enhancement and the signal intensity at the end of the dynamic scan), and the area under the curve

washout-score (the relative difference between the maximum signal enhancement and the signal intensity at the end of the dynamic scan), and the area under the curve during 60 seconds (AUC60, [min]), 90 seconds (AUC90, [min]) and 180 seconds (AUC180, [min]). 2. Adjusted Brix's model The adjusted model assumes that the exchange rates between blood plasma compartment and extravascular extracellular space ( $k_{pe}$ ,  $k_{ep}$ ) are

 $\frac{2. Adjusted Brix's model}{2}$  The adjusted model assumes that the exchange rates between blood plasma compartment and extravascular extravelular space ( $k_{pe}$ ,  $k_{ep}$ ) are much larger than the elimination factor in blood compartment, from which a bi-exponential decay function was used to fit the AIF [2]. From the adjusted Brix's model, Amp and contrast agent exchange rate ( $k_{pe}$  and  $k_{ep}$ ) were obtained by fitting the tracer kinetics equation to the time-signal intensity curve.

<u>3. Larsson's model</u> The AIF was directly applied to the convolution integral equation and two parameters  $K^{trans}$ , and  $k_{ep}$  were calculated [3].

Statistical Analysis The Bonferroni test was used in SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc.) to compare the parameters in the histology identified tumor region and other regions. Statistical significance was considered at p <0.05.

### Results

All marked tumor regions identified in histology were delineated in the DCE-MRI images (Figure 1a and 1b). The time-signal intensity curves from the ROIs enabled the calculation of the pharmacokinetic parameters to characterize perfusion in different tissues (Figure 1c and 1d).

Among the semi-quantitative parameters,  $t_{max}$  in the tumor region was significantly shorter than those in non-cancerous PZ (p =0.03), CG (p =0.03), muscle (p <0.001), and NVB regions (p <0.001) (Figure 2a). Washout-score in the tumor region was significantly larger than those in the other 4 different regions (p's <0.001) (Figure 2b). No significant difference existed between the tumor and central gland for parameter MER, AUC60, AUC90, and AUC180 as shown in Table 1. In adjusted Brix's model,  $k_{ep}^{\text{Brix}}$  in the tumor region was significantly greater than those in non-cancerous PZ (p <0.01), CG (p <0.01), muscle (p <0.01), and NVB regions (p <0.001) (Figure 2c). No significant difference was found between the tumor and CG or NVB for Amp and  $k_{pc}$ . In Larsson's model,  $k_{ep}^{\text{Larsson}}$  in the tumor region was significantly greater than those in the other 4 different regions (p's <0.001) (Figure 2d). No significant difference existed between the tumor region and CG for K<sup>trans</sup>.

### **Discussion and Conclusion**

All parameters could differentiate tumor from the non-cancerous peripheral zone. Tumor perfusion showed faster wash-in (shorter  $t_{max}$ ), higher enhancement (larger MER, Amp and K<sup>trans</sup>), and faster washout (larger washout-score and  $k_{ep}$ ) than non-cancerous PZ perfusion. However, only  $t_{max}$ , washout-score,  $k_{ep}^{Brix}$ , and  $k_{ep}^{Larsson}$  could differentiate tumor from central gland. High washout-score and fast exchange rate  $k_{ep}$  in the tumor region supports the high permeability of the vasculature and small extracellular space [4].

In conclusion, DCE-MRI at 3T is capable of non-invasively detecting prostate cancer especially from the central gland by selecting appropriate parameters. The selected pharmacokinetic parameters provide a roadmap for prostate cancer detection and diagnosis without using an endorectal coil. **References** 

**1.** Engelbrecht MR, et al, Radiology 2003;229:248, **2.** Yang X, et al, Proc. ISMRM 2007;15:143, **3.** Larsson HB, et al, JMRI 1994;4:433; **4.** Alonzi R, et al, EJR 2007;63:335.



**Figure 1.** DCE-MRI of a prostate cancer patient. Color-coded parameter map (a) and pathology slice (b) show a tumor in posterior bilateral region with combined Gleason score of 3+4=7. The time-signal intensity curves from tumor, PZ, CG, muscle, and NVB are plotted in (c) and (d).



**Figure 2.** Boxplot of tmax (a), washout-score (b), and  $k_{ep}^{Brix}$  (c) and  $k_{ep}^{Larson}$  (d) in different regions. Region 1: tumor; Region 2: normal peripheral zone; Region 3: central gland; Region 4: muscle; Region 5: neurovascular bundle.

Table 1. Multiple Comparison of different DCE-MRI parameters in prostate cancer using Bonferroni Test

$\Gamma$											
Mean	Semi-quantitative parameters						Adjusted Brix's Model			Larsson's Model	
Difference	MER	t <sub>max</sub>	Washout	AUC60	AUC90	AUC180	Amp	k <sub>pe</sub>	k <sub>ep</sub> <sup>Brix</sup>	K <sup>trans</sup>	k <sub>ep</sub> Larsson
Tumor vs PZ	0.72*	-1.58*	16.69*	0.70*	1.08*	1.96*	0.84*	13.85*	0.72*	1.01*	0.59*
Tumor vs CG	-0.21	-1.54*	16.53*	0.18	0.15	-0.29	-0.11	9.45	0.69*	0.62	0.61*
Tumor vs Musc.	2.06*	-2.65*	21.82*	1.55*	2.54*	5.36*	2.25*	13.74*	0.80*	1.79*	0.81*
Tumor vs NVB	0.59*	-3.81*	20.75*	1.09*	1.64*	2.97*	0.58	10.64	1.19*	1.29*	0.98*
* The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level PZ: non-cancerous peripheral zone: CG: central gland: Musc: muscle: NVB: neurovascular hundle											