## On the Nature of NAA Diffusion and the Apparent Viscosity Inside Neurons of the Central Nervous System

C. D. Kroenke<sup>1</sup>, J. J. Ackerman<sup>1</sup>, D. A. Yablonskiy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, United States

Abstract Herein we report the first determination of the apparent Table 1 Maan ADC + uncertainties  $(um^2/m_0)$ viscosity of neuronal cytoplasm in the mammalian brain in vivo and in situ. This represents an important step toward developing a quantitative understanding of the biophysical determinants of the MR diffusion signal. The diffusion-sensitized NAA MR signal depends upon b in a nonmonoexponential manner. We provide a quantitative description of this dependence using a theoretical model in which NAA is confined to multiply-oriented neuronal fibers. We find that diffusion parallel to fibers, ADC<sub>II</sub>, is  $0.36\pm0.06 \,\mu m^2/ms$ , and diffusion perpendicular to fibers, ADC<sub>1</sub>, is severely reduced at  $(2.3\pm7.6)\times10^{-4} \text{ }\mu\text{m}^2/\text{ms}$ . From ADC<sub>1</sub>, the apparent viscosity of the neuron cytoplasm is estimated to be two-fold larger than dilute aqueous solution.

**Introduction** In the brain, NAA exists almost exclusively within neurons (1). Diffusion of this molecule occurs within axons and dendrites, and in large voxels containing gray matter or multiply-oriented fibers of white matter, may be modeled as diffusion within randomly oriented cylinders. This problem is mathematically identical to the problem of <sup>3</sup>He gas diffusion in lung airways (2). Herein we apply the method developed in (2) to extract information on anisotropic NAA diffusivities (parallel to neuronal axis,  $ADC_{\parallel}$ , and perpendicular to axis,  $ADC_{\perp}$ ) from measurements in macroscopically isotropic structures.

**Experimental** Diffusion-weighted LASER spectroscopy of 5 rats was performed at 4.7 T using a 1.5 cm diameter surface coil. ADC  $_{\parallel}$  and ADC  $_{\perp}$ values were determined according to reference (2) to the NAA methyl  ${}^{1}H$ MR signal decay versus b. Diffusion-weighted PRESS spectroscopy, localized to the corpus callosum, was also performed at 1.5 T on 2 human subjects. In the humans,  $ADC_{\parallel}$  and  $ADC_{\perp}$  values were obtained by

<b>Table 1.</b> Mean ADC $\pm$ uncertainties ( $\mu$ m /ms)				
Subjects	$\mathrm{ADC}_{\parallel}$	$\mathrm{ADC}_{\perp}$		
5 Rats	$0.36\pm0.06$	$(2.3 \pm 7.6) \times 10^{-4}$		



Figure 1. (a) Central plane of one voxel. (b) NAA methyl <sup>1</sup>H MR signal versus b. Solid line is a fit of the reference (2) formula.

aligning the diffusion-sensitizing gradients parallel and perpendicular, respectively, to the known direction of the neuronal fibers.

Results Figure 1a shows a representative rat volume element selected for localized spectroscopy. An example fit to the data is plotted in Figure 1b. Table 1 summarizes the ADC<sub> $\parallel$ </sub> and ADC<sub> $\perp$ </sub> values obtained from five rats and two human volunteers.

**Discussion** To the extent that diffusion parallel to the neuronal fiber axes is expected to be largely unrestricted by membrane barriers,  $ADC_{\parallel}$  reflects the apparent viscosity of the intracellular space. Therefore the  $ADC_{\parallel}$  we obtain *in situ* is a close approximation of D<sup>intra</sup>, the true diffusion coefficient in cytoplasm. (Table 2 demonstrates that the D<sup>intra</sup>/D<sup>free</sup> ratios measured using various model systems reflect similar increases in apparent viscosity relative to free media.) This measurement provides an important parameter in the development of a quantitative model of the biophysics underlying the MR diffusion signal in the mammalian central nervous system.

Acknowledgement Supported by NIH grants F32 43010 and R01 35912 and R24 CA83060. References 1. Urenjak et al. J Neurosci 13:981-989, 1993 2. Yablonskiy et al. PNAS 99:3111-3116, 2002 3. Verkman, TIBS 27:27-33, 2002 4. Popov and Poo, J Neurosci 12:77-85, 1992 5. Albritton et al. Science 258:1812-1815, 1992 6. Sehy et al. MRM 48:42-51, 2002 7. Mastro et al. PNAS 81:3414-3418, 1984 8. Caille and Hinke, Can J Physiol Pharmacol 52:814-828, 1974 9. Koike and Nagata, J Physiol 295:397-417 1979

(4)

-		
	intro froo	