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Highlights

- Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) based Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) methods inherently have superior sensitivity to molecular changes in tumors.
- CEST MRI provides unconventional and in many cases molecular specific image contrast. CEST contrast can be turned on and off.
- CEST MRI is sensitive to pH and molecular changes in tumors.
- It enables the differential diagnosis between radiation necrosis and tumor recurrence.
- It enables high resolution imaging of glucose metabolism and protease activity in cancer.
- It has the potential to serve as an imaging biomarker for diagnosis of tumors and monitoring tumor response to therapy.

Talk Title: Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) Imaging of Cancer

Target Audience: Students, post doctoral fellows, research investigators in the fields of MR physics, Biophysics, Radiology and Oncology who have an interest in learning about novel imaging biomarkers for diagnosis and monitoring of tumor response to therapy.

Objectives: Learners will be able to grasp basic principles and technical aspects of CEST MRI described in this talk and apply them in their current ongoing research to enhance the capability to image a range of metabolic changes in different types of tumors.

Purpose: The primary purpose of this talk is to impart basic principle of CEST, including theoretical background, experimental details, and show some exciting applications of the method in imaging cancer to elicit information that is not available from other imaging modalities. In addition, describe potential advantages and limitations of the methods in implementing both in preclinical and clinical imaging.

Methods

Background: Chemical exchange processes and their effects on the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectrum were some of the main topics of investigation that led to several key advancements in the early days of NMR. However, only recently have these processes been exploited for contrast on MRI through saturation transfer experiments. CEST is a new contrast enhancement technique that enables the indirect detection of molecules with exchangeable protons and exchange-related properties. CEST makes MRI sensitive to the concentrations of endogenous metabolites and their environments.

CEST agents, molecules with exchangeable protons, can be divided into two classes: (i) paramagnetic CEST agents (PARACEST) and (ii) diamagnetic CEST agents. Molecules with exchangeable protons capable of providing CEST contrast combined with a paramagnetic metal ion (typically one of the lanthanides) are known as PARACEST agents. On the other hand, diamagnetic CEST agents are simply molecules with exchangeable protons without
paramagnetic ions. PARACEST agents create larger chemical shifts between exchangeable protons, which allow for more selective irradiation and imaging of faster exchanging species. While these PARACEST agents have promising applications, a detailed discussion of these is beyond the scope of this lecture. The reader is referred to several excellent reviews summarizing the PARACEST literature.

This presentation focuses on the recent developments in diamagnetic CEST methods and their potential applications in cancer imaging. Briefly, we outline the principle and theoretical aspects of CEST and review recent developments in CEST MRI from amides on proteins, amine groups on small metabolites such as glutamate (Glu), and hydroxyl groups on glucose. Potential applications of amide, amine and –OH CEST in imaging aspects of cancer will be illustrated with some compelling examples. Finally, strengths and some of the limitations of CEST imaging are outlined. For a thorough discussion of theoretical aspects and in depth review of CEST applications, the reader is referred to several outstanding reviews on this topic.

**Figure 1:** CEST contrast enhancement mechanism illustrated with a two-site exchange between a solute pool and a solvent pool (water). (a) Radiofrequency (RF) saturation applied at the resonance frequency ($\Delta \omega$) of the labile solute protons ($P_s$) leads to a loss of net magnetization. These saturated protons (red) from the solute pool then exchange with unsaturated protons (blue) from the much larger water pool ($P_w$) with an exchange rate, $k_{sw}$ leading to an accumulation of saturated protons in the water pool. (b) The accumulation of the zero net magnetization of solute protons in water results in a decrease in the total water signal. While the saturation pulse is being applied, this process continues to decrease the water magnetization through the CEST effect as well as through magnetization transfer (MT) and direct water saturation or “spillover” effects. A saturation pulse applied at the corresponding reference frequency symmetrically at the opposite side of the water resonance ($-\Delta \omega$) will decrease the water magnetization through MT and spillover effects only. (c) Saturation transfer effects can be assessed using a z-spectrum (black curve) where the water signal is plotted as a function of saturation frequency. Asymmetry analysis ($CEST_{asym}$) is performed by subtracting the water signal from one side of the z-spectrum from the other side to mitigate the effects of spillover as well MT effects and isolate the effects of chemical exchange. (d) Standard CEST magnetization preparation consisting of a long saturation pulse applied at a resonance frequency, $\Delta \omega$, at a saturation amplitude, $B_1$, and duration $t_{sat}$. The saturation pulse can be a single, long frequency selective rectangular pulse, as shown here or a train of shaped frequency selective pulses separated by small delays.

