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INTRODUCTION

Diffusion-sensitized provides a means of probing the architectural features of structures that are smaller than a voxel. In the central nervous system, reduced diffusion anisotropy following spinal cord injury has been attributed to axonal swelling and decreased membrane permeability. Furthermore, regenerating and remyelinating axon fibers are typically tortuous, small, and unmyelinated (1). Effects of these tissue parameters on the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) may potentially be exploited to assess neural damage and repair. Previous attempts to predict effects of morphologic changes relied on geometric approximations of axons and treated myelin as infinitesimally thin (2-5). In reality, myelin comprises ~50% of spinal cord white matter and undergoes morphologic changes during injury and repair (6). The goal of the current work was to develop a means of generating models of realistic morphology from histologic images.

METHODS

A 3D hopscotch FD algorithm was applied to simulate diffusion. Only the 1D version is described, but the principles are the same. In the 1D forward time centered space (FTCS) finite difference model, the diffusion equation is approximated by discretizing time and space (7):

\[ \frac{C_{i+1}^n - C_i^n}{\Delta t} = D \frac{C_i^{n+1} - 2C_i^n + C_i^{n-1}}{\Delta x^2} \]

where \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient, \( c \) is concentration, \( \Delta t \) is the duration of a time step, and \( \Delta x \) is the distance between spatial grid points. The superscript \( n \) and subscript \( i \) indicate the time step and the node. To simplify the notation, consider the concentration at node 1 shown in Fig 1:

\[ q_{11} = s_{1a}c_{1a} + s_{1b}c_{1b} + \left( 1 - s_{1a} - s_{1b} \right) c_1 \]

where the coefficients \( s_{1a} = 0 \Delta x / \Delta x^2 \) are jump probabilities that represent the fraction of particles at node \( a \) that travel to \( b \) during a time step. The hopscotch algorithm is related to the FTCS method but is unconditionally stable and obviates the need for temporary storage of concentrations while updating.

To simulate the MR signal, the concentration \( c \) in the previous equations is replaced by \( M_t \) and \( M_s \), components of the transverse magnetization. After the diffusion step, the phase is incremented for any time step during which gradients are applied. An exponential decrease in magnetization due to relaxation completes each time step. The hopscotch algorithm is related to the FTCS method but is unconditionally stable and obviates the need for temporary storage of concentrations while updating.

Myelin, which consists of multiple layers of fused plasma membrane, may be modeled as a series of permeable layers. Diffusion parallel to the layers may be characterized by a diffusion coefficient, \( D_{\parallel} \). The effective diffusion coefficient in the \( n \)-direction, when the surface normal of the layers is at an angle \( \theta \), is thus:

\[ \frac{1}{D_{\parallel}} = \frac{1}{D_{\perp}} \frac{\cos \theta}{a^2 \rho_{\perp}} \]

where \( a \) is the thickness and permeability of each layer. \( \rho_{\perp} \) is a permeability which varies with the transverse field (8).

The simulation was first validated with analytic solutions for diffusion between parallel planes (9). The simulation was then applied to simulate diffusion in the rat spinal cord (10). The ICF diffusion coefficient was set to ~0.01 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \) (11) and the ECF coefficient was assumed to be that of free water (2.5 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \)). The parallel diffusion coefficient of the myelin sheath, \( D_{\parallel} \), was assumed to be that of the ICF. Simulations were performed with TE = 43 ms, \( \Delta = 5 \) ms, and \( \delta = 20 \) ms for comparison with the experimental measurements (12). ADC's were obtained by simulation with diffusion gradient strengths of 0, 6, and 12 G/cm.

RESULTS

There was excellent agreement with analytic solutions (Fig 2). ADC's obtained in the rat spinal cord model (Fig 3) with the gradient perpendicular to the axons, were 0.06 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \) and 0.29 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \) for the high and low resolution simulations. An ADC of 1.47 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \) was obtained for parallel diffusion. The ADC's obtained by simulation are similar to experimental values (0.59 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \) parallel and 0.21 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \) perpendicular) in lateral column white matter of rat spinal cord (13).


FIG. 2. Evaluation with analytic solutions for diffusion between planes (a, \( D=0.06 \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \), \( \delta = 0.05 \) ms, \( G_s = 0.04 \) G/cm, \( \Delta = 1 \) \( \mu \)s, \( \Delta t = 0.5 \) ms) and diffusion in a sphere (b, \( D=0.29 \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \), \( \Lambda = 100 \) \( \mu \)s, \( \Delta t = 0.05 \) ms, 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) spacing, \( \Delta t = 0.1 \) ms).

FIG. 3. Simulation of diffusion in the rat spinal cord: (a) digitized light microscopic image (256x256, 0.16x0.16 \( \mu \text{m}^2 \) pixels) of a section of rat spinal cord; (b) segmented image; and (c) MR signal versus b values for G1 high resolution and G2 low resolution.

CONCLUSIONS

The method provides a means of investigating how changes in tissue parameters (e.g., axonal and myelin morphology, membrane permeability, and diffusion coefficients) influence ADC's. An understanding of this relationship may allow predicting loss of neural function in pathologic states and monitoring recovery during therapy.