Measurement of Pulse Wave Velocity in the Aortic Arch by Tracking the Velocity Peak

J. Wansapura¹, X. Zhao¹, and W. Gottliebson²

¹Department of Radiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, United States, ²Division of Cardiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, United States

Introduction: The pulse wave velocity (PWV) is measured as the ratio of length along the aortic arch (D) to the time difference (Δ T) between the arrival of pulse wave between the ascending (aAo) and the descending (dAo) aorta. Conventionally, Δ T is measured by the time lag between the mean velocity time curves of aAo and dAo, measured at the half maximum velocity. The aortic velocity profile (figure 1) includes contributions from both ante-grade flow and flow reversals due to reflected pressure waves and runoff diastolic flow if present. Therefore the *mean* velocity of the lumen does not accurately characterize the ante-grade pressure wave

Figure 1. The spatial distribution of velocity (cm/sec) in the dAo at systole show asymmetric flow profile with flow in the opposite direction.

magnitude PC MR images.

space using an automated, peak velocity tracking technique on phase contrast (PC) cine images. **Method:** We studied 24 healthy children of age between 5-10yrs (mean age=7.3yrs, 12F, 12M). PC

dynamics. In this study, we developed an algorithm to map the ante-grade pressure wave in time and

cine MRI was performed in a plane depicting both the aAo and dAo. Imaging was performed on a 3T scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Studies were done with no sedation. PC MRI parameters were: number of segments=3



Figure 2. The contours of peak velocity in the dAo during systole depict the passing of the ante-grade pressure wave. The first 10 flow maps are shown as marked.

were: number of segments=3, TE/TR=4.8/7.5, slice=6mm, flip=15, in plane resolution=1.3x1.3mm. The average RR interval was 640ms. Twenty two phase images were reconstructed with prospective gating with an average temporal resolution of 29 ms. The aortic lumen was segmented using a semi automated snake algorithm on the The velocity peak was tracked as

follows: For each time frame t, contours of velocities, $C_{v,i}(t)$ were defined within the lumen for levels $v=0, 1, 2... V_{enc}$ cm/sec. Where $C_{v,i}(t)$ is the *i*th contour representing level v. Then for each level v, the contour region *i*, having the maximum number of pixels was chosen $(=C_v)$. This step eliminates any pixel with erroneous phase. The number of pixels within each C_v when normalized by the total number of pixels within the lumen, represents a complementary cumulative distribution function of w which we used to find the 00^{th} percentia velocity of the v_{i} the v_{i} sector v_{i} .

function of v; which we used to find the 90th percentile velocity, v'. The average velocity of the contour $C_{v'}$ was taken as the velocity peak at time t. Figure 2 shows the results of peak velocity tracking. The peak velocity-time curves were fitted with a 5th order polynomial to find the time lag ΔT . Both velocity peak-time curves and the mean velocity-time curves were used to calculate the aortic PWV for comparison.

Method	Mean PWV ± Std Dev (m/s)	Range (m/s)	Coefficient of Variation
Mean Velocity Technique	2.9 ± 2.1	10.0	73
Peak Velocity Technique	2.4 ± 0.7	2.99	30

Results and discussion: A marked difference between the mean velocitytime and the ulated using the

peak velocity-time curves were seen in some subjects (figure 3). The PWV calculated using the mean velocity curves has a greater uncertainty as evident from the larger coefficient of variation. There was no significant correlation between age and PWV calculated by any method (Pearson's Coefficient= 0.1) as expected, given the limited age range in this study. Although no previous data of PWV in the aortic arch exist in this specific age group, extrapolated data from older subjects suggests that our values are within the expected range (Groenink M et al, Radiology 219(2) 535; 2001). The peak velocity tracking method introduced in this study can

120

100

80

60

Figure 3. The flow velocity of aAo (—) and dAo (--) of the same subject using the mean value (upper) and the $95^{\text{th}} \%$ (lower).

be used effectively to minimize errors in PWV measurements using PC MRI data. With improved sensitivity, MR based non invasive measurement of PWV can be a powerful tool in determining aortic compliance.