**Theory of CEST:** Let us consider a two-site exchange process involving a solute pool ($P_s$) with exchangeable protons and a much larger solvent (water) pool ($P_w$). In CEST imaging, a frequency selective radiofrequency (RF) saturation pulse is applied to the solute pool (figure 1d). A long saturation pulse applied at the resonance frequency of the solute protons, equalizes the number of spins aligned against the magnetic field to those aligned with the magnetic field.
leading to no net magnetization and result in the process termed "saturation", the net result of which is zero MR signal. This zero magnetization of saturated protons from the solute pool then exchanges with unsaturated protons from the much larger water pool leading to decrease in the water signal proportional to the concentration of solute (figure 1a). While the saturation pulse is being applied, this process continues to decrease the water magnetization, which may be viewed as a negative “hyperpolarization of water pool”. Concurrently, longitudinal relaxation processes return the saturated proton spins to their thermal equilibrium state until the system reaches steady state or the saturation pulse is turned off. The reduction in the water signal can be imaged with any routine imaging sequences. The cumulative saturation of water magnetization (akin to negative “hyperpolarization of water pool”) during the saturation period is responsible for the enhanced sensitivity of CEST MRI in detecting solute pool signal.

CEST contrast requires that a discrete chemical shift difference (Δω) between water and the exchangeable proton on the solute is preserved, and the exchange rate, k_{sw}, has to fulfill the slow to intermediate exchange condition on the NMR time scale defined as:

\[ k_{sw} \leq \Delta \omega \]  

[1]

Generally, the saturation pulses are not perfectly frequency selective and therefore lead to some direct saturation of the water protons or “spillover” effects (figure 1b). Additionally, in biological tissues, the saturation of solute pools also causes magnetization transfer (MT) between water molecules bound to larger macromolecules in solid or semisolid phases and free water protons, which also leads to a decrease in the water signal. These different saturation transfer effects can be assessed using a z-spectrum generated by plotting the water signal as a function of saturation frequency. Since the direct water saturation effects are symmetric with respect to the water resonance frequency, they can be removed by asymmetry analysis where the water signal from one side of the z-spectrum is subtracted from the other side (figure 1c). Under certain saturation parameters, asymmetry analysis will also remove the contribution of MT. Thus, to isolate the chemical exchange effects of a particular metabolite, the CEST asymmetry ratio (CEST_{asym}) is computed by subtracting the normalized magnetization signal at the exchangeable solute proton frequency [M_{sat (+\Delta \omega)}] where Δω is the chemical shift difference between solute and labile protons, from magnetization at the corresponding reference frequency symmetrically at the opposite side of the water resonance [M_{sat (-\Delta \omega)}]:

\[ \text{CEST}_{\text{asym}} = \frac{M_{\text{sat}(-\Delta \omega)} - M_{\text{sat}(+\Delta \omega)}}{M_{\text{ctl}}} \]  

[2]

where M_{ctl} is the control magnetization. For M_{ctl}, either M_{0}, the magnetization observed with no saturation, the magnetization observed with a saturation pulse far from the water resonance (≥ 20 ppm), or the M_{sat (-\Delta \omega)} magnetization can be used. In interpreting the CEST effect, factors that play a role are the concentration of the solute, the proton exchange rate, the number of exchangeable protons, the pH of the local environment, T1, T2, the saturation efficiency, and the amplitude and duration of the saturation pulse. These effects can be incorporated into a general solution obtainable from the analysis of a two-site exchange model in the presence of RF saturation. As Δω increases linearly with static field strength, CEST imaging greatly benefits from ultra-high magnetic fields. As a result, molecules with high exchange rates, which do not satisfy the condition in eq. (1) at lower fields (≤3T), may still demonstrate a CEST effect at 7T. While the chemical shift difference is directly related to the magnetic field strength, the chemical exchange rate depends mainly on the exchange type and environment. In vivo, the exchange rate is highly sensitive to changes in tissue pH. The chemical exchange rate can change by several orders of magnitude with changes in pH as small as 1 unit. It is therefore
critical to identify endogenous agents whose chemical exchange rates satisfy Eq. (1) under physiological conditions.

**Technical Considerations**: The CEST effect depends on several factors such as field strength ($B_0$), concentration of metabolite with exchanging spins, exchange rate, temperature, static magnetic field ($B_0$) and RF field ($B_1$) inhomogeneities, $T_1$ of water protons, RF saturation pulse duration and amplitude. Thus in measuring the CEST effect from a given metabolite all these factors have to be optimized and accounted for.

$B_0$ and $B_1$ field homogeneities present a challenge for CEST imaging. This is particularly significant at ultra-high magnetic fields, where the effects of these inhomogeneities are magnified. $B_0$ field inhomogeneities lead to a shift in the water resonance frequency that results in asymmetric direct water saturation effects and as a result artificial CEST effects in asymmetry analysis. $B_1$ inhomogeneity on the other hand results an increase or decrease in the applied RF. This leads to either a reduction of saturation efficiency or an increase in direct water saturation effects, which will create inaccuracies in the CEST asymmetry maps. Several methods have been developed for correction of $B_0$ and $B_1$ inhomogeneities.

