# MRCP versus ERCP in the evaluation of patients with suspected bile duct obstruction: A randomized clinical trial

Caroline REINHOLD<sup>1</sup>, Naeim Salah<sup>1</sup>, Alan Nicolas BARKUN<sup>2</sup>, Lawrence JOSEPH<sup>3</sup>, Jeffrey S Barkun<sup>1</sup>, Eric Valois<sup>1</sup>

Montréal General Hospital, McGill University, 1650 Cedar Avenue, Montréal, PQ Canada; <sup>2</sup>McGill University, Montreal General Hospital\Gastroenterology\1650 Cedar Avenue, Montreal, Quebec Canada; <sup>3</sup>McGill University, Medicine, Montreal General Hospital, Montreal, Ouebec Canada:

#### Introduction

To evaluate the accuracy of MR cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) in the evaluation of patients with suspected bile duct obstruction (BDO) in the setting of a randomized controlled clinical trial.

#### Methods

205 consecutive patients with suspected BDO (clinical, laboratory, US/CT) were randomized either to MRCP [n=104, 50.73%] or endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) [n=101, 49.27%]. Block randomization was performed according to the suspected level of obstruction at US/CT using sealed envelopes. MRCP examinations were performed using a multicoil array, and a combination of single shot fast spin-echo (coronal, axial, oblique, 5mm-40mm, 256 x 256) and 2D fast spin-echo high-resolution sequences (axial, 3mm, 512 x 256).

#### Results

Of the 104 patients randomized to MRCP, 52 (50%) were diagnosed with BDO, while 52 (50%) had no BDO at MRCP. The etiology of BDO at MRCP was as follows: CBD stones (n=28), undetermined distal obstruction (n=13), pancreatic carcinoma (n=2), cholangiocarcinoma (n=2), inflammatory (n=6), and acute pancreatitis (n=1).

49 patients or 47% underwent successful ERCP after MRCP. In 4 of 53 patients, or 8%, the ERCP failed. In the subgroup of patients with ERCP correlation, MRCP diagnosed BDO with a sensitivity of 97% (95% CI: 91%-100%). The ERCP and MRCP results were concordant with respect to the cause of bile duct obstruction in 38 or 78% of patients. Of the 11 discrepancies, MRCP diagnosed CBD stones in 6 patients, which were not confirmed at ERCP (see Figure 1). In the remaining 5 discrepancies, MRCP overcalled BDO in 3 patients, and undercalled a small bile leak in one patient and sphincter of Oddi dyskinesia in another patient.

Of the patients with no BDO at MRCP, no biliary pathology has been found at 6 months to one year follow-up. In 2 patients, MRCP diagnosed small distal CBD stones (2-3mm), however, ERCP was unsuccessful and the patients remained asymptomatic.

## Discussion

Although these results are still preliminary, MRCP appears to be an accurate test for selecting out which patients would benefit from an ERCP in the work-up of clinically suspected BDO.



Figure 1

### FIGURE LEGEND

Axial high resolution T2-weighted fast spin-echo MRCP image shows a filling defect in the dependant portion of the CBD consistent with a stone. There is mild dilatation of the CBD. ERCP performed the same day did not demonstrate the stone.

#### References

- 1. Soto JA, Alvarez O, Munera F, Velez SM, Valencia J, Ramirez N. Diagnosing bile duct stones: comparison of unenhanced helical CT, oral contrast-enhanced CT cholangiography, and MR. AJR 2000; 175:1127-34.
- 2. Stiris MG, Tennoe B, Aadland E, Lunde OC. MR cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in patients with suspected common bile duct. Acta Radiologica 2000; 41:269-72.
- 3. Papanikolau N, Karantanas AH, Heracleous E, Costa JC, Gourtsoyiannis N. Magnetic Resonance cholangiopancreatography: comparison between respiratory-triggered turbo spin echo and breath hold single-shot turbo spin echo sequences. MRI 1999; 17:1255-60.
- 4. Varghese JC, Farrell MA, Courtney G, Osborne H, Murray FE, Lee MJ. Role of MR cholangiopancreatography in patients with failed or inadequate ERCP. AJR 1999; 173:1527-33.
- 5. Takehara Y. Can MRCP replace ERCP?. MRI 1998; 8:517-34.
- 6. Reinhold C, Taourel P, Bret PM, Cortas GA, Mehta SN, Barkun AN, Wang L, Tafazoli F. Choledocholithiasis: Evaluation of MR Cholangiography for Diagnosis. Radiology 1998; 209:435-442