DESIGNING FAST 3-D RF EXCITATIONS BY OPTIMIZING THE NUMBER, PLACEMENT AND WEIGHTING OF SPOKES IN K-SPACE VIA A SPARSITY-ENFORCEMENT ALGORITHM

A. C. Zelinski¹, K. Setsompop¹, V. K. Goyal¹, V. Alagappan², U. Fontius³, F. Schmitt³, L. L. Wald^{2,4}, and E. Adalsteinsson^{1,4}

¹Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, MIT, Cambridge, MA, United States, ²A. A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA, United States, ³Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany, ⁴Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, MIT, Cambridge, MA, United States

INTRODUCTION: Playing sinc-like RFs in the presence of slice-selective gradient trajectories is useful for exciting a thin slice in z and is analogous to placing sinc-like "spokes" along k_z in excitation k-space. Recently, the use of multiple complexweighted spokes at different locations in the (k_x, k_y) plane has led to RF pulses that mitigate B_1 -inhomogeneity in single-coil excitation systems [1] and reduce excitation time (TE) on multi-channel systems [2]. While placing a tall spoke in k-space does indeed significantly increase pulse duration and minimum TE, it is a necessary tradeoff to ensure a sharp slice profile with low sidelobes. Because of this high temporal cost per spoke, an *ideal* thin-slice design would be one that used very few spokes while achieving a user-specified excitation in the (x,y) plane with high-fidelity. To achieve this, we propose an algorithm that optimizes the number, placement and weighting of spokes, based on sparse approximation theory. First we show the theory encompasses RF design for multi-channel excitation systems, with single-channel systems as a base case. We then show the method generates fast, high-fidelity slice-selective pulses, achieving near-optimal tradeoff of TE & excitation fidelity. Experiments conducted in a phantom on a 3T Siemens Magnetom TRIO with an 8-channel parallel TX array show the algorithm's advantages over traditional (k_x, k_y) spoke placement patterns.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Sparse approximation (SA). The goal of SA is to find a vector or matrix of unknowns with a

small number of nonzero elements such that a system of equations approximately holds, e.g., $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{F}_{p} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{p} + \mathbf{n}$,

where **m**, $\mathbf{n} \in C^{M}$, $\mathbf{F}_{p} \in C^{M \times N}$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{p} \in C^{N}$, and N > M. This problem is ill-posed because there are infinitely many choices of φ_p vectors that solve it. But consider enforcing *sparsity* on the φ_p , requiring the l_1 -norm of each to be small, which is similar to requiring many elements of each φ_{p} to equal zero [3]. Suppose we further constrain the φ_{p} , requiring them to be simultaneously sparse: each of the φ_p must have nonzeros occurring at a similar set of indices. With such requirements, the problem is no longer ill-posed. Letting $\Phi = [\phi_1, ..., \phi_P]$, a program that finds a simultaneously sparse set of ϕ_P and

approximately yields **m** is as follows: $\min_{\Phi} (1-\lambda) \left\| \mathbf{m} - \left(\sum_{p=1}^{p} \mathbf{F}_{p} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{p} \right) \right\|_{2} + \lambda \left\| \boldsymbol{\Phi} \right\|_{s}$, where the second term, $\| \boldsymbol{\Phi} \|_{s}$,



Target Magnitude

Target Phase

is the l_1 -norm of the l_2 -norms of the rows of Φ , a simultaneous sparsity norm that penalizes (rewards) the program when the columns of Φ have dissimilar (similar) sparsity profiles. The first term keeps the residual error down. As λ increases

from 0 to 1, sparser solutions are generated while the residual error increases, i.e., λ trades off sparsity with residual error. Because the objective function is convex, there exists an optimal solution Φ^* that attains the global minimum. Φ^* may be computed via a Second-Order Cone program. Refer to [3,4] for more details.

Proposed algorithm. Our goal is to excite a thin, sharp slice that approximately equals a user-specified target excitation m(x,y) at $z=z_0$ and zero at $z\neq z_0$. To accomplish this, we must decide on a number of spokes to use, their locations in (k_x, k_y) , and weights for each. Using spokes in k_z will let us obtain a thin slice in z. But achieving the in-slice target m(x,y) is more complicated: ideally, many weighted spokes would be placed in (k_x, k_y) such that m(x,y) was almost exactly achieved, but this would require many spokes and result in a long TE. To keep TE short, we must use a *small* number of spokes, but with few spokes, achieving m(x,y) becomes difficult. Let us define **m** to be vector of spatial samples of the $m(x,y,z_0)$ excitation in some region of interest (ROI).

Analogy between spokes and Diracs in 2-D Fourier domain. Placing a spoke in (k_x, k_y) with some arbitrary complex weight φ is analogous to placing a weighted-Dirac delta, $\phi \delta(k_x,k_y)$ in the 2-D Fourier domain. Since spokes are expensive in terms of pulse duration, each δ is also expensive. Using this analogy, our goal is now: using a small number of complex-weighted δ 's in 2-D Fourier space, ensure that their 2-D Fourier transform is close to m(x,y) at all points in the region of interest.

