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Target audience: Researchers interested in quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) for abdominal applications.

Purpose: Measurement of magnetic susceptibility has multiple applications in MRI, including iron quantification and assessment of cerebral microbleeds. QSM techniques are based on estimating the susceptibility distribution from a measured B0 field map. Unfortunately, the measured B0 field map is a superposition of both the background field, which is attributable to shim fields, and the susceptibility-induced field. The background field is often removed in brain applications by high pass filtering or estimation of spherical harmonics, but these techniques may not be applicable in the abdomen since iron can accumulate diffusely in large organs such as the liver and spleen, rather than in focal deposits. Further, the estimation of the susceptibility distribution involves an ill-posed deconvolution process, and generally has no unique solution. This challenge has been addressed in brain applications using techniques such as ℓ1 regularization; however, these methods have not been validated in the abdomen. Unlike in the brain, the presence of fat (and air) in the abdomen also has the potential to constrain QSM estimation by assuming that their magnetic susceptibilities are known (constant) even in the presence of hepatic iron overload. The purpose of this work is to compare ℓ1 regularization with a fat/air-constraint, to assess the accuracy of each method for body QSM in the presence of background field variation and noise.

Methods: A susceptibility distribution was created that contained regions mimicking air, subcutaneous fat, and two values of iron-oxidized tissue (Fig. 1a). The susceptibility distribution was used to create a susceptibility-induced B0 field map according to Koch et al. A simulated background field was created as a 3rd-degree polynomial (Fig. 1b) of different levels (0, ±10Hz, ±20Hz, … , ±100Hz) and was added to the susceptibility-induced field map to create a composite B0 field map. Fat and water signals were created to match the susceptibility boundaries. The fat and water signals, along with the composite field map, were used to create synthetic echo time images. Complex additive Gaussian noise of different power (SNR = ∞, 30, 15) was added to the synthetic echo time images. The noisy images were processed using a fat/water reconstruction algorithm to obtain estimated fat, estimated water, and estimated B0 field map. These parameters were used as inputs to two different QSM algorithms:

1. A fat- and air-constrained method, which regularized the least-squares estimation by imposing known susceptibility values in regions of fat and air. Mathematically, the constraint is expressed as:

\[ \chi_{\text{fat}} = \alpha \chi_{\text{air}} \text{ subject to } \chi_{\text{fat}} = \chi_{\text{air}} \]

where \( \chi_{\text{fat}} \) denotes the measured B0 offset map over the “tissue” regions (i.e., excluding background air), \( \alpha \) is the dipole response, \( \chi_{\text{fat}} \) and \( \chi_{\text{air}} \) denote the susceptibility distribution over fat and air regions, respectively.

2. An ℓ1 regularization method, which promoted piecewise constancy of \( \chi \).

See Fig. 2 for a flowchart of the process. An ROI was placed in the central region of the estimated susceptibility distributions, where the true susceptibility is -4.00 ppm (Fig. 1a). The mean of the estimates within the ROI was compared between ℓ1 regularization and fat/air-constrained fitting, across different background variation levels and different SNR levels (Fig. 3).

Results: At infinite SNR, both ℓ1 regularization and fat/air-constrained fitting perform well across all background variation levels. However, for the realistic SNRs of 30 and 15, fat/air-constrained fitting results in more accurate measurements for all background variation levels.

Discussion: Fat/air-constrained fitting performs more accurately than ℓ1 regularization in our simulations. The two methods may be combined in order to benefit from each simultaneously.

Conclusion: Exploiting information about the distribution of fat provides an opportunity to improve the accuracy of QSM in abdominal applications.
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