In general, low power long duration rectangular saturation pulses are employed in phantom and animal model studies. However, due to clinical scanner limitations, trains of Gaussian or Hanning windowed short duration pulses separated by short delays are employed. Currently, most applications of CEST (specifically, amine and –OH) utilize single slice readout. CEST requires acquisition at multiple saturation frequencies with long repetition times (TR) to allow for relaxation. To address this issue, new multi-slice and three dimensional (3D) acquisition techniques have emerged. All of these methods rely on steady state CEST contrast and as a result may not be optimal for faster exchanging spins. Development of faster, multi-slice or 3D CEST techniques is important to translating amine and –OH CEST imaging to more clinical applications. In order to address many confounders of the CEST effects including NOE effects and MTR asymmetry several methods have also been developed that utilize z-spectral fitting for computing the CEST effect. While these methods show promise for decoupling the confounding contributions to the CEST effect, further work is necessary to assess their in vivo accuracy.

**Results and Discussion: CEST applications in cancer imaging**

Many of the metabolites originally examined for use as exogenous contrast agents are found endogenously at concentrations high enough for detection. The feasibility of endogenous CEST imaging was first demonstrated in imaging of urea in the bladder of healthy human subjects. Since then, several endogenous metabolites with exchangeable protons (amide (–NH), amine (–NH$_2$) and hydroxyl (–OH) groups) with optimal exchange properties under physiological conditions have been identified and imaged in vivo.

**Amide Proton (–NH) Transfer (APT): Imaging of Changes in Protein Content and pH in Tumors**

The CEST effects from amide protons were first demonstrated in the rat brain at 4.7T, and this method was referred to as amide proton transfer (APT). Amide protons have a chemical shift 3.5 ppm down field from water, which corresponds to the amide resonance at about 8.3 ppm in the NMR spectrum. Additionally, due to their very slow exchange rate (~30 s$^{-1}$), it is possible to obtain almost complete saturation using a low power, long duration saturation pulse and these experiments can be performed at 3T as well as at higher fields. APT imaging has been utilized in a range of applications including studies in 9L gliosarcoma tumor rat models, human brain tumors, breast cancer, prostate and bladder cancer, as well as others, where an increase in APT in tumor regions was observed. This increase was hypothesized to be due
to increased amide proton content in the brain tumors and or due to pH changes. More recent studies have demonstrated the feasibility of APT imaging for tumor grading \(^{37,38}\), which was further extended to studies of radiation necrosis. APT could differentiate between active orthotopic gliomas that appear hyperintense from radiation necrosis, which appears hypointense \(^{39}\).

APT imaging contrast originates from a combination of changes in protein content (hence –NH) and pH \(^{13}\) of the tissue. In addition, APT measurement is affected by MT asymmetry and nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE). Therefore, to realize the full potential of APT, methods need to be developed to remove confounding effects such as MT asymmetry and NOE. Nonetheless, the slow exchange rate and relatively high concentrations of amide protons create conditions, which potentially allow this technique to be translated to clinical applications as an “index” of molecular changes.

**Hydroxyl (-OH) CEST: Imaging of Glucose Metabolism in Tumors**

Another important application of CEST imaging is in studying exchange of –OH groups in metabolites such as, Glycogen, GAG, MI and Glucose. Recently, -OH groups of glucose have been exploited in imaging glucose in phantoms as well as in \( \textit{in vivo} \) systems (GlucoCEST) \(^{40-43}\). Tumors typically rely more on anaerobic glycolytic metabolism than normal tissues, due to hypoxia or inhibited mitochondrial function, a phenomenon widely known as the Warburg effect. As a result, up regulated glucose metabolism is commonly used to detect and characterize tumors with \(^{18}\)F labeled 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) PET. Similarly, preferential uptake of injected D-glucose in tumors can be imaged with GlucoCEST.

Recently GlucoCEST has been shown to be sensitive to tumor glucose accumulation in colorectal tumor models and can distinguish tumor types with differing metabolic characteristics and pathophysiologies\(^{43}\). In another study, significant GlucoCEST signal enhancement has been shown at 11.7T in mice in two human breast cancer cell lines during systemic sugar infusion \(^{42}\). While more studies are required to understand the clear origin of the observed CEST signal these results show the potential of cancer detection and characterization with MRI using the GlucoCEST effect from simple non-toxic sugars. In addition, feasibility CEST-MRI of two glucose analogs 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG) and FDG has been demonstrated both in phantoms and on mice bearing orthotopic mammary tumors injected with 2-DG or FDG\(^{44}\). The tumor exhibited an enhanced CEST effect that persisted for over one hour. These studies show the potential of studying tumor metabolism without using the radiolabeled isotopes.

