Quantification of Aortic Stiffness Using MR Elastography and its Comparison to Pulse Wave Velocity: Early Validation
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Introduction: Arterial (aortic) stiffness is a well-recognized pathophysiological change that plays a significant role in the determination of risk factors for various cardiovascular diseases including hypertension, atherosclerosis and coronary artery disease [1]. While many factors contribute to the stiffening of arteries, increasing age of the individual is one of the most important causes [1]. Presence of other conditions such as hypertension (common in older people), may contribute or even accelerate the process, independently or in conjunction with aging. Early detection of arterial stiffness could significantly impact the management and patient outcomes. Currently, many techniques, both non-invasive and invasive, are in clinical use for the assessment of arterial stiffness. Measurement of pulse wave velocity (PWV) is the gold standard among non-invasive modalities. It is actively being utilized in both clinical and research areas for many years to determine the stiffness of aorta, for example, in hypertensive patients. Recently, a novel non-invasive MRI based technique known as magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) was developed to determine the stiffness of the aorta [2]. The aim of the study is to compare the MRI based PWV measurements in the aorta against MRE based stiffness measurements in normal volunteers with increase in age.

Methods: In vivo aortic MRE and MRI was performed on 14 healthy volunteers; 8 from ages: 18-35 years and 6 from ages 50-65 years. All imaging was performed using a 3T-MRI Scanner (Tim-Trio, Siemens Healthcare, Germany). The volunteers were positioned in the supine position and placed head first in the scanner. 60Hz mechanical waves were introduced into the aorta using a pneumatic diver [2]. A gradient echo-MRE and phase contrast (PC-MRI) sequences were performed to obtain wave and velocity data on a sagittal slice of the aorta. The imaging parameters for MRE included: TE/TR=21.3/25ms, matrix =128x64, FOV=40cm, α =15˚, and a motion encoding gradient of 60Hz was applied separately in the x, y, and z direction to encode motion. The imaging parameters for the PC-MRI included: TE/TR=2.1/9.15ms, venc=150,175cm/s; matrix=192x144, FOV=30x40cm, α=16˚ and p encoding gradient of 60Hz was applied separately in the x, y, and z direction to encode motion. The weighted stiffness parameters of the PC-MRI were obtained in 3 encoding directions with a mean stiffness of 4.3±0.6kPa. In figure 2a white line represents the region along the aorta where the foot of the pulse wave was tracked and plotted using distance Vs. time to obtain the PWV in the same volunteer (figure 2b). Figure 3a shows the MRE derived stiffness estimates and PWV measurements increased linearly with increase in age. Furthermore, this study demonstrated the feasibility of comparing MRE derived stiffness estimates and PWV measurements in the same imaging plane of the aorta. However, PWV only provides global measure of stiffness, whereas MRE provides spatial stiffness maps of the aorta. More studies are warranted to establish the correlation between MRE-derived stiffness and PWV.


Figure 1: A: Magnitude image with red line segmenting abdominal aorta. B–E: Snap shot of four phases of propagating waves. F: The weighted stiffness map from x, y, and z encoding directions with a mean stiffness of 4.3kPa.

Figure 2: a) PC-MR image showing the contour (white line) where foot of the pulse wave is tracked along the aorta. b) Plot of distance along the aorta (where foot of pulse wave is tracked) as a function of time. The slope from the plot provides PWV of 4.15m/s.

Figure 3a: MRE aortic stiffness as a function of age

Figure 3b: Pulse wave velocity as a function of age