Base case (P = 1) formulation. Assume a finite grid of discrete points exists in (k_x , k_y). Each point is a Dirac delta that produces a complex exponential in the spatial domain. An arbitrary choice of complex weights at different points on the grid results in a spatial domain excitation related to the weighted grid by a Fourier transform. Arranging the complex weights of this grid into the vector $\dot{\mathbf{\varphi}}_1$, the following holds: $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{D}_1 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\varphi}_1 = \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{\varphi}_1$, where \mathbf{r} is a vector of spatial samples of the resulting (x, y, z_0) excitation in the ROI, \mathbf{D}_1 is a diagonal matrix of samples of the coil sensitivity pattern in the ROI, and $\mathbf{A}_{m,n} = \exp(j2\pi k_x [n] x[m] + k_y [n] y[m])$ [5]. If the *n*-th element of φ_1 is nonzero, this corresponds to a spoke at $(k_x(n), k_y(n))$. Thus, a sparse φ_1 that results in an **r** close to **m** is ideal: it implies a short, high-fidelity excitation.

Extension to parallel systems (P > 1). For parallel systems, the formulation extends as follows: $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{D}_I \mathbf{A} \phi_I + \cdots + \mathbf{D}_P \mathbf{A} \phi_P = \mathbf{F}_I \phi_I + \cdots + \mathbf{F}_P \phi_P$, with the constraint that the φ_p must be simultaneously sparse, which physically means that the RF pulses along each of the P coils (the $b_{1,p}(t)$ waveforms) must each play along the same k-space trajectory. This constraint arises because the system's set of gradients determines a *unique* k-space trajectory k(t). If the φ_p were not simultaneously sparse, it would imply the RFs are concurrently played along P different k-space trajectories, which is not possible.

Step I: determine spoke locations. Using as few points on the frequency grid as possible, we want to attain the user-specified m within the thin-slice, i.e., we want to

find a *simultaneously sparse* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ matrix such that the residual error term $\|\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{r}\|_{2} = \|\mathbf{m} \cdot (\sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{F}_{p} \mathbf{\phi}_{p})\|_{2}$ is small. Finding this $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is accomplished by fixing λ and solving the optimization program above. With the proper choice of λ , a simultaneously sparse, globally optimal Φ matrix is found that keeps the residual error down.

Step II: keep T spokes and determine PT weights. Since each row of Φ that contains nonzeros corresponds to a spoke that must be traversed in k-space, we zero out

all but T rows of $\overline{\Phi}$, keeping those with the largest l_2 -energy. Thus, T is a control parameter explicitly trading off the number of spokes, and hence TE, with excitation

fidelity. Since all but *T* rows of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ equal zero, the affine system of equations is now reduced to $\mathbf{r} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{F}_{T,p} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T,p}$, where each $\mathbf{F}_{T,p}$ is a truncated matrix whose *T* columns correspond to the T columns of \mathbf{F}_n that remain after discarding all but T rows of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. Now, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_T$ is recalculated to attain an excitation closer to \mathbf{m} , i.e., the weights

at each of the T spoke locations are retuned for each of the P coils in a least-squares sense via the pseudo-inverse of $[F_{T,1}, ..., F_{T,P}]$.

Step III: generate an RF pulse set. At this point, T spokes have been placed in k-space at points on the (k_x, k_y) grid implicitly defined by the φ_p . Further, P weights have been determined for each spoke (one per excitation coil). With this information, a set of RF pulses may be designed using the method in [2].

Results. The proposed method was compared to a non-optimized spoke placement on a grid within a fixed radius. Experiments were conducted in a phantom on a 3T Siemens Magnetom TRIO equipped with an 8-channel TX array. Each method used 20 spokes and both attempted to excite the dual-phase bifurcation target shown above. In the upper right, the spoke placement in (k_{y}, k_{y}) used by the traditional method, the optimized spoke placement calculated by the proposed technique, and each method's resulting excitations are depicted. The proposed algorithm's near-optimal spoke placement led to an excitation closely resembling the target. For each design, TE was ~ 10 ms and slice thickness was 1 cm. Because both methods used the same sinc-like spokes in k_2 , they exhibited equivalent slice-selectivity performance.

CONCLUSION: An algorithm that optimized the number, placement and weighting of spokes in k-space was presented and extended from single- to multi-channel TX systems. An experiment using an 8-channel TX array on a Siemens Magnetom TRIO showed that the proposed algorithm outperformed a non-optimized technique.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS & REFERENCES: Siemens Medical Solns., NIH P41RR14075, US DoD NDSEG Fellowship, R.J. Shillman Career Dev. Award. [1] Saekho et al. Fast-kz 3-D Tailored RF Pulse for Reduc B1 Inhomog. MRM. 2006;55(4):719-724. [2] Setsompop et al. Parallel RF Trans w/ 8 Chan at 3T. MRM. 2006;56(5). [3] Chen et al. Atomic Decomp by Basis Pursuit. SIAM Rev, 2001;43(1):129–159. [4] Malioutov et al. Sparse Signal Recon Perspec for Source Localiz with Sensor Arrays. IEEE Trans Sig Proc., 2005;53(8). [5] Grissom et al. Spatial Domain Method for the Design of RF Pulses in Multicoil Parallel Excit. MRM. 2006;56(3):620-629.