Quantified Delayed Enhancement in Myocardial Infarction using Free Breathing Saturation Recovery SSFP

C. Stehning¹, V. Parish², S. Sinclair², E. Nagel², H. Dahnke¹, and T. Schaeffter²

¹Philips Research, Hamburg, Germany, ²Division of Imaging Sciences, King's College, London, United Kingdom

Introduction - T1-weighted imaging during the delayed enhancement phase 10-20 minutes after administration of contrast agent (CA) is clinically established to measure the spatial extent of scar tissue in myocardial infarction (1,2). However, these methods do not provide a quantitative measure of the contrast agent distribution, and require careful selection of sequence parameters to obtain diagnostic results. Quantitative T1 mapping methods such as Look-Locker type sequences (2-4) can overcome this limitation, but require breath-holding (2-5), and provide no means to cope with cardiac motion or only insufficient spatial resolution. We present a high resolution T1 mapping method allowing the quantification of contrast agent concentration during free breathing.

Methods - All experiments were performed on a 1.5T clinical MR scanner (Achieva, Philips Medical Systems) equipped with a cardiac coil array. An ECG-triggered, navigator-gated saturation recovery sequence as sketched in Fig. 1 was employed. To provide a reliable navigator signal, a spatially selective saturation pulse (S) sparing the right hemi-diaphragm (navigator location) was applied. A 3-component composite pulse (90° spatially selective pencil beam, 180° refocusing pulse, and 90° nonselective secant saturation pulse) was employed for this purpose (cf. Fig. 1). A 2D SSFP sequence (α =60°, TR/TE=4.2/2.1 ms, 32 readouts per cardiac cycle, resolution 0.89×1.25×8mm) was used for data readout in late diastole, where a varying delay TS between presaturation (S) and image acquisition provided n=10 images with different T1 weightings. A 3-parameter exponential model was fitted to the data to obtain T1. The absolute amount of contrast agent in tissue was quantified according to Eq. 1, where r₁ denotes the relaxivity of the CA (Magnevist, Bayer Schering, $r_1=4.95$ mmol⁻¹s⁻¹). It was assumed that the r_1 is equal in myocardial tissue and blood (6). Spatial maps of the CA distribution were acquired *in vivo* in 5 patients with chronic myocardial infarction approximately 15 minutes post contrast injection of 0.2mmol/kg. The scan time was 2-3 minutes during free breathing.



good image quality. The T1-weighted images acquired with different saturation delays reveal enhanced areas with myocardial scar tissue (solid arrows, Fig 2 [a-d]). T1 shortening due to the accumulation of CA is quantified in the color-coded T1 map (Fig 2 [e]). The focal concentration of CA in myocardium is shown as a color-coded concentration map overlaid with the anatomy in Fig. 2[f]. A good visual agreement between areas showing enhancement on the T1 weighted image, and areas with accumulation of CA on the concentration map was observed. A higher CA concentration was measured in the infarct core compared to the rim.

Conclusion - Using the present, cardiac and respiratory motion corrected T1 mapping sequence, the spatial distribution of CA can be measured during free breathing, while motion artifacts are suppressed. The sequence provides a submillimeter resolution, which allows differentiating different areas within an infarction. The proposed technique seems to be sufficiently robust and accurate to be used for clinical validation.



Fig 1. ECG-triggered, navigator (N) gated saturation recovery sequence used for the T1 measurement. A spatially selective saturation pulse (S) is performed with different delays TS from the image acquisition.

$$e = \frac{T I_{\text{nonviable}}^{-1} - T I_{\text{viable}}^{-1}}{r}$$
 [Eq. 1]



Fig 2. Saturation recovery data acquired with different delays TS [a-d], T1 maps in milliseconds [e], and spatial distribution of contrast agent in μ Mols. The solid arrows mark the infarct areas.

References

(1) Kim et al, Circulation 1996; 15;94:3318
(2) Flacke et al, Radiology 2001; 218:703
(3) Look et al, Rev Sci Instr 1970; 41: 250
(4) Messroghli et al, MRM 2004; 52:141
(5) Higgins et al, Med Phys 2005; 32: 1738
(6) Donahue et al, JMRI 1997; 7:102