Effects of type II diabetes on cerebral vasoregulation using Continuous Arterial Spin Labeling MRI at 3 Tesla

D. Last¹, D. C. Alsop², R. P. Marquis², V. Novak¹

¹Gerontology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ²Radiology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States

Introduction

Cerebral vasoregulation reflects the ability of cerebral microvasculature to adapt to metabolic demands and systemic blood pressure changes and to maintain steady cerebral perfusion. Continuous Arterial Spin Labeling (CASL) perfusion magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has already proven its ability to measure cerebrovascular reserve^[1]. The goal of this study was to determine the effects of type II diabetes (DM) on cerebral vasoregulation using CASL MRI at 3 Tesla.

Materials and method

Twelve healthy subjects (8 men, 4 women, mean age \pm SD: 59.5 \pm 10.2 years) and twelve subjects with type II DM for more than a year (5 men, 7 women, 58.6 \pm 5.2 years) were studied. Controls were not treated for any systemic disease; DM subjects with strokes, cardiac, renal or carotid disease were excluded. MR imaging was performed using a whole-body 3 T MRI scanner (GE Signa Vhi) with quadrature head coil. All subjects had routine T₁-weighted spin echo (T_E/T_R = 3.3/8.1 ms, 24 cm × 19 cm FOV, 256 × 192 matrix size, 3 mm slice thickness), fluid-attenuation inversion recovery (FLAIR) (T_E/T_R = 161/11002 ms, 24 cm × 24 cm FOV, 256 × 160 matrix size, 5 mm slice thickness) and MR Angiography (MRA) (T_E/T_R = 3.9/38 ms, 20 cm × 18 cm FOV, 384 × 224 matrix size, 2 mm slice thickness). Tagged and control images were collected over 5 minutes during: baseline (B₁), CO₂ rebreathing (RB) of air and 5% CO₂, hyperventilation (HV) and a second baseline (B₂). End-tidal CO₂ (EtCO₂) was continuously monitored and averaged over 15-second intervals for all conditions. CASL MRI^[2,3] was performed with an echo planar imaging sequence (T_E = 31 ms, 24 cm × 24 cm FOV, 64 × 64 matrix size, 5 mm slice thickness). Images were obtained every 8 seconds and averaged for each condition. A perfusion map was then reconstructed for all conditions. A region of interest (ROI) corresponding to the whole brain was selected on each ROI. Perfusion differences between B₁ and HV (B₁-HV) and between RB and HV (RB-HV) were also computed. Cerebrovascular reserve (CVR) was computed as the percent of blood flow augmentation during RB compared to blood flow reduction during HV. The percent of flow augmentation during RB compared to blood flow reduction during HV. The percent of flow augmentation during RB compared to blood flow reduction during HP divided by percent CO₂ change. Two-way ANOVA (group × condition) was used for statistical comparisons.

Results

Figure 1 presents 2 CASL slices at the level of the ventricles for a healthy control and a DM subject. CASL revealed flow augmentation during RB and reduction during HV; RB-HV perfusion difference was blunted in the DM subject compared to the control. Table 1 presents the whole brain perfusion values for the control and DM group, perfusion differences (B₁-HV and RB-HV), CVR, %CO₂ and VR that were averaged by group for all conditions. Absolute perfusion values were significantly different between conditions for both groups. Perfusion differences between control and DM groups did not reach significance. Blood flow reduction (resp. augmentation) between B₁ and HV (resp. RB and HV) was significantly reduced in DM compared to the control group (p < 0.04). CVR was reduced in DM compared to the control group (p < 0.01), however %CO₂ difference was borderline (p = 0.055). VR was not different between groups. Visual inspection of T₁ and FLAIR images revealed mild-to-moderate white matter abnormalities; MRA evaluations were normal for all subjects.

Discussion - Conclusion

Preliminary data suggested that cerebrovascular reserve (perfusion difference between vasoconstriction to vasodilation) is reduced in DM. Age, DM duration and T_1 - and T_2 -weighted white matter abnormalities may affect small vessel reactivity and contribute to inter-subject variability.

References

[1] Detre JA, Samuels OB, Alsop DC, Gonzalez-At JB, Kasner SE, Raps EC. Noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of cerebral blood flow with acetazolamide challenge in patients with cerebrovascular stenosis. J Magn Reson Imaging 1999; 10:870-875. [2] Alsop DC, Detre JA. Reduced transit-time Sensitivity in noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging of human cerebral blood flow. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 1996; 16:1236-1249. [3] Alsop DC, Detre JA. Multisection cerebral blood flow MR imaging with continuous arterial spin labeling. Radiology 1998; 208:410-416.



Figure 1: CASL slices for a control (A) and DM subject (B) during CO₂ rebreathing (RB) and hyperventilation (HV).

Sponsored by ADA Grant to Dr. V. Novak

		Control	DM
Perfusion ml/100 g/min	Baseline ₁	42.6 ± 13.9	38.7 ± 12.1
	CO ₂ rebreathing	52.4 ± 15.9	45.3 ± 16.5
	Hyperventilation	26.5 ± 12.4	29.2 ± 11.2
	Baseline ₂	39.6 ± 12.8	38.5 ± 12.5
	Baseline ₁ -Hyperventilation	16.1 ± 8.6	$9.1\pm5.3^*$
	CO2 rebreathing-Hyperventilation	25.9 ± 9.3	$16.1\pm10.5^*$
%	CVR	109.8 ± 40.5	$62.3 \pm 43.1^{*}$
	%CO ₂	96.6 ± 42.8	66.7 ± 28.1
	VR	1.25 ± 0.54	1.16 ± 0.88

Table 1: Mean values and SD of whole brain perfusion during baseline (B1), CO2rebreathing (RB), hyperventilation (HV), second baseline (B2), and differencesbetween B1 and HV (B1-HV) and between RB and HV (RB-HV), CVR, %CO2and VR (*: p < 0.05).