Simultaneous diffusion MRI and PET imaging of breast cancer patients
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Target Audience: Physicians and radiologists interested in quantitative oncological imaging on the whole-body simultaneous MR/PET platform.

Purpose: Effective diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer requires understanding multifactorial complexity [1]. Magnetic resonance (MR) diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) sense different components of tumor cell proliferation, providing complementary sensitivities [2]. Cancer cell proliferation reduces the extracellular space and thus the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) [3-6]. FDG-PET measures consumption of deoxyglucose feeding the proliferation process. Studies have found moderate correlation between ADC and FDG-PET standard uptake value (SUV) [7,8], indicating a connection between tumor cell abundance and glucose demand requiring further elucidation. Since the intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) diffusion model [9-12] has been shown to provide valuable biomarkers of vascularity and cellularity in breast cancer, we collected simultaneous IVIM and FDG-PET in 3 breast cancer patients.

Methods: A whole-body integrated 3 T MR/PET scanner (Siemens Biograph mMR) was used to simultaneously acquire MRI and PET images of the breast in the prone position with a dedicated 4-channel breast coil (Noras, Würzburg, Germany), following their scheduled PET/CT and without additional FDG injection. The coil was imaged in a dual energy CT scanner to generate a μ-map for attenuation correction of the PET data. A flexible body-matrix array coil was placed on the patient’s back but only activated for the attenuation-correction (AC) coronal 3D Dixon VIBE scan. Contrast-enhanced MRI was acquired with a prototype radial VIBE sequence [13]. Diffusion-weighted images were collected with a twice-refocused spin echo sequence with echo planar readout with SPAIR and extra fat suppression, using b-values of b=0,30,70,100,150,200,300,400,500,800 s/mm². PET events were simultaneously accumulated for 15 minutes and images were reconstructed on the vendor platform incorporating μ-maps from both the RF coil and the MR AC scan. DWI analysis was performed within Igor Pro (Wavelab Technologies, Inc.). Monoexponential decay analysis was performed using all b-values to generate apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps. Regions of interest were drawn enclosing various lesions, fibroglandular tissue (FGT), or other entities on DWI with guidance both from the ADC map (where lower values suggest malignant tissue) the post-contrast image (where hyperintensity indicates high blood volume and vascular permeability), and the PET images. Integrated signal intensities were fit with a segmented algorithm to the two-compartment IVIM model to extract tissue diffusivity Dₜ, perfusion fraction fp, and pseudodiffusivity Dₚ. ROIs were drawn on the PET image in the lesions using Siemens SyngoVia software to extract mean, maximum, and minimum SUV.

Results: Figure 1 shows images from three patients with breast cancer (malignant in a patient with metastatic stage 4 disease, an 8 mm IDC (T1b) and a 3 cm mixed IDC/ILC(T1c)), including post-contrast VIBE, PET, and MR/PET fusion. Figure 2a shows IVIM ROI signal decays from the patient in Figure 1g-i. Figure 2b-d shows a representation of the values derived from quantitative IVIM and PET imaging in each example IVIM-ROI signal decay from the patient in Figure 1g-i. Figure 2b-d shows a representation of the values derived from quantitative IVIM and PET imaging in each example IVIM-ROI signal decay from the patient in Figure 1g-i. They illustrate how these two imaging modalities classify malignancies according to expected trends, but their combination shows potential subtle specificity advantages not observed in either modality alone. Larger scale studies are warranted to more carefully determine correlations between diffusion MR and glucose consumption, and their prognostic potential for predicting treatment response.