

Estimating dynamic CMRO₂ from dynamic CBF and BOLD fMRI measurements

P. K. Maciejewski¹, I. Kida², F. Hyder²

¹Psychiatry, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States, ²Diagnostic Radiology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States

Introduction: Currently there are no direct MR methods for measuring CMRO₂ as a function of time during transient brain activation. However, parameters that are closely related to changes in CMRO₂ evoked during functional activation, e.g., CBF and parameters associated with BOLD signal, can be measured dynamically with MRI techniques. Proposed methods for estimating changes in CMRO₂ during transient brain activation using these types of MRI measurements have appeared only recently [1-3], and reflect the early development of this new class of MR-based measurement techniques. Each of these recent studies has assumed that CMRO₂ is proportional to CBF and the arteriovenous oxygen difference. Although this assumption is valid at steady state, it is not valid during transient changes in blood and tissue oxygen concentration. Here we adopt an alternative view. Because both changes in CBF (Δ CBF) and BOLD signal (Δ S) are linked to alterations in CMRO₂ (Δ CMRO₂) through processes of O₂ transport from blood to tissue [4], we propose that Δ CMRO₂ transients may be obtained from modelling O₂ in blood ([O₂]_b) and tissue ([O₂]_t) compartments within a microvascular unit. Accordingly, we use a non steady-state O₂ transport model to estimate changes in [O₂]_b, [O₂]_t, and CMRO₂ as functions of time based on measured time courses of Δ CBF and Δ S during brief sensory stimulation in a rat model [5].

Methods: Since details of animal preparation and sensory stimulation in the rat model have been previously described for quantitative fMRI experiments at 7T [4, 5], here we describe the modelling of the measured Δ CBF and Δ S time courses for an 8s long stimulation period to determine the dynamics of [O₂]_b, [O₂]_t, and CMRO₂. The rate of O₂ transport was modelled in two domains sharing a common boundary: the first domain representing brain tissue and the second domain representing a capillary that supplies O₂ to the brain tissue. A simple cylindrical geometry was considered, in which blood flow through a tube of circular cross section supplies O₂ to a concentric cylindrical tissue volume. Within the tissue domain, the rate of O₂ transport as a function of time was modelled as a diffusion process in both axial and radial directions. CMRO₂ was modelled to be uniform in tissue space but allowed to vary in time. Within the capillary domain, the rate of O₂ transport was modelled as a process of convection. CBF was assumed to be uniform in space but allowed to vary in time. Blood O₂ concentration was considered to be a function of axial distance along the length of the capillary domain and of time. Numerical solutions for the problems specified within each domain were constrained to ensure continuity of the rate of O₂ transport in space and time between the two domains across their common boundary. Predicted values of the BOLD signal were inferred from calculated values for deoxyhemoglobin concentration derived from blood O₂ concentration and Hill's equation for a hemoglobin saturation. Using this O₂ transport model, Δ CMRO₂(t), Δ [O₂]_b(t), and Δ [O₂]_t(t) were estimated for specified Δ CBF(t) and Δ S(t).

Results: The figure below displays results for Δ CMRO₂(t), estimated on the basis of measured values of Δ CBF(t) and Δ S(t). CMRO₂ reaches its peak value approximately one second after the onset of sensory stimulation, prior to the time at which CBF reaches its peak value at approximately 4.5 seconds after the onset of sensory stimulation. This suggests that increases in tissue demand for O₂ precede increases in blood flow supply of O₂.

Conclusion: A model for non steady-state O₂ transport from blood to tissue can be used to estimate CMRO₂ as well as O₂ concentration in blood and tissue during transient functional activation using time courses of Δ CBF and Δ S measured using fMRI techniques.

References:

1. Davis et al (1998) *PNAS* 96:1834-1839
2. Kim et al (1999) *MRM* 41:1152-1161
3. Feng et al (2003) *NeuroImage* 18:257-262
4. Hyder et al (2001) *NMR Biomed* 14:413-431
5. Kida et al (2002) *ISMRM*

Grant support from NIH (NS-044316, NS-037203, DC-003710, MH-067528) and NSF (DBI-0095173).

