## Cortical Depth-related Functional R2 and R2\* Changes at 9.4 T

F. Zhao<sup>1,2</sup>, P. Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, S-G. Kim<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neurobiology Department, Brain Imaging Research Center, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Center for Magnetic Resonance Research, Minneapolis, MN, United States ABSTRACT

To examine cortical depth-related signal changes of gradient-echo (GE) and spin-echo (SE) blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) fMRI signals, a wellestablished cat visual stimulation model was used at 9.4T. The stimulation-induced  $\Delta R2^{2}$  (=  $\Delta R2^{*}$  -  $\Delta R2$ ) is closely related with the basal susceptibility effects. The ratio of  $\Delta R2^*$  to  $\Delta R2$  is also closely related to basal susceptibility effects. The averaged ratio of  $\Delta R2^*$  to  $\Delta R2$  is 8.8 ± 1.7 (n = 4) on the surface of the cortex with large pial draining vessels and decreases to  $1.9 \pm 0.1$  on the middle cortical areas with parenchymal microvessels.

### INTRODUCTION

The GE BOLD signal is dependent on static inhomogeneous magnetic fields induced by deoxyhemoglobin, while the SE BOLD signal is dependent on irreversible dynamic diffusion-related averaging in inhomogeneous fields. At a higher magnetic field, it is expected that diffusion-related signal averaging is more sensitive due to steeper field gradients (1-3). The ratio of R<sub>2</sub>\* to R<sub>2</sub> changes is expected to decrease at smaller size vessels, and at higher magnetic fields if the smallsize vessel contribution increases. Therefore, it is important to compare R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>\* changes and their spatial specificity for understanding signal sources of the BOLD signals. In this abstract, the functional R<sub>2</sub>\* to R<sub>2</sub> changes of the SE BOLD and GE BOLD signals were compared at 9.4T.

### METHODS

Cats (n = 4) were intubated and ventilated under ~1.3% isoflurane. End-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> (3.0-3.8%) and temperature were maintained under normal conditions. The binocular visual stimuli consisted of high-contrast, drifting square-wave gratings (0.15 cycle/degree, 2 cycles/s) of vertical orientation (4-5). All NMR measurements were performed on a 9.4T/31cm MR system. For GE BOLD fMRI, TE = 10, 15, 20, and 25 ms, TR for a single image = 0.5 s, and effective TR = 2.0 s. For SE BOLD fMRI, the double-echo EPI sequence with adiabatic pulses (6) was used. The spin-echo BOLD imaging parameters were: TE = 30, 40, and 50 ms, TR for a single image = 2 s, and effective TR = 6 s. Cross-correlation coefficient (CCC) maps were obtained using a boxcar cross-correlation method with a typical CCC threshold of 0.3. After fMRI studies, 10 mg Fe/kg dextran-coated monocrystalline iron oxide nanoparticles (MION) was injected into the femoral vein to enhance relative static susceptibility differences. The activated ROI was composed of all activated pixels from GE BOLD fMRI with TE of 20 ms, and contained both tissue and large vessels. This ROI was subdivided, based on a basal susceptibility effect, which is directly related to vessel size and density. To determine relative susceptibility effects in active pixels, R2\* changes induced by MION ( $\Delta$ R2\*<sub>MION</sub>) were calculated on a pixel-by-pixel basis using T<sub>2</sub>\*-weighted images with 12 TE values. ROI-based baseline R<sub>2</sub> and  $R_2^*$  and stimulation-induced  $\Delta R2$  or  $\Delta R2^*$  were also calculated.

# **RESULTS and DISCUSSION**



Fig. 1. Selection of ROIs based on basal susceptibility effects induced by MION.

In the absence of stimuli, contrast agents can highlight regional differences in the static susceptibility effect. Figure 1 shows the effect of administration of MION. All pixels that were activated in the GE BOLD fMRI were selected for calculation of  $\Delta R2*_{MION}$  values in Fig. 1A. The color bar shows the  $\Delta R2*_{MION}$  values. From the histogram of  $\Delta R2^*_{MION}$  (Fig. 1B), boundaries of the four magnetic susceptibility regions were chosen (indicated by the vertical bars) such that each contained the same number of pixels, namely Lower (L), Middle, Upper (U), and (M), Surface (S) cortical regions.  $\Delta R2^*_{MION}$  maps (Figs. 1C-F) show that the static susceptibility effect is highest at the surface of the cortex (Fig. 1F), and decreases with cortical depth.

Figure 2 shows basal R2 and R2\* values (circles and squares in Fig. 2A) and stimulation-induced R2 and R2\* changes (Fig. 2B) in the four previously-segmented susceptibility regions. L corresponds to active pixels from Fig. 1C, M from Fig. 1D, U from Fig. 1E, and S from Fig. 1F. From baseline R2 and R2\* (Fig. 2A), an apparent transverse relaxation rate induced by local susceptibility effect (R2') can be calculated as defined by  $R2^* - R2$ . Functional  $\Delta R2^*$  is the highest at the surface of the cortex, and decreases as cortical depth increases (Fig. 2B). Unlike  $\Delta R^{2*}$ , functional  $\Delta R^2$  is the lowest at the surface of the cortex. Stimulation-induced  $\Delta R^2$ ' change ( $\Delta R2^* - \Delta R2$ ) is linearly correlated with basal R2' (R2 = 0.997) (squares in Fig. 2C). The highest R2' is from the cortical surface where the stimulation-induced  $\Delta R2^*/\Delta R2$  of 8.8 ± 1.7 is also the highest (circles in Fig. 2C). At the middle and lower cortical regions with parenchymal microvessels,  $\Delta R2^{*}/\Delta R2$  is the lowest, with a value of  $1.9 \pm 0.1$ . Our data demonstrate that  $\Delta R2'$  and  $\Delta R2^*/\Delta R2$  is closely dependent on basal R2' effect, which is related to anatomical and vascular structures.



Fig. 2. Cortical depth-dependent baseline R2\* and R2 (squares and circles in A) and stimulation induced R2\* and R2 change (B). Relationship between baseline R2' and stimulation-induced R2' and  $\Delta R2^*/\Delta R2$ .

#### Reference

(1). Ogawa S, et al. Biophys J 1993; 64: 800-812. (2). Weisskoff RM, et al. MRM 1994; 31: 601-610. (3). Kennan RP, et al. MRM 1994; 31: 9-21. (4). Duong TQ, et al. MRM 2000; 44: 231-242. (5). Kim D-S, et al. Nature Neurosci 2000; 3: 164-169. (6). Lee S-P, et al. MRM 1999; 42: 919-928.

## Acknowledgement

(Supported by the EB003375, NS44589, EB003324, EB002013, McKnight Foundation, RR08079)