Time Efficient CSF Suppressed Velocity Selective ASL using a T₂-FLAIR Preparation

E. C. Wong¹

¹Radiology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, United States

Introduction

In Velocity Selective ASL (VS-ASL) (1,2), the tag pulse creates a velocity selective modulation of the longitudinal magnetization of blood. Velocity selective pulse trains necessarily produce diffusion related attenuation, and generally produce phase and/or magnitude modulations in the presence of coherent flow as well. In the brain, diffusion and flow in CSF can produce significant artifacts in VS-ASL (2). The degree of velocity selectivity in current implementations of VS-ASL is characterized by the velocity at which slowing spins are fully saturated, or the cutoff velocity (V_c) (2). There exists a tradeoff in V_c wherein at lower V_c the tag is applied closer to the target tissue while higher V_c reduces CSF and motion related artifacts. We present here a time efficient method for CSF suppressed VS-ASL that allows for VS-ASL imaging at lower V_c .

Methods

The pulse sequence used in this work is shown schematically in Figure 1, along with the calculated magnetization history for CSF and blood. For CSF suppression, a T₂-FLAIR preparation scheme (3) was used to null the magnetization of CSF at the time of the A simple 90°_{x} -gradient- 180°_{y} -gradient- 90°_{x} pulse train was used for tag generation, which results in a tag/control pulse. sinc-shaped profile of longitudinal magnetization vs. flow velocity in the presence of laminar flow. After a delay T_y to allow for inflow, single shot spin echo spiral images were acquired with flow weighting gradients on either side of the refocusing RF pulse. The flow weighting gradients are adjusted to the same V_c as the tag pulse, resulting in an ASL signal that only includes blood that is tagged at velocities above V_c , and decelerates to a velocity below V_c prior to imaging. With this configuration the ASL signal is proportional to $CBF*T_v$. The sequence was simulated and optimized to maximize the blood magnetization and null the magnetization of CSF at the time of the tag pulse. Because the difference between tag and control images is generated at the time of the tag pulse, it is important that the CSF be nulled at that time. Optimization parameters included $T_1/T_2=4200/2000$ ms for CSF and 1300/120ms for arterial blood. The calculated SNR efficiency (blood magnetization/ sqrt(TR)) yields a broad peak for TR from 4.5-7.5s and a T₂-FLAIR τ of 100-200ms. Across this range of parameters, the SNR efficiency of the T₂-FLAIR preparation is stable to within ±1.3% and is approximately 25% higher in SNR efficiency than CSF suppression using simple inversion pulses (2), which peaks at a TR of 6.5-11s. However, this method is 40% less SNR efficient than unsuppressed images. For this study imaging parameters included: FOV=24cm x 8mm, T_v =1000ms, V_c ={0.5, 1.0, 2.8, 6.3}cm/s, $T_{T2-FLAIR}$ =1400ms, $\tau_{T2-FLAIR}$ =100ms, and TR=4500ms. For comparison, non-CSF suppressed images were acquired without T_2 -FLAIR preparation with a TR of 2500ms. Imaging was performed on a 1.5T GE echospeed system on healthy volunteers.

Results

CSF suppressed and unsupressed VS-ASL images are shown in Figure 2. The Table shows the b factor associated with each Vc, as well as the calculated diffusion related attenuation in CSF and brain tissue caused by the tag pulse train. In the unsuppressed images, there are CSF artifacts clearly visible in the horns of the lateral ventricles for V_c up to at least 2.8cm/s. Residual signal in the ventricles in the CSF suppressed images at low V_c are consistent with choroid plexus. For higher V_c , CSF suppressed and non-suppressed images are very similar in appearance, but the focal nature of the signal suggests that the tagging is occurring far enough up the arterial tree that the tagged blood is not reaching target tissues.

Conclusions

Significant CSF artifacts are present in VS-ASL images for V_c up to at least 2.8cm/s. For quantitative measurement of tissue perfusion, lower V_c is recommended, and CSF suppression is necessary. The use of T₂-FLAIR based CSF suppression is more time efficient than simple inversion based suppression.



Figure 1. Schematic of pulse sequence.

References

- 1. Wong et al, ISMRM Abstracts p.621, 2002.
- 2. Duhamel et al. MRM 50(1): p.145, 2003.
- 3. Wong et al. MRM 45(3): p.529, 2001



Figure 2. Top: CSF suppressed, Bottom: Unsuppressed. From left: V_c ={0.5, 1.0, 2.8, 6.3}cm/s.

Vc (cm/s)	0.5	1.0	2.8	6.3
$b (s/mm^2)$	8.5	2.8	0.61	0.16
$1-e^{-bD}$ (CSF)	2.1%	0.71%	0.15%	0.04%
1-e ^{-bD} (brain)	0.85%	0.28%	0.061%	0.016%