In general, -OH groups of many metabolites, such as the one described above, resonate at around 1 ppm (0.6 to 1.5 ppm) down field from water and have exchange rates in the range of 500-1500 s\(^{-1}\). These exchange rates typically do not satisfy the condition of slow to intermediate exchange (eq. (1)) on the NMR time scale at lower fields such as 1.5T and 3T. In addition, lower frequency separation from water and the requirement of relatively high saturation power lead to huge direct saturation effects that decrease the sensitivity of CEST. However, as described above, these studies can be performed at higher fields (\( \geq 7T \)) with improved sensitivity and have been demonstrated in preclinically relevant applications.

**Amine (-NH\(_2\)) CEST: Imaging of Protease Activity in Tumors**

Amine protons from free amino acids or protein and peptide side chains are another important class of endogenous CEST agents. Endogenous metabolites with exchangeable amine group protons and exchange rates suitable for CEST imaging include glutamate (Glu)\(^\text{24}\) and Creatine (Cr)\(^\text{45}\). Glu is the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system (CNS). Glu exhibits a pH and concentration dependent CEST effect (GluCEST) between its amine group, observed at \(-3.0\) ppm downfield from water, and bulk water\(^{24}\). Its exchange rate is in the range of 2000 to 6000 s\(^{-1}\). Intravenous Glu injected in a rat brain tumor model with a compromised
blood brain barrier led to an elevation of GluCEST\textsubscript{asym} around the tumor while no changes were seen in the normal appearing tissue.

Cathepsins, cysteine family proteases, are over expressed in many tumors and have been shown to have diagnostic and prognostic value in several types of cancers\textsuperscript{46}. Recently it was demonstrated that GluCEST can be used to measure the release of glutamate moieties from cathepsin mediated cleavage of poly-L-glutamate in both in vitro and in vivo tumor models\textsuperscript{47}. Another study has used the GluCEST to monitor the release of glutamate induced by carboxypeptidase G2 (CPG2), an enzyme utilized in cancer gene therapy, in CPG2-expressing cancer cells and purified solution of CPG2\textsuperscript{48}. These studies demonstrate the potential of GluCEST method in assessing protease activity in tumors and CPG2-based gene therapy in vivo.

Compared to amide, amine protons tend to have faster exchange rates. While this allows for higher saturation transfer efficiency, higher B\textsubscript{1} amplitude is required in order to achieve saturation, which increases direct water saturation effects. Typically, the faster exchange rates of amine protons do not satisfy the slow to intermediate exchange condition (eq. (1)) at low fields (\textless 3T) and as a result, amine CEST studies have to be performed at ultrahigh fields (\textgeq 7T).

**CEST Imaging of pH:** pH is an important marker of many disease processes and pathologies including cancer and stroke. The direct effect of pH on chemical exchange rate makes CEST an ideal technique to assess change in pH \textit{in vivo} with high spatial resolution. As a result, CEST imaging has been used to study and attempt to quantify changes in pH\textsuperscript{49-51}. CEST based pH quantification has its own challenges. CEST contrast depends on several parameters including labile proton concentration, temperature, water content, the T\textsubscript{1} of water, saturation parameters as well as any other factors, which affect the chemical environment of the exchanging protons. This makes \textit{in vivo} pH quantification significantly more challenging, as accounting for all of these factors \textit{in vivo} is rather difficult. An alternate strategy is to use a CEST agent with two exchanging sites, which can be used as an internal reference to control for many of these confounds. By using a CEST agent with two exchange sites, the ratio of the CEST asymmetry at each exchange site will vary with the ratio of exchange rates, and can thus be used for pH calibration\textsuperscript{51}. However, this technique was only validated \textit{in vitro} and has not been applied to \textit{in vivo} endogenous pH measurement studies.

In addition to the conventional method of measuring CEST\textsubscript{asym} described by equation (2), several other methods have been developed for exchange transfer MRI. These include frequency-labeled exchange transfer (FLEX)\textsuperscript{52}, CESTrho\textsuperscript{53}, length and offset varied saturation (LOVARS)\textsuperscript{54}, two-frequency RF irradiation\textsuperscript{55}, chemical exchange rotation transfer (CERT)\textsuperscript{56} as well as others. These methods may further advance exchange based MRI, but need further characterization in \textit{in vivo} applications.

**Conclusions**

CEST applications show promise to use MRI as a non-invasive, non-ionizing tool for molecular imaging of cancers. Several studies have demonstrated the feasibility of implementing these methods both in preclinical tumor models as well as in preliminary human studies. These methods can be exploited as quantitative imaging biomarkers for diagnosis and characterization of different types of cancer, as well as in treatment monitoring. Further developments in improving the acquisition speed, spatial coverage, and techniques to enhance the specificity of the methods will enable widespread translation of CEST MRI into the clinical setting.
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