Physiological Limits of MRI

John F. Schenck¹

¹General Electric Global Research Center, Schenectady, NY 12309, USA

Introduction

Prior to the discovery of high field type 2 superconductivity in the mid-20th century [1] there was no practical means of generating steady magnetic fields on the order of one tesla over a volume as large as an entire human body. Consequently, there has been no opportunity for evolutionary adaptation to magnetic fields of this strength and there was little information regarding the safety of human exposure to intense magnetic fields in the early 1980s when clinical MRI was introduced on a large scale [2]. Most of the available information at that time resulted from limited, informal studies of exposure to magnets utilized in high-energy physics laboratories [3]. Nonetheless, since approximately 1982, on the order of 200,000,000 clinical MR scans have been performed and, except for issues associated with the presence of magnetic foreign bodies (put in place by trauma or by surgical intervention) or the inadvertent scanning of patients with implanted pacemakers or similar devices, there is little or no evidence for any significant pathology associated with the action of the static magnetic field [4]. The very high degree of patient safety in strong magnetic fields is attributed to the extremely low value of the magnetic susceptibility and the lack of ferromagnetic components in these tissues [5].

Possible Limiting Physical Effects of Strong Magnetic Fields

Evidence has been presented for the safety of whole-body MR scanning up to 8 T [6]. However, there are several physical effects, too small to be hazardous at currently available field strengths that may eventually become significant as stronger magnetic fields become available for human imaging. These effects include the following:

- Magnetic forces drawing relatively paramagnetic tissue components into strong field regions [7].
- Magnetic torques on tissue components with anisotropic susceptibilities [8].
- Flow or motion-induced currents causing extraneous nerve or muscle excitation [9].
- Alterations in the rate of chemical reactions [10].
- Magnetohydrodynamic forces and pressures [11].
- Sensory effects such as nausea, vertigo and magnetophosphenes [12].

Theoretical analyses and animal studies in small bore, high field magnets indicate that, if patient motion in the field is limited to low velocities, it is likely that normal humans will tolerate static magnetic fields substantially larger than those of the largest whole body magnets (9.4 - 12 T) currently planned for human MRI.

References

1. Wilson MN: Superconducting magnets. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1983.

- Budinger TF: Threshold for physiological effects due to rf and magnetic fields used in NMR imaging. IEEE Trans Nucl Sci 1979;NS-26:2821-2825.
- 3. St. Lorant SJ: Biomagnetism: a review. SLAC Publication 1984. Stanford, CA: Stanford Linear Accelerator; 1977, p 1-9.
- 4. Schenck JF. Safety of strong, static magnetic fields. JMRI 2001;12:2-19.
- 5. Schenck JF: The role of magnetic susceptibility in magnetic resonance imaging: magnetic field compatibility of the first and second kinds. Med Phys 1996;23:815-850.
- 6. Kangarlu A, Burgess RE, Zhu H, Nakayama T, Hamlin RL, Abduljahl, Robitaille PML. Cognitive, cardiac, and physiological safety studies in ultra high field magnetic resonance imaging. Magn Reson Imaging 1999;17:1407-1416.
- 7. Berry MV, Geim AK. Of flying frogs and levitrons. Eur J Phys 1997;18:307-313.
- 8. Valles JM, Jr. Model of magnetic field-induced mitotic apparatus reorientation in frog eggs. Biophys J 2002;82:1260-1265.
- 9. Kinouchi Y, Yamaguchi H, Tenforde TS, Theoretical analysis of magnetic field interactions with aortic blood flow. Bioelectromagnetics 1996;17:21-32.
- 10. Atkins PW, Lambert TP. The effect of a magnetic field on chemical reactions. Ann Rep Prog Chem 1976;A72:67-88.
- 11. Keltner JR, Roos MS, Brakeman PR, Budinger TF. Magnetohydrodynamics of blood flow. Magn Reson Med 1990;16:139-149.
- 12. Schenck JF, Dumoulin CL, Redington RW, et al. Human exposure to 4.0-tesla magnetic fields in a whole-body scanner. Med Phys 1992;19:1089-1098.