Unified Mathematical Model of q-space and diffusion tensor imaging

R. W. Cox, PhD¹

¹Scientific and Statistical Computing Core, NIMH/NIH/DHHS, Bethesda, MD, United States

Introduction:

Statistical imaging of random H₂O motions using MRI has followed two mathematical paradigms: diffusion-weighting and *q*-space analyses. In diffusion-weighted analyses, the ensemble of molecules is assumed to evolve with a (not necessarily isotropic) Gaussian probability density/point spread function (PSF)—or a mixture of such densities—and the data analysis is oriented towards determining the parameters of the PSF (e.g., the apparent diffusion tensor). In q-space analyses, the transport is allowed to have a quite general PSF; as a result, much more data is required to reconstruct the PSF. The two analytical paradigms come from two different experimental communities with little overlap, since diffusion-weighted imaging is practicable in humans, whereas accurate q-space imaging is not. Our goal is to generalize the analysis of [1], which relates *q*-space and diffusion weighting.

Analysis:

We denote by $M(\mathbf{x},t)$ the transverse magnetization and by $\hat{M}(\mathbf{q},t)$ its spatial Fourier transform. In the absence of magnetic field gradients, we model the transport of magnetization from its initial state $M(\mathbf{x},0)$ to time t by convolution (*) with an unknown time-dependent PSF $P(\mathbf{x},t)$:

$$M(\mathbf{x},0) \xrightarrow{t} M(\mathbf{x},0) * P(\mathbf{x},t) \implies \hat{M}(\mathbf{q},0) \xrightarrow{t} \hat{M}(\mathbf{q},0) \cdot \hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t) \implies \frac{\partial \hat{M}(\mathbf{q},t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t)/\partial t}{\hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t)} \cdot \hat{M}(\mathbf{q},t)$$

Define $\hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t) = e^{-u(\mathbf{q},t)}$ (for diffusion, $u(\mathbf{q},t) = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{q} t$, where **D** is the diffusion tensor to be estimated), so that $\hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t)^{-1} \cdot \partial \hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t) / \partial t = -\partial u(\mathbf{q},t) / \partial t = -u_t(\mathbf{q},t)$. With gradients, $d\mathbf{q}/dt = \gamma \mathbf{G}(t)$, and the magnetization transport model is:

$$\frac{\partial M(\mathbf{x},t)}{dt} = -i\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \cdot \mathbf{x} M(x,t) + \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{q}\leftrightarrow\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \left[-u_t(\mathbf{q},t)\hat{M}(\mathbf{q},t) \right] \implies \frac{\partial \hat{M}(\mathbf{q},t)}{\partial t} - \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{q}}\hat{M}(\mathbf{q},t) = -u_t(\mathbf{q},t)\hat{M}(\mathbf{q},t)$$

The last equation is a first order PDE in (\mathbf{q},t) space, which can be solved using the method of characteristics:

 $\hat{M}(\mathbf{q}_0 - \mathbf{q}(t), t) = e^{-\int_0^t u_t(\mathbf{q}(\tau), \tau) d\tau} \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}_0, 0), \text{ where } \mathbf{q}_0 \text{ is an arbitrary vector in q-space. For imaging purposes, the trajectory}$ q(t) is rewound to q = 0 at some time T before the k-space readout begins. Assuming that the k-space region covered is small enough not to induce significant diffusive effects itself, then we find that the attenuation of the image is given by $E = e^{-\int_0^T u_t(\mathbf{q}(t),t)dt} \text{ or } -\ln(E) = \int_0^T u_t(\mathbf{q}(t),t)dt = -\int_0^T \left[\hat{P}(\mathbf{q}(t),t)^{-1} \cdot \partial \hat{P}(\mathbf{q}(t),t)/\partial t\right] dt; \text{ that is, a general trajectory through } qt$ space gives a tomographic result about the time evolution of the Fourier transform of the PSF for water transport.

Discussion: qt-Space Tomography:

In the diffusion limit, $\ln(E) = -\int_0^T \mathbf{q}(t) \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{q}(t) dt$, which is the basis for estimating the diffusion tensor **D** by using different paths through qt-space. In the q-space PGSE experiment, $T = \Delta$ and $\mathbf{q}(t) = \text{const}$ (since the pulsed gradient duration δ is assumed small), yielding $E(\mathbf{q}) = e^{-\int_0^{\Delta} u_t(\mathbf{q},t)dt} = e^{-u(\mathbf{q},\Delta)} = \hat{P}(\mathbf{q},\Delta)$, the usual *q*-space imaging attenuation. If δ is not small, then $\mathbf{q}(t) \neq \text{const}$ and the relationship between E and $\hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t)$ is more complicated and involves all intermediate times $0 \le t \le \Delta$. If enough different trajectories through *qt*-space were traversed, *and* a parameterized mathematical model for $\hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t)$ adopted (cf. [2,3]), then the parameters of $\hat{P}(\mathbf{q},t)$ could be estimated from the $-\ln(E)$ measurements. Such a technique may allow a systematic (if approximate) extension of q-space imaging to humans, where δ cannot be small. Various extensions of this theory are possible, such as allowing Hiahest for transport effects during excitation and for multiple coherent pathways through *at*trajectory is usual path space created by multiple RF pulses [4]. Possible trajectories q taken in **References:** in gt-space, limited by diffusion [1] Basser PJ, MRM 47: 392-397, 2002. weighted maximum gradient [2] Özarslan E and Mareci TH, MRM 50: 955-965, 2003. strength imaging [3] Sato K-I, Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions, Cambridge, 1999. [4] Frank LR, Wong EC, Liu TT, and Buxton RB, MRM 49: 1098-1105, 2003.

1