

# Quantification of magnetization transfer and relaxation rates by MT-prepared multi-echo EPI

G. Helms<sup>1,2</sup>, G. E. Hagberg<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MR-Research in Neurology and Psychiatry, Medical Faculty, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, <sup>2</sup>MR-Center, Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>3</sup>Functional Neuroimaging Laboratory, Fondazione Santa Lucia IRCCS, Roma, Italy

## Introduction:

In clinical applications, magnetization transfer (MT) is commonly quantified using rapid gradient echo sequences by analogy to continuous wave experiments (1-3). We derived an analytic solution for both the dynamic and steady state of a progressive saturation experiment (4). Using repetitive MT-pulses and multi-echo EPI for single-shot read-out the relaxation and transfer rates of both pool can be quantified when sampling the transition to steady state at different pulse repetition periods (PR).

## Theory:

The general solution for a binary spin-bath of free water (f) and macromolecules (m) with linear exchange kinetics assumes free evolution during the whole PR (4). Model 1: In tissue, the macromolecular content ( $F = M_{0m}/(M_{0m}+M_{0f})$ ) is small, and the difference in relaxation rates ( $R_{1m}-R_{1f}$ ) can be neglected compared to the sum of exchange rates ( $k_{mf}+k_{fm}$ ). The free water signal can then be described by analogy to progressive partial saturation (5), by means of an apparent saturation ( $d_{app}$ ):

$$d_{app} = d_f + F(d_m - d_f) (1 - \exp(-(R_T - R_R) PR)) / (1 - (1 - d_m) \exp(-R_T PR)) \quad [1]$$

$R_T$  is the fast apparent transfer rate, and  $R_R$  is the slow apparent relaxation rate observed during free evolution. The direct saturation caused by one MT-pulse ( $d_f$ ) increases during PR by an MT-contribution proportional to the differential saturation of the pools,  $d_m - d_f$ . The dependence on the number of MT-pulses ( $N$ ) is thus given by

$$M_{zf}/M_{0f}(n) = \{1 - \exp(-R_R PR) + d_{app} \exp(-R_R PR) [(1 - d_{app}) \exp(-R_R PR)]^N\} / \{1 - (1 - d_{app}) \exp(-R_R PR)\} \quad [2]$$

Model 2: When discarding the assumptions named above, different longitudinal relaxation rates in the pools have to be considered. Their weighted average yields the observed  $R_R = (1-F)R_{1f} + F R_{1m}$ . The steady state and  $d_{app}$  have to be corrected by small terms proportional to  $F(R_{1m}-R_{1f})$  (4).

## Methods and Materials:

Measurements were performed at 1.5 Tesla on 6 healthy adults using a Siemens Vision and the standard head coil. Gaussian MT-pulses of 6.4 ms durations and  $720^\circ$  nominal flip angle were repetitively applied at 1 kHz offset. An axial slice through the centrum semiovale was measured by a 16-echo EPI sequence (TE=50, 100, ...800 ms, 5mm thickness, 20 cm FOV, 64x64 matrix). The dynamic behaviour and the steady state were sampled at various PR (8 - 200 ms) (Fig. 1). Fat suppression was accommodated in the last PR interval.

ROIs in cortical grey matter (GM) and central white matter (WM) were evaluated by a global fit to the complete multi-echo data. The Levenberg-Marquardt procedure of IDL 4.0.1 (Research Systems Inc., Boulder, CO) was extended to three independent variables ( $N$ , PR, TE). In GM, CSF signal was modelled by a second T2-component; in WM, we modelled the T2-decay into the Rician noise (6). The saturations were constrained between 0 and 1; progressive saturation of CSF (7) was accounted for by a  $T_1$  of 3.5 s and a saturation of 0.1%. To simplify the partial derivatives of the signal dependence, a convenient parameter set was chosen for MT. Macromolecular ratio, kinetic and relaxation parameters were then calculated from the fitted parameters.

## Results:

Evaluation of WM ( $T_2 = 80.8 \pm 8.1$  ms) was more reliable than GM ( $T_2 = 76.7 \pm 6.4$  ms), which was affected by motion. The fitted apparent relaxation rates (WM:  $1.21 \pm 0.08$  1/s; GM:  $0.69 \pm 0.15$  1/s) were in line with known  $T_1$  values at 1.5 Tesla. In WM, Model 2 (unequal relaxation in the pools) significantly reduced the residues ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $F$ -test). This also affected the fitted RR and RT ( $p < 0.001$ , paired  $T$ -test), and thus the MT-parameters. No such differences were seen in GM, where Model 1 gave more consistent results.

Macromolecular ratios were higher in WM ( $f = 24.5 \pm 11.6\%$ ) than in GM ( $7.4 \pm 3.0\%$ ) ( $p = 0.003$ ). For WM, the pool-specific relaxation times were determined from Model 2 as  $1.59 \pm 0.28$  s for bulk water, and  $0.28 \pm 0.10$  s for macromolecules. The apparent transfer rates were  $14 \pm 4$  1/s in WM, and  $32 \pm 15$  1/s in GM. The macromolecular saturation was  $d_m = 47 \pm 13\%$  in WM and  $d_m = 68 \pm 24\%$  GM; the direct effect on free water was less than 1%.

## Discussion:

This study suggests that the  $T_1$  of macromolecules is considerably shorter than commonly assumed (1 s). Macromolecular ratios were higher and the backward rates were slower than determined at short TE by gradient-echo MT (1-3). This may be explained by myelin water contributing to the observed MT effect (8). When discarding the multi-echo capability, quantitative MT-mapping of the whole brain may become feasible by a suitable permutation of the slice order.

## References:

- 1: Sled JG, Pike GB. *Magn Reson Med* 2001; 46:923-931
- 2: Yarnykh VL. *Magn Reson Med* 2002; 47:929-939
- 3: Ramani A, et al. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2002; 20:721-731
- 4: Helms G, Hagberg GE. *Conc Magn Reson* 2004; 21A: 37-62
- 5: Helms G, Piringner A. *NMR Biomed* 2004; in press.
- 6: Jones DK, Basser PJ. *Magn Reson Med* 2004; 52:979-93.
- 7: Helms G, Piringner A. *Magn Reson Imag* 2001; 19:803-811
- 8: Stanisz GJ, et al. *Magn Reson Med* 1999; 42:1128-1136

**Figure 1:** Sampling scheme and fitted model. Here, the signal appears corrected for CSF and normalized. For clarity, only the first echo is shown.

