Clinical application of 3D VIBE CAIPIRINHA-DIXON for non-enhanced imaging of the pancreas compared to a standard 2D fat-saturated FLASH
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Target audience: Clinicians specializing in body imaging

Purpose: To compare a fast 3D VIBE sequence with Dixon fat saturation and CAIPIRINHA1 (Controlled Aliasing In Parallel Imaging Results IN Higher Acceleration) acceleration techniques (3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON) to a standard 2D FLASH sequence with spectral fat saturation and conventional GRAPPA acceleration technique (2D FlashGRAPPA-fs) for non-enhanced imaging of the pancreas.

Methods & Materials: In this retrospective, IRB-approved intra-individual comparison study, 29 patients (7 female, 22 male; mean age 60.4 ± 20.9 years) examined on a 48-channel 3.0T MR system (MAGNETOM Skyra VD 13, Siemens Healthcare Sector, Germany) were included. The clinical indications for pancreatic MRI included characterization or follow-up of pancreatic lesions and oncologic follow-up after tumor treatment or partial pancreatectomy. 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON (TR / TE - 3.95 / 2.5 + 1.27 ms; spatial resolution - 1.2 x 1.2 x 3.0 mm3; CAIPIRINHA 2x2(1), acquisition time - 0:12 min) and 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs (TR / TE - 195 / 3.69 ms; 1.2 x 1.2 x 3.0 mm3; GRAPPA 2, 3 x 0.21 min) sequences were performed in each subject in random order prior to the administration of an intravenous contrast agent. Two radiologists evaluated the images independently with regard to diagnostic preference. Parallel imaging techniques prevent conventional calculation of SNR in the clinical data set. Therefore a semi-quantitative approach was used for the in vivo measurements and signal ratios were calculated for the pancreas versus the liver, spleen, muscle, and visceral fat. Inter-reader agreement was calculated using unweighted Cohen’s kappa. Signal ratio results were analyzed using a univariate ANOVA analysis. Additional quantitative signal-to-noise (SNR) measurements were performed using a standard, cylindrical MR phantom filled with NiSO4 (volume approximately 9L; T1w relaxation time = 260ms). Both sequences were repeated in the phantom study 20 times.

Results: 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON was preferred in 72.4% (both readers) and 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs in 3.4% / 6.9% (reader 1 / 2) of cases with a kappa value of 0.756. The main reasons for this preference were homogenous fat saturation with 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON and reduced motion artifacts due to a faster acquisition, leading to improved delineation of the pancreas. Signal ratios of pancreatic to fat signal for 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON (10.08 ± 3.48) and 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs (6.53 ± 3.07) were statistically different (p < 0.001). However, no additional statistically significant differences in signal ratios were identified in comparison to the other organs (Range: 0.73 ± 0.18 to 1.37 ± 0.40; 0.514 < p < 0.961). SNR did not statistically significantly differ between the sequences (p = 0.107).

Discussion: 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON enables robust pancreatic imaging with an acquisition time of 12 seconds, providing homogenous fat suppression and a higher pancreas to fat signal ratio than conventional 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs while preserving signal ratios to other organs.

Conclusion: This combination between a new acceleration technique (CAIPIRINHA) and advanced fat saturation approach (Dixon) allows robust non-enhanced T1 weighted imaging of the pancreas in a clinical setting.

Figure 1. This figure shows color-encoded SNR maps of phantom measurements with A, 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON and B, 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs. Additional partial views of the upper part of the phantom are shown. 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON shows a more homogenous SNR distribution compared to 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs and improved image quality (less blurring) in the zoomed-in image. Higher overall SNR values were observed for 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs.

Figure 2. 62 year old female patient with a suspected pancreatic lesion. The upper row (A.1/A.2) of this image panel is comprised of axial 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs and the lower row (B.1/B.2) 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON Images. Although quality is high with both images, the pancreatic parenchyma is better delineated than with the 3D VIBECAIPI-DIXON acquisition, and due to the three breathhold periods in 2D FlashGRAPPA-fs, not all parts of the pancreatic body are visible. The head of the pancreas is well-delineated on both